# Ruby - Feature #12350

## Introduce Array#find! that raises an error if element not found

05/05/2016 11:22 AM - ka8725 (Andrey Koleshko)

Status:	Open		
Priority:	Normal		
Assignee:			
Target version	:		
Description			
It would be great to have some function (like Hash#fetch) for Array that raises an exception if element not found by passed block in find.			
For example:			
<pre>[].find! {  el  el == 1 } =&gt; ElementError: element not found</pre>			
I just noticed that there are many cases when it's needed to raise an exception (because this is exceptional situation) if an element not found.			
Currently I just define methods like this one:			
<pre>def find_price!(size)   found_price = prices.find {  p  p.key == size }   fail "Price for #{size} not found" unless found_price   found_price</pre>			

end

## History

## #1 - 05/05/2016 11:23 AM - ka8725 (Andrey Koleshko)

- Description updated

## #2 - 05/05/2016 11:35 AM - zverok (Victor Shepelev)

I'm pretty unhappy that community/style guidelines are banning the straightforward and readable solution:

prices.find { |p| p.key == size } or fail "Price for #{size} not found"

### #3 - 05/05/2016 01:30 PM - ka8725 (Andrey Koleshko)

Victor Shepelev wrote:

I'm pretty unhappy that community/style guidelines are banning the straightforward and readable solution:

prices.find { |p| p.key == size } or fail "Price for #{size} not found"

I'm not aware about the banning. Could you elaborate what is bad in your example? Basically I don't see anything bad in your code except a little bit verbose like in mine solution.

#### #4 - 05/05/2016 02:05 PM - zverok (Victor Shepelev)

Could you elaborate what is bad in your example? Basically I don't see anything bad in your code except a little bit verbose like in mine solution.

I don't know! The most popular and widely accepted Ruby Style Guide just bans them: https://github.com/bbatsov/ruby-style-guide#no-and-or-or

And when I've tried to rant about how and/or are useful, it all went sideways: <a href="https://www.reddit.com/r/ruby/comments/478a17/rant\_on\_good\_ruby\_idiom\_andor\_operators/">https://www.reddit.com/r/ruby/comments/478a17/rant\_on\_good\_ruby\_idiom\_andor\_operators/</a>

## #5 - 05/05/2016 02:25 PM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)

Victor Shepelev wrote:

Could you elaborate what is bad in your example? Basically I don't see anything bad in your code except a little bit verbose like in mine solution.

I don't know! The most popular and widely accepted Ruby Style Guide just bans them: https://github.com/bbatsov/ruby-style-guide#no-and-or-or

I don't think it makes a sense, however, you can use || instead if you don't like or of course.

prices.find { |p| p.key == size } || raise "Price for #{size} not found"

And it feels better to me because of the error message.

#### #6 - 05/05/2016 02:46 PM - cremno (cremno phobia)

You can pass a callable object to Enumerable#find:

```
def find_price!(size)
   prices.find(->{ fail "Price for #{size} not found" }) { |p| p.key == size }
end
```

## #7 - 05/20/2016 04:43 PM - dsferreira (Daniel Ferreira)

Documentation can be improved to use example of callable object don't you think? I'm happy to create a PR with it.

## #8 - 07/19/2016 06:20 AM - matz (Yukihiro Matsumoto)

I am not against adding a method that raises an exception, but find! is not a proper name for it. Besides that, we can raise an exception for non-existing values by the current behavior. So let us start improving documentation. Daniel, could you create an PR?

Matz.