Ruby - Feature #12721

public_module_function

09/03/2016 05:08 AM - shevegen (Robert A. Heiler)

| Status: | Rejected | |
|--|--|------------------------------------|
| | Normal | |
| Priority: | Normai | |
| Assignee: | | |
| Target version: | | |
| Description | | |
| Hello ruby core, | | |
| | ule_function(). As the documentation rightful ivate method when included ("mixed in") into | |
| The following code | e shows that this works: | |
| module Foo module_funct def hi puts 'hi f end end | tion From method hi() in module Foo' | |
| class Bar include Foo def test hi end end | | |
| Bar.new.test # | This works | |
| Bar.new.hi # | This here leads to an error mes | ssage "private method `hi' called" |
| | private method by default makes sense, but e case where I would like the above to be | |
| Is there some functionality like module_function but that works as a public method instead? So that the last example, Bar.new.hi, would also work? | | |
| Something like | | |
| public_module_function | | |
| If I would have a public variant, I assume that I could get rid of "def self.foo" statements in some of my modules. | | |
| History | | |

#1 - 09/03/2016 06:07 AM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)

```
- Description updated
```

```
class Bar
include Foo
public :hi
end
```

#2 - 09/04/2016 04:27 PM - jwmittag (Jörg W Mittag)

If module_function returned its argument(s), we could write

```
module Foo
  public module_function def hi
   puts 'hi from method hi() in module Foo'
   end
end
```

But I'm not sure that's worth it. The whole point of "functions" is that they don't have receiver! Why would you want to call them with one?

#3 - 11/25/2016 06:58 AM - matz (Yukihiro Matsumoto)

- Status changed from Open to Rejected

I understand your proposal, but I don't see what it is for. Do you have any no-artificial use-case for this feature? If you come up with real-world use-case, please re-open the issue.

Matz.