

Status:	Closed
Priority:	Normal
Assignee:	

Description

The next dev meeting

Date: 2022/08/18 13:00-17:00 (JST)

Log: <https://github.com/ruby/dev-meeting-log/blob/master/DevMeeting-2022-08-18.md>

- Dev meeting *IS NOT* a decision-making place. All decisions should be done at the bug tracker.
- Dev meeting is a place we can ask Matz, nobu, nurse and other developers directly.
- Matz is a very busy person. Take this opportunity to ask him. If you can not attend, other attendees can ask instead of you (if attendees can understand your issue).
- We will write a record of the discussion in the file or to each ticket in English.
- All activities are best-effort (keep in mind that most of us are volunteer developers).
- The date, time and place of the meeting are scheduled according to when/where we can reserve Matz's time.
- *DO NOT* discuss then on this ticket, please.

Call for agenda items

If you have a ticket that you want matz and committers to discuss, please post it into this ticket in the following format:

```
* [Ticket ref] Ticket title (your name)
* Comment (A summary of the ticket, why you put this ticket here, what point should be discussed, etc.)
```

Example:

```
* [Feature #14609] `Kernel#p` without args shows the receiver (kol)
* I feel this feature is very useful and some people say :+1: so let discuss this feature.
```

- It is recommended to add a comment by 2022/08/15. We hold a preparatory meeting to create an agenda a few days before the dev-meeting.
- The format is strict. We'll use [this script to automatically create an markdown-style agenda](#). We may ignore a comment that does not follow the format.
- Your comment is mandatory. We cannot read all discussion of the ticket in a limited time. We appreciate it if you could write a short summary and update from a previous discussion.

Related issues:

Related to Ruby - Misc #14770: [META] DevelopersMeeting

Open

History

#1 - 08/04/2022 04:37 PM - mame (Yusuke Endoh)

- Related to Misc #14770: [META] DevelopersMeeting added

#2 - 08/06/2022 01:37 PM - zverok (Victor Shepelev)

- [Feature #18368] Range#step semantics for non-Numeric ranges (zverok)
 - Proposal to reimplement (a..b).step(c) via +, or provide another method with this behavior
 - More clarifications provided & answers to the questions after last dev.meeting
- [Bug #18580] Range#include? inconsistency for beginless String ranges
 - "This was discussed during the February 2022 developer meeting, and [@matz \(Yukihiro Matsumoto\)](#) said he needs more time to consider it."
- [Feature #17330] Object#non (zverok)
 - Proposal to introduce generic "nullify if unacceptable" API (zverok)
 - more explanations and examples provided
- [Feature #16122] Struct::Value: simple immutable value object (zverok)
 - The ticket is in weird state. It has "Status: Feedback", but generic state "CLOSED", but I don't see any notice of HOW it was

closed?.. Is it RedMine glitch?.. I don't see an option to reopen it.

- The [latest comment](#) from Matz seems to lean towards accepting proposal, the only culprit is a name: "Struct::Value seems acceptable to him, but he wanted to seek a better name. Devs suggested Tuple, NamedTuple, and Record, but none of them seemed to fit for him."
- Can we somehow move forward with this?
- [Feature [#18934](#)] Introduce method results memoization API in the core (zverok)
 - New ticket, proposing and justifying simple memoized def method_without_args

#3 - 08/08/2022 07:20 AM - byroot (Jean Boussier)

- [Feature [#18944](#)] Add SizedQueue#push(timeout:) (byroot)
 - Matz said this should be required separately.
 - When implementing Queue#pop(timeout:) it was very evident this was needed.
 - Spec is return self on success, nil on timeout, like pop.
 - Useful to periodically do heartbeat, drop messages, etc when waiting to push on a queue.\
- [Feature [#18885](#)] End of boot advisory API (byroot)
 - e.g. something like RubyVM.prepare, or RubyVM.ready.
 - Would allow applications to notify the VM that they're done loading and about to process user input.
 - Could be used by the VM to enable some optimizations, such as precomputing constant caches, etc.
 - Particularly useful for forking server, but not only.

#4 - 08/09/2022 07:26 PM - jeremyevans0 (Jeremy Evans)

- [Bug [#18955](#)] Kernel#sprintf - %c ignores a non-ASCII character's encoding (jeremyevans0)
 - Do we want the %c format specifier to transcode a string argument to the format string's encoding before taking the first character?
- [Bug [#18958](#)] Kernel#sprintf doesn't apply format sequence in some encodings (jeremyevans0)
 - Should we raise an ArgumentError when the format string is in an incompatible encoding?
 - The encodings listed in the issue are not the same as those that are not ascii_compatible?.
 - If we do want to raise an exception for this, do we want a new encoding flag for this, or a hardcoded list of incompatible encodings?
- [Bug [#18797](#)] Third argument to Regexp.new is a bit broken (jeremyevans0)
 - Do we want to deprecate passing a third argument to Regexp.new?
- [Bug [#18767](#)] IO.foreach hangs up when passes limit=0 (jeremyevans0)
 - Is the current infinite loop in this case expected, or should it raise an ArgumentError as IO.readlines does?

#5 - 08/10/2022 10:30 AM - Eregon (Benoit Daloze)

- [Feature [#18949](#)] Deprecate and remove replicate and dummy encodings (eregon)
 - I will try to attend the dev meeting. Would it be possible to discuss this towards the end of the meeting, 16 JST (= 9am CEST) my preference, or if better 15 JST (= 8am CEST)?
 - There are multiple things to decide, see <https://bugs.ruby-lang.org/issues/18949#To-Decide>
 1. (Encoding#replicate and rb_define_dummy_encoding()) is most important (for complexity & performance) and given the extremely low usage it seems safe to deprecate and then remove
 1. Handling the dummy UTF-16 and UTF-32 encodings, seems used very rarely but harder to assess. It causes significant performance overhead. Discussion e.g. in <https://bugs.ruby-lang.org/issues/18949#note-23>
 1. Multiple devs want to keep (other) existing dummy encodings based on usages, so let's keep them for now. Maybe we could make them non-dummy or only available for transcoding in the future.

#6 - 08/12/2022 05:24 PM - palkan (Vladimir Dementyev)

- [Feature [#18408](#)] Allow pattern match to set non-local variables
 - That would make, in particular, 42 => @a possible
 - This addition seems natural after we added non-local vars support for pinning
 - It also "restores" the original rightward assignment functionality
 - Here is a PR attached: <https://github.com/ruby/ruby/pull/5426>
- [Feature [#18821](#)] Expose Pattern Matching interfaces in core classes
 - Here is a PR adding MatchData#{deconstruct,deconstruct_keys} waiting for feedback: <https://github.com/ruby/ruby/pull/6216>

#7 - 08/15/2022 11:08 AM - Eregon (Benoit Daloze)

- [Bug [#18729](#)] Method#owner and UnboundMethod#owner are incorrect after using Module#public/protected/private (eregon)
 - Also [Bug [#18435](#)] and [Bug [#18751](#)], they are all the same issue basically.
 - Let's attempt to stop hiding ZSUPER methods, this was never tried and I think would cause little incompatibilities. OK to try this?

- The semantic model after that change will finally make sense for users and Ruby implementers, `instance_methods` reflects the method table, `owner` means in which module's method table the method is.
- Also this will mean ZSUPER methods are just an internal implementation detail on CRuby, other Ruby impls are free to use something else like shallow-copy (like `alias_method` does) but `instance_methods/owner/etc` will be the same for the user.
- The hiding is actually "exposing" ZSUPER methods to Ruby users because other Ruby implementations don't use ZSUPER methods and don't hide the visibility-created methods.

#8 - 08/18/2022 07:14 AM - hsbt (Hiroshi SHIBATA)

- [Feature [#18159](#)] Integrate functionality of `syntax_suggest` gem into Ruby
 - Is it ok for `syntax_suggest`?

#9 - 08/18/2022 09:37 AM - mame (Yusuke Endoh)

We could not finish all the agenda in time. We will continue on 25th.

#10 - 08/20/2022 07:51 PM - Eregon (Benoit Daloze)

- [Feature [#18798](#)] `UnboundMethod#==` with inherited classes (eregon)
 - OK to change `UnboundMethod#==` to check if same method definition (and ignore from which class `instance_method` was used on)?
 - If not, OK to add `{Method,UnboundMethod}#same_definition?(other)?`

#11 - 08/25/2022 12:38 PM - mame (Yusuke Endoh)

- *Status changed from Open to Closed*

#12 - 08/25/2022 12:39 PM - mame (Yusuke Endoh)

- *Description updated*