# Ruby - Feature #19236

# Allow to create hashes with a specific capacity from Ruby

12/15/2022 09:02 AM - byroot (Jean Boussier)

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Status: Closed	
Priority: Normal	
Assignee:	
Target version:	
Description	
Followup on [Feature #18683] which added a C-API for this purpo	Se.
Various protocol parsers such as Redis RESP3 or msgpack, have For efficiency, it would be preferable if they could directly allocate many re-alloccations and re-hash.	to create hashes, and they know the size in advance. a Hash of the necessary size, so that large hashes wouldn't cause
String and Array both already offer similar APIs:	
String.new(capacity: XXX) Array.new(XX) / rb_ary_new_capa(long)	
However there's no such public API for Hashes in Ruby land.	
Proposal	
Proposal I think Hash should have a way to create a new hash with a capac	ity parameter.
I think Hash should have a way to create a new hash with a capac	o incompatible in [Feature <u>#18683]</u> .
I think Hash should have a way to create a new hash with a capac The logical signature of Hash.new(capacity: 1000) was deemed to	o incompatible in [Feature <u>#18683]</u> .
I think Hash should have a way to create a new hash with a capac The logical signature of Hash.new(capacity: 1000) was deemed to <u>@Eregon (Benoit Daloze)</u> proposed to add Hash.create(capacity:	o incompatible in [Feature <u>#18683]</u> . 1000).
I think Hash should have a way to create a new hash with a capac The logical signature of Hash.new(capacity: 1000) was deemed to @Eregon (Benoit Daloze) proposed to add Hash.create(capacity: Related issues:	o incompatible in [Feature <u>#18683]</u> . 1000).
I think Hash should have a way to create a new hash with a capac The logical signature of Hash.new(capacity: 1000) was deemed to @Eregon (Benoit Daloze) proposed to add Hash.create(capacity: Related issues: Related to Ruby - Feature #18683: Allow to create hashes with a specific of	o incompatible in [Feature <u>#18683]</u> . 1000). sapa <b>Closed</b>
I think Hash should have a way to create a new hash with a capac The logical signature of Hash.new(capacity: 1000) was deemed to @Eregon (Benoit Daloze) proposed to add Hash.create(capacity: Related issues: Related to Ruby - Feature #18683: Allow to create hashes with a specific of Associated revisions	o incompatible in [Feature <u>#18683</u> ]. 1000). eapa Closed D1:51 PM - Jean byroot Boussier
I think Hash should have a way to create a new hash with a capac The logical signature of Hash.new(capacity: 1000) was deemed to @Eregon (Benoit Daloze) proposed to add Hash.create(capacity: Related issues: Related to Ruby - Feature #18683: Allow to create hashes with a specific of Associated revisions Revision 31ac8efca8ecb574e1e7b7c32cce54cb1b97f19a - 05/23/2023	o incompatible in [Feature <u>#18683]</u> . 1000). eapa Closed D1:51 PM - Jean byroot Boussier
I think Hash should have a way to create a new hash with a capac The logical signature of Hash.new(capacity: 1000) was deemed to @Eregon (Benoit Daloze) proposed to add Hash.create(capacity: Related issues: Related to Ruby - Feature #18683: Allow to create hashes with a specific of Associated revisions Revision 31ac8efca8ecb574e1e7b7c32cce54cb1b97f19a - 05/23/2023 Hash.new: print a deprecation warning when receiving keyword arguments	o incompatible in [Feature <u>#18683]</u> . 1000). apa Closed 01:51 PM - Jean byroot Boussier s (#7828)

Co-authored-by: Jean Boussier byroot@ruby-lang.org

## Revision 31ac8efca8ecb574e1e7b7c32cce54cb1b97f19a - 05/23/2023 01:51 PM - Jean byroot Boussier

Hash.new: print a deprecation warning when receiving keyword arguments (#7828)

[Feature #19236]

In Ruby 3.3, Hash.new shall print a deprecation warning if keyword arguments are passed instead of treating them as an implicit positional Hash.

This will allow to safely introduce a capacity keyword argument in 3.4

Co-authored-by: Jean Boussier byroot@ruby-lang.org

## Revision 31ac8efc - 05/23/2023 01:51 PM - Jean byroot Boussier

Hash.new: print a deprecation warning when receiving keyword arguments (#7828)

[Feature #19236]

In Ruby 3.3, Hash.new shall print a deprecation warning if keyword arguments are passed instead of treating them as an implicit positional Hash.

This will allow to safely introduce a capacity keyword argument in 3.4

Co-authored-by: Jean Boussier byroot@ruby-lang.org

### Revision 9594db0cf28d7bc10bfc46142239191a11f1dbbe - 07/08/2024 10:24 AM - byroot (Jean Boussier)

Implement Hash.new(capacity:)

[Feature #19236]

When building a large hash, pre-allocating it with enough capacity can save many re-hashes and significantly improve performance.

```
/opt/rubies/3.3.0/bin/ruby --disable=gems -rrubygems -I./benchmark/lib ./benchmark/benchmark-driver/exe/benchm
ark-driver \
```

--executables="compare-ruby::../miniruby-master -I.ext/common --disable-gem" \
--executables="built-ruby::./miniruby --disable-gem" \
--output=markdown --output-compare -v \$(find ./benchmark -maxdepth 1 -name 'hash\_new' -o name '\*hash\_new\*.yml' -o -name '\*hash\_new\*.rb' | sort)
compare-ruby: ruby 3.4.0dev (2024-03-25T11:48:11Z master f53209f023) +YJIT dev [arm64-darwin23]
last\_commit=[ruby/irb] Cache RDoc::RI::Driver.new (https://github.com/ruby/irb/pull/911)
built-ruby: ruby 3.4.0dev (2024-03-25T15:29:40Z hash-new-rb 77652b08a2) +YJIT dev [arm64-darwin23]
warming up...

	compare-ruby built-ruby		
:	-	·:	·:
new		7.614M	5.976M
I	1	1.27x	-
new_with_capa_1k		13.931k	15.698k
1		-	1.13x
new_with_capa_100k		124.746	148.283
	1	-	1.19x

## Revision 9594db0cf28d7bc10bfc46142239191a11f1dbbe - 07/08/2024 10:24 AM - byroot (Jean Boussier)

Implement Hash.new(capacity:)

### [Feature #19236]

When building a large hash, pre-allocating it with enough capacity can save many re-hashes and significantly improve performance.

```
/opt/rubies/3.3.0/bin/ruby --disable=gems -rrubygems -I./benchmark/lib ./benchmark/benchmark-driver/exe/benchm
ark-driver \
```

```
--executables="compare-ruby::../miniruby-master -I.ext/common --disable-gem" \
--executables="built-ruby::./miniruby --disable-gem" \
```

--output=markdown --output-compare -v \$(find ./benchmark -maxdepth 1 -name 'hash\_new' -o name '\*hash\_new\*.yml' -o -name '\*hash\_new\*.rb' | sort) compare-ruby: ruby 3.4.0dev (2024-03-25T11:48:11Z master f53209f023) +YJIT dev [arm64-darwin23]

```
last_commit=[ruby/irb] Cache RDoc::RI::Driver.new (https://github.com/ruby/irb/pull/911)
```

built-ruby: ruby 3.4.0dev (2024-03-25T15:29:40Z hash-new-rb 77652b08a2) +YJIT dev [arm64-darwin23] warming up...

I	comp	are-ruby bu	ilt-ruby
:	-	:	·:
new		7.614M	5.976M
1	1	1.27x	-
new_with_capa_1k	1	13.931k	15.698k
1	1	-	1.13x
new_with_capa_100k	1	124.746	148.283
1	1	-	1.19x

## Revision 9594db0c - 07/08/2024 10:24 AM - byroot (Jean Boussier)

Implement Hash.new(capacity:)

### [Feature #19236]

When building a large hash, pre-allocating it with enough capacity can save many re-hashes and significantly improve

#### performance.

/opt/rubies/3.3.0/bin/ruby --disable=gems -rrubygems -I./benchmark/lib ./benchmark/benchmark-driver/exe/benchm ark-driver \

--executables="compare-ruby::../miniruby-master -I.ext/common --disable-gem" \
--executables="built-ruby::./miniruby --disable-gem" \
--output=markdown --output-compare -v \$(find ./benchmark -maxdepth 1 -name 'hash\_new' -o name '\*hash\_new\*.yml' -o -name '\*hash\_new\*.rb' | sort)
compare-ruby: ruby 3.4.0dev (2024-03-25T11:48:11Z master f53209f023) +YJIT dev [arm64-darwin23]
last\_commit=[ruby/irb] Cache RDoc::RI::Driver.new (https://github.com/ruby/irb/pull/911)
built-ruby: ruby 3.4.0dev (2024-03-25T15:29:40Z hash-new-rb 77652b08a2) +YJIT dev [arm64-darwin23]
warming up...

	compare-ruby built-ruby		
:	-	:	<b>:</b>
new	1	7.614M	5.976M
	I	1.27x	-
new_with_capa_1k	1	13.931k	15.698k
1	1	-	1.13x
new_with_capa_100k	1	124.746	148.283
1	1	-	1.19x

## Revision 796d315958f9d23ca3b3524f76c4f267c65edfe0 - 11/26/2024 10:46 AM - byroot (Jean Boussier)

Add NEWS.md entry about Hash.new(capacity:)

[Feature #19236]

Ref: https://github.com/ruby/ruby/pull/10357

### Revision 796d315958f9d23ca3b3524f76c4f267c65edfe0 - 11/26/2024 10:46 AM - byroot (Jean Boussier)

Add NEWS.md entry about Hash.new(capacity:)

[Feature #19236]

Ref: https://github.com/ruby/ruby/pull/10357

### Revision 796d3159 - 11/26/2024 10:46 AM - byroot (Jean Boussier)

Add NEWS.md entry about Hash.new(capacity:)

[Feature #19236]

Ref: https://github.com/ruby/ruby/pull/10357

## History

## #1 - 12/15/2022 09:02 AM - byroot (Jean Boussier)

- Related to Feature #18683: Allow to create hashes with a specific capacity. added

#### #2 - 12/25/2022 05:16 PM - janosch-x (Janosch Müller)

maybe the genie is out of the bottle already, but it would be nice to have a uniform API for creating objects with a given capacity, e.g.

```
Array.with_capacity(100)  # => []
Hash.with_capacity(100)  # => {}
IO::Buffer.with_capacity(100)  # => #<IO::Buffer>
String.with_capacity(100)  # => ''
# more?
```

for Array and IO::Buffer, ::with\_capacity would essentially be an alias for ::new. for String, the capacity kwarg could be deprecated to limit the number of APIs.

### #3 - 01/20/2023 05:31 AM - mame (Yusuke Endoh)

Discussed at the dev meeting.

<u>@matz (Yukihiro Matsumoto)</u> said that Hash.create(capacity: 4096) is acceptable (unless it conflicts with any major gems). However, several participants including <u>@ko1 (Koichi Sasada)</u> were a little cautious about introducing the new terminology "create" into Ruby core, and matz understood that.

<u>@matsuda (Akira Matsuda)</u> and <u>@mame (Yusuke Endoh)</u> prefer Hash.new(capacity: 4096). This is a bit incompatible, but we searched gem-codesearch with the query 'bHash\.new\(\w+: ' and found less than 20 results (manually excluding Foo::Bar::Hash.new(...) which is perhaps different from ::Hash). Moreover, some of the results seemed to misunderstand Hash.new(foo: 1) as { foo: 1 }. (The most examples are rspec; maybe

because let(:option) { { foo: 1 } } looks bad, people inadvertently rewrote it with let(:option) { Hash.new(foo: 1) }.)

Therefore, how about deprecating giving the keyword to Hash.new and then introducing Hash.new(capacity: 4096)? @matz (Yukihiro Matsumoto) said this is also acceptable if the incompatibility is not a big problem.

(Off-topic: Array.new(capacity: 4096) is not yet available; I wonder if people want Hash.new(capacity: 4096) more than Array?)

#### #4 - 01/20/2023 08:42 AM - byroot (Jean Boussier)

Well, Hash.new(capacity: 4096) was definitely my first pick, so this is great news IMO.

how about deprecating giving the keyword to Hash.new and then introducing Hash.new(capacity: 4096)?

What would be the timeline?

Deprecate in 3.3 and break in 3.4?

Off-topic: Array.new(capacity: 4096) is not yet available; I wonder if people want Hash.new(capacity: 4096) more than Array?

I think it's in part because Array.new(4096) while not exactly the same, already somewhat works. I'd be happy to add Array.new(capacity: 4096) though, but it has a similar backward compatibility concern doesn't it?

## #5 - 01/23/2023 02:47 AM - mame (Yusuke Endoh)

byroot (Jean Boussier) wrote in <u>#note-4</u>:

What would be the timeline?

Deprecate in 3.3 and break in 3.4?

That would be the fastest way.

Off-topic: Array.new(capacity: 4096) is not yet available; I wonder if people want Hash.new(capacity: 4096) more than Array?

I think it's in part because Array.new(4096) while not exactly the same, already somewhat works. I'd be happy to add Array.new(capacity: 4096) though, but it has a similar backward compatibility concern doesn't it?

Fortunately, it raises an error: Array.new(capacity: 4096) #=> no implicit conversion of Hash into Integer (TypeError). So I don't see a big problem with changing this. Anyway, I think we need a separate ticket if we introduce it.

## #6 - 01/24/2023 02:23 PM - Eregon (Benoit Daloze)

If we use Hash.new(capacity: 4096) to set the capacity, then Hash.new({ capacity: 4096 }) should keep the semantics of: { capacity: 4096 } is the default value of the new Hash.

I think it's a little bit hacky/unclear/source of confusion, but still I'm not against it.

### #7 - 05/05/2023 11:59 PM - Dan0042 (Daniel DeLorme)

Previousy, a capacity reader/writer was suggested by @byroot (Jean Boussier) in #18683#note-2

I would like to see this idea considered more seriously because

1. It doesn't need to change anything to the initialize arguments of Array/Hash/String, which are already quite complex enough

2. The same API can be used for any class; it's nicely consistent and easy to remember

3. It's more versatile, as it can be used more than once after object creation, ex:

```
buffer = String.new #this example is with String, but the same could apply to Hash/Array
while line = gets
   #increase buffer capacity by chunks of 10k
   buffer.capacity += 10000 if buffer.capacity < buffer.bytesize + line.bytesize
   buffer << line
end
buffer.capacity = 0 #trim buffer to minimal size (aka "right-size")
buffer.capacity == buffer.bytesize #=> true
```

## #8 - 05/06/2023 05:31 AM - ianks (Ian Ker-Seymer)

I worry that new Rubyists might be confused with the Hash.new(capacity: n) semantics.

For example, Hash[capacity: 5] can look very similar to Hash.new(capacity: 5). It wouldn't be unreasonable to assume they are the same thing... But

you'd be in for an unexpected surprise.

To me Hash.with\_capacity clearly communicates what's happening. Anyone can understand it at first glance.

#### #9 - 05/06/2023 05:39 AM - byroot (Jean Boussier)

For example, Hash[capacity: 5] can look very similar to Hash.new(capacity: 5).

That seems like a very handwavy argument to me. I really don't see how the two could possibly be confused.

### #10 - 05/09/2023 12:57 PM - Dan0042 (Daniel DeLorme)

ianks (Ian Ker-Seymer) wrote in #note-8:

To me Hash.with\_capacity clearly communicates what's happening. Anyone can understand it at first glance.

Hash.with\_capacity is not composable. What should you do if you want a default value/proc AND a capacity?

```
h = Hash.with_capacity(100)
h.default = default_value #this?? a bit ugly imho
```

Hash#with\_capacity would be better, then you could do Hash.new(default\_value).with\_capacity(400) similar to compare\_by\_identity usage. But at that point it's imho better to have Hash.new(default\_value).tap{ \_1.capacity = 400 } Or the best: Hash.new(default\_value).tap{ .capacity = 400 } ;-)

### #11 - 05/19/2023 10:04 AM - byroot (Jean Boussier)

This was discussed in the last dev meeting. The conclusion was:

In 3.3 it throws error all keyword arguments to Hash.new. Then Ruby 3.4 allows that Hash.new will accept capacity keyword argument.

### #12 - 05/23/2023 10:44 AM - byroot (Jean Boussier)

Correction:

In 3.3 it throws error all keyword arguments to Hash.new.

Was a misunderstanding.

What was actually agreed was a deprecation warning, I modified the pull request accordingly.

## #13 - 05/23/2023 01:51 PM - Anonymous

- Status changed from Open to Closed

Applied in changeset git|31ac8efca8ecb574e1e7b7c32cce54cb1b97f19a.

Hash.new: print a deprecation warning when receiving keyword arguments (#7828)

### [Feature #19236]

In Ruby 3.3, Hash.new shall print a deprecation warning if keyword arguments are passed instead of treating them as an implicit positional Hash.

This will allow to safely introduce a capacity keyword argument in 3.4

Co-authored-by: Jean Boussier byroot@ruby-lang.org

#### #14 - 05/23/2023 01:53 PM - byroot (Jean Boussier)

- Status changed from Closed to Open

- Target version deleted (3.3)

Reopening as the merged commit is the Ruby 3.3 part.

I'll implement the 3.4 next year.

## #15 - 03/26/2024 07:20 AM - byroot (Jean Boussier)

Implemented Hash.new(capacity:) in https://github.com/ruby/ruby/pull/10357

### #16 - 07/07/2024 01:41 AM - shan (Shannon Skipper)

I'm really looking forward to this feature being available via a Ruby interface.

### #17 - 07/08/2024 10:24 AM - byroot (Jean Boussier)

- Status changed from Open to Closed

Applied in changeset gitl9594db0cf28d7bc10bfc46142239191a11f1dbbe.

Implement Hash.new(capacity:)

## [Feature <u>#19236</u>]

When building a large hash, pre-allocating it with enough capacity can save many re-hashes and significantly improve performance.

```
--executables="built-ruby::./miniruby --disable-gem" \

--output=markdown --output-compare -v $(find ./benchmark -maxdepth 1 -name 'hash_new' -o -

name '*hash_new*.yml' -o -name '*hash_new*.rb' | sort)

compare-ruby: ruby 3.4.0dev (2024-03-25T11:48:11Z master f53209f023) +YJIT dev [arm64-darwin23]

last_commit=[ruby/irb] Cache RDoc::RI::Driver.new (https://github.com/ruby/irb/pull/911)

built-ruby: ruby 3.4.0dev (2024-03-25T15:29:40Z hash-new-rb 77652b08a2) +YJIT dev [arm64-darwin23]

warming up...
```

I	com	pare-ruby bu	ilt-ruby
:	-	:!	:
lnew		7.614M	5.976M
		1.27x	-
new_with_capa_1k		13.931k	15.698k
		-	1.13x
new_with_capa_100k		124.746	148.283
		-	1.19x