

Ruby - Bug #653

`rake gem' emits a warning

10/17/2008 12:48 AM - mame (Yusuke Endoh)

Status:	Closed	Backport:
Priority:	Normal	
Assignee:	drbrain (Eric Hodel)	
Target version:	1.9.1 Release Candidate	
ruby -v:		
Description		
<pre>=begin Hi, rake seems to call Gem.manage_gems which is deprecated at lib/rake/gempackagetask.rb. \$ sow19 foo (snip) \$ cd fo/ \$ rake19 (in /tmp/foo) <internal:0:Warning: Gem::manage_gems is deprecated and will be removed on or after March 2009. Hoe email value not set. aborting Is it ok to merely remove the call?</pre>		
Index: lib/rake/gempackagetask.rb		
<pre>--- lib/rake/gempackagetask.rb (revision 19808) +++ lib/rake/gempackagetask.rb (working copy) @@ -9,12 +9,6 @@ @@@ -9,12 +9,6 @@@ require 'rubygems/user_interaction' require 'rubygems/builder' -begin • Gem.manage_gems -rescue NoMethodError => ex • Using rubygems prior to 0.6.1 -end module Rake # Create a package based upon a Gem spec. Gem packages, as well as -- Yusuke ENDOH mame@tsg.ne.jp =end</pre>		

History

#1 - 10/17/2008 04:04 AM - drbrain (Eric Hodel)

=begin

On Oct 16, 2008, at 10:09 AM, Jim Weirich wrote:

On Oct 16, 2008, at 11:47 AM, Yusuke ENDOH wrote:

rake seems to call Gem.manage_gems which is deprecated at
lib/rake/gempackagetask.rb.

```
$ sow19 foo
(snip)
$ cd fo/
$ rake19
(in /tmp/foo)
<internal:0:Warning: Gem::manage_gems is deprecated and will be
removed on or after March 2009.
Hoe email value not set. aborting
```

Is it ok to merely remove the call?

The gempackagetask should probably be allowed to continue to work
with older gems. Can we put a version test around this?

RubyGems back to 0.8.8 will work if Gem.manage_gems is replaced with:

```
require 'rubygems/builder'
```

since all manage_gems did was require extra files like this one.

As of today, these are the versions of RubyGems that accessed the
repository recently:

```
$ cut -d ' ' -f 13 gemmirror-access_log | occur | grep RubyGems
80668: "RubyGems/0.9.4"
69239: "RubyGems/0.9.5
22181: "RubyGems/0.9.2"
12268: "RubyGems/1.0.1
11679: "RubyGems/0.9.0"
11155: "RubyGems/1.0.0
8813: "RubyGems/0.9.4.6
3153: "RubyGems/0.8.11"
1040: "RubyGems/0.8.10"
438: "RubyGems/0.9.3"
417: "RubyGems/0.9.1"
67: "RubyGems/0.9.4.7
26: "RubyGems/0.9.4.3"
25: "RubyGems/0.9.5"
5: "RubyGems/0.8.8"
3: "RubyGems/0.8.99.2"
2: "RubyGems/0.9.4.4"
2: "RubyGems/0.9.0.8"
1: "RubyGems/0.9.4
1: "RubyGems/0.9.4.5"
```

So I think you're fine to remove the Gem.manage_gems call.

=end

#2 - 11/29/2008 04:26 PM - ko1 (Koichi Sasada)

- Assignee set to drbrain (Eric Hodel)

=begin

=end

#3 - 12/11/2008 12:12 PM - yugui (Yuki Sonoda)

- Priority changed from 3 to 5

- Target version set to 1.9.1 Release Candidate

=begin

=end

#4 - 12/11/2008 12:13 PM - yugui (Yuki Sonoda)

=begin

Is this still reproduce? If not, close this issue.

=end

#5 - 12/14/2008 12:46 AM - antares (Michael Klishin)

=begin

It has been removed in both trunk and 1_9_1, I think this should be closed now.

=end

#6 - 12/14/2008 08:52 AM - zenspider (Ryan Davis)

- *Status changed from Open to Closed*

=begin

=end