## Ruby - Feature #6731

# add new method "Object.present?" as a counter to #empty?

07/14/2012 03:37 PM - rogerdpack (Roger Pack)

Status:	Rejected		
Priority:	Normal		
Assignee:			
Target version:			
Description			
	present? , "An object is pres , "is there any data inside?"		" [2]
Examples:			
[].prese => fals [3].pres => true ".prese => fals 'a'.pres => true nil.pres => fals	e sent? nt? e # because it's #empty? ent? sent?		
Example usage: button.text=text if whether it's nil or		whether the text actua	lly was set to something, and also don't want to worry about
Thanks.			
Basic implementa	ation ([1]):		
class Object			
def present? !(respond_to?(:er end	npty?) ? empty? : !self)		
end			
<ol> <li>http://stackoverflow.com/a/4649452/32453</li> <li>http://api.rubyonrails.org/classes/Object.html#method-i-present-3F they also use #blank but that's for a different feature request.</li> </ol>			
History			

#### #1 - 07/14/2012 03:39 PM - rogerdpack (Roger Pack)

- File present.txt added

Adding "presentation" txt file.

## #2 - 07/17/2012 07:53 AM - claytrump (Clay Trump)

Hum, wouldn't this conflict with Rails? In Rails, " ".present? is falseclass Object

```
def present?
!(respond_to?(:empty?) ? empty? : !self)
end
```

---

### #3 - 07/24/2012 10:37 PM - mame (Yusuke Endoh)

- Status changed from Open to Rejected

Roger Pack,

Sorry but this proposal was rejected at the developer meeting (7/21).

There are many possible definitions of "present?" depending upon contexts. It's not Ruby's decision. People should decide the definition for their context.

Yusuke Endoh mame@tsg.ne.jp

#### Files

present.txt

868 Bytes

07/14/2012

rogerdpack (Roger Pack)