



Leadership Group (LEG) on Quality - Implementation Group

State-of-the-art regarding planning and carrying out Customer/User Satisfaction Surveys in NSIs

(LEG on Quality Recommendation No. 7)

Final Report

Claes Cassel, Statistics Sweden Mikaela Järnbert, Statistics Sweden

Werner Holzer, Statistics Austria

Giovanni Barbieri, ISTAT, Italy **Andrea Tiddi**, ISTAT, Italy

May 2003

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	3
1.1.	Background and Objectives of the Project	3
2.	FINDINGS	5
2.1. surv	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	satisfaction 5
2.2.	Information on practice to NSIs planning customer satisfaction surveys	11
Ann	nex 1 - Detailed Information on Customer/User Satisfaction Surveys in NSIs	15
Ann	nex 2 - Examples of Questionnaires of Customer/User Satisfaction Surveys in NSIs	52

1. Introduction

1.1. Background and Objectives of the Project

At its meeting in September 2001, the SPC (42nd meeting) approved the final report of the Leadership Group (LEG) on Quality¹ including recommendations for future activities in this field. Recommendation no. 7 of the LEG report deals with the design, the implementation and the analysis of customer satisfaction surveys.

In the LEG report, customer surveys are treated as one among several types of user or customer contacts/relationships, such as statistical councils, user-producer groups, agreements, research in social sciences and co-operation. "The customer satisfaction survey is an important tool to detect user needs, and potentially user feedback could be integrated into the planning process of official statistics" (LEG on Quality, 2001, p. 14).

The LEG on Quality Implementation Group has started its activities immediately after the 42nd SPC meeting. Regarding customer satisfaction surveys (Recommendation no. 7 of the LEG report) it was decided to carry out a "State-of-the-Art Project" (project leader: Austria; project partners: Italy, Sweden; other countries involved: Norway, Portugal, United Kingdom) in order to report a status/overall view of the current situation in the NSIs regarding planning and carrying out of customer/user satisfaction surveys (as well as "image studies") for the SPC.

1.2. Performance of the Project

End of 2002 a questionnaire was sent out to all EU and EEA countries to get information about the current practice and planned activities concerning customer/user satisfaction surveys, in order to provide information on good practice, which could be helpful to NSIs planning customer satisfaction surveys and "image studies", also in order to avoid that the "wheel will be re-invented". Finally all EU and EEA countries except Liechtenstein had replied till the beginning of March 2003 (18 NSIs).

National Statistical Institutes are concerned with a great number of different customers/users, all having different expectations concerning the quality of products and services. In order to get information on the expectations and satisfaction of the different customers/users, diverse types of customer/user statisfaction surveys can be carried out.

Therefore in the questionnaire sent out to all EU and EEA countries the term "customer/user satisfaction survey" was used in a wider sense, comprising

- general ("traditional") customer satisfaction surveys, directed to diverse known customers/users of products/services, as well as
- image studies ("surveys of confidence") directed to anonymous citizens (general public) and
- target groups and product/service specific survey forms, respectively, like
 - interviews with key users (important stakeholders),
 - questionnaires added to printed publications,
 - web questionnaires for web-users,

- special questionnaires for recipients of press releases, press conferences, expert meetings, training courses,

¹ Summary Report from the Leadership Group (LEG) on Quality. Paper prepared for the 42nd Meeting of the SPC, Luxembourg, 19-20 September, 2001 (Doc. CPS 2001/42/7).

special questionnaires for users of the data shop/library, etc.

The questionnaire covered questions on the following subjects:

- definition/classification of customers/users of statistical products and services
- identification of key users (important stakeholders)
- customers/users register
- types of customer/user satisfaction surveys carried out or planned
 - since when, periodicity
- reasons for not conducting or planning customer/user satisfaction surveys
- management of complaints
- organizational aspects concerning customer/user satisfaction surveys and the management of complaints
- previous general or specific experiences and problems (expected problems in case of planned surveys) with customer/user satisfaction surveys, regarding conception, performance and analysis

Those NSIs having already experience with customer/user satisfaction surveys were asked for more details. With an additional questionnaire they were asked to provide information on how the different surveys are performed and what kind of information on expectations and satisfaction of customers/users are collected by the different types of customer/user satisfaction surveys, including the following aspects:

- target groups, number of respondents
- survey methods and instruments, scales used, non-response rates, methods used to analyse the data
- quality dimensions of Eurostat
- statistical areas included in the surveys
- investigation into the differences between the expectations and the results obtained from the users
- respective questions/items on expectations and satisfaction (including also background information on the respondents)
- expectations and satisfaction of the users with metadata and documentation
- publication of the results of the customer/user satisfaction surveys
- feedback about main results of the surveys to the customers/users involved in surveys
- action plans for quality improvements
- required resources (time, persons, costs), etc.

Those NSIs having already experience with customer/user satisfaction surveys were also kindly asked to provide their **questionnaires** on the various types/forms of customer/user satisfaction surveys. Ten of the NSIs have contributed with some of their questionnaires and together they represent a variety of different kinds of customer satisfaction surveys both with regard to type of product or service (the statistical office as a whole, press releases, publications, data shop, special kind of statistics) and type of method (paper questionnaire or telephone interview). These questionnaires are added as Annex 2 to this report.

We would like to thank all the NSIs of the EU and EEA countries very much for their kind participation in this survey.

2. Findings

2.1. The current situation in the NSIs regarding planning and carrying out customer/user satisfaction surveys

Definition of customers and users

The National Statistical Institutes deal with a great number of diverse customers/users and in the questionnaire the NSIs were asked to state whether or not they had any definition or classification of customers/users of statistical products and services. 17 out of 18 NSIs claim to have a definition and 16 countries used the space available to further describe how their users are being classified (see Annex 1, sections A1 and A2). These classifications seem to be more or less officially used.

The most common classification among the NSIs is one where the users are connected with institutions, more or less detailed. The most frequently mentioned areas are the public sector, media, research sector, general public, business community and international organizations. Approximately half of the NSIs asked uses this classification.

Some countries have used a classification method that more concentrate on the type of products used, how the consumers acquire the products or in what way the statistics are being used. Sweden has a system of classification that divides the consumers/users into three different classes: users of official statistics, paying customers and general public. Luxembourg divides them into two groups: general users and privileged users where the borderline is not always clear. Switzerland classifies their users as either observers, users or cultivators. Norway and Denmark has besides an occupational classification also other ways of classifying customers. Denmark by "use": repeated use, in depth use and all-round-use. Norway separates paying customers from non-paying customers.

About half of the NSIs asked weight the categories of users according to their importance, at which various user groups are identified as key users, e.g. public administration, ministries, Eurostat, media, researchers, economy. The list of key users for the products and services identified by the NSIs is found in Annex1 (section A3).

Customers/users register

16 NSIs claim to have customers/users registers (see Annex 1, section A4). Seven countries have chosen to further comment their registers and a common denominator seems to be that the registers only cover customers in some areas such as paying customers, subscribers, registered website users.

The NSIs were asked to describe the contents in their customers/users registers, if they include information that might help defining a user profile, in order to differentiate between the various groups of customers/users. Of the 18 NSIs twelve state that their registers do include that kind of information (see Annex 1, section A5). The most common information seems to be the type of product purchased by customers. Otherwise the information seem to vary between NSIs. Some gather information about the customers occupation, some about frequency and/or total amount bought. France also registers the way the product was bought by the client/customer.

Customer Satisfaction Surveys and "image studies"

The NSIs were asked if their office is/was carrying out any kind of Customer Satisfaction Surveys (CSS) or "image studies" or if they are planning to. Of the 18 NSIs 16 state that these survey types have been carried out or are planned (see Annex 1, section A6). Six NSIs chose to use the space given to make further comment about their CSS or "image studies". Belgium and Germany state that they are planning to carry out CSS in the near future (Belgium: 2003; Germany: in the next years). France declare that the unit carrying out "image studies" is different from the one carrying out customers surveys. UK exemplifies with a type of survey that was carried out in June 2002 which focused on the effectiveness of telephone enquiry services to customers and at the same time explored general attitudes to Office for National Statistics and its output. Finland and Sweden affirm that several CSS are being carried out.

Additional questions were put about types of customer/user satisfaction surveys that have been or are being carried out or planned. In the questionnaire eleven different types of surveys were mentioned and these are enumerated below (figure 1).

Figure 1: Types of customer/user satisfaction surveys

Number 1	General ("Traditional") Customers Satisfaction Survey: (partly) standardized questionnaire
	directed to known customers/users of products/services (including surveys that measure customer satisfaction with a structural model)
Number 2	Image studies, "Surveys of confidence" (citizens, general public: opinion research, analysis of mass media)
Number 3	Registration of customers/users contacts/questions/complaints, by telephone, letter fax and e-mail.
Number 4	(Qualitative) interviews (face-to-face, by post/e-mail) with key users (important stakeholders)
Number 5	Questionnaires added to printed publications (to collect data on the consumer satisfaction with a particular publication)
Number 6	Web questionnaires for web-users
Number 7	Special questionnaire for recipients of press releases (by post/e-mail; to collect information on the customer satisfaction with press releases)
Number 8	Special questionnaire for participants in press conferences, expert meetings, training courses of the NSIs (distribution of feedback sheets during the meeting; to collect information on the customer satisfaction with the respective meeting)
Number 9	Special questionnaire for users of the statistical data-bank (by post/webb-based/by e-mail; to collect information on the customer satisfaction with the data bank)
Number 10	Special questionnaire for users of the data shop/library (distribution to customers/users; to collect information on the customers satisfaction with supply and service)
Number 11	Special survey focusing on "paying" customers (addresses/information from "sales statistics")

The NSIs were asked to mark which of the above listed types of CSS they were carrying out or planning to carry out. They were also asked to state, if carried out or planned, since when and the periodicity in which the survey type was or is planned to be carried out. These fact has been tabulated below (table 1).

Table 1: Types and periodicity of customer/user satisfaction surveys carried out or planned by NSIs

		Survey type									
Country	Nr 1	Nr 2	Nr 3	Nr 4	Nr 5	Nr 6	Nr 7	Nr 8	Nr 9	Nr 10	Nr 11
Belgium	plann ed (2003		since 1999	plann ed (2003	plann ed (2003	plann ed (2003					
Denmark	since 1996	since 2001	since 1999		since mid 1990's	since 2002		since early 1990's	since 2001	since 2000	since 1996
Germany	2000 (pilot)										
Greece											
Spain			since 1997	since many years	since 1995	plann ed (2003	since 2001				
France	since 2001	since 2001		since 2001	since 2001	plann ed (2003			since 2002	since 2000	since 2002
Ireland	since 2002				since 2002	since ?					
Italy	since 2000		since 2001			since 2000		since 2001		since 2000	since 2000
Luxembourg	plann ed				since 1989	plann ed (2003	since 1998				
Netherlands	ad hoc		ad hoc		ad hoc	ad hoc			ad hoc		
Austria	plann ed (2004				plann ed (2004	plann ed (2004	since 2002	since 2000			
Portugal	since 2000		since 1996		since 1996	since 2001		since 2000		since 1998	
Finland	since 1992	since 1975	since 1999	planned (2003-4)	planned (2003-4)	since 2000	since 1998	since 1980' s	since 1999	Since 2000	since 1992
Sweden	since 1993	since 1970									since 1996
UK	since 2002			since 1999	since 1990	since 2001					
Norway		since 2000	since many years			plann ed (2003		plann ed (2003	plann ed (2003		planned (2002)
Liechtenstein	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Switzerland	since early 1990's			since 1990' s	since 1990' s	plann ed (2004)			since 2000	planned (2003)	
Iceland											

Total	14	5	8	6	12	14	4	6	6	6	6
Carried out	11	5	8	4	9	7	4	5	5	5	5
Regular	5	4	7	2	2	2	2	3	1	2	3
Irregula r	3	1	1	2	3	3	-	2	1	2	1
unknow n	3	-	-	-	4	2	2		3	1	1
Planned	3		-	2	3	7	-	1	1	1	1
Regular	2	-	-	1	1	2	-	-	-	-	1
Irregula r	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
unknow n	1	-	-	1	1	5	-	1	1	1	-

Notes:



.... Grey maked table cells: Surveys have been/are carried out (e.g. since 2000).



.... Surveys have not been/is not carried out in the past/at present, put are planned (e.g. for 2003).

For more details concerning periodicity and since when the different types of surveys are carried out/are planned see Annex 1 (section B1).

In table 1 it is possible to see in which countries the different types of CSS are carried out or are being planned. The table also contains information about since when the specific type has been carried out or is planned to be carried out, and holds information about with what periodicity (regular, irregular) CSS are being carried out or planned to be carried out.

It should be mentioned that table 1 is based on the answers given in the questionnaires. In some cases the answers given may not cover all of what in fact is being carried out in the countries. Sweden and UK have commented on this. NSI of Sweden state that the only types of surveys brought up by Sweden in the questionnaire are the ones that are part of continuous program. In fact almost all types of surveys included have been carried out at some point in Sweden. UK state that a few of their more recent surveys are mentioned in the questionnaire and makes the remark that some of their surveys do not fit into the pre-defined categories listed and that space precludes inclusion of them all.

Based on the answers given in the questionnaires, the types of CCS (carried out, as well as planned) most frequently marked by the NSI's are

- type number 1, General Customers Satisfaction Surveys (14 NSIs),
- type number 6, Web questionnaires for web-users (14 NSIs),
- type number 5, Questionnaires added to printed publications (12 NSIs),.

But it has to be considered that of the 14 NSIs, mentioned web questionnaires, 7 state that these survey types are just planned.

If one considers only CCS, which are **carried out** by the countries regularly or irregularly, it shows up that most experience exists with

- type number 1, General Customers Satisfaction Surveys (11 NSIs), followed by
- type number 5, Questionnaires added to printed publications (9 NSIs),
- type number 3, Registration of customers/users contacts/questions/complaints (8 NSIs),
- type number 6, Web questionnaires for web-users (7 NSIs).

The Scandinavian countries and Switzerland have the longest experience with type number 1, *General Customers Satisfaction Surveys:* Finland since 1992, Sweden since 1993, Denmark since 1996, Switzerland since the early 1990's.

The type number 3 Registration of customers/users contacts/questions/complaints is the one type that is being carried out most regularly.

Some countries stated other kinds of CSS that they carry out. In Spain they have since 1997 done a detailed analysis of usage of the website (log files) monthly. Finland has an anonymous feedback channel on their homepage since 1992 and the contact persons continuously get direct feedback by e-mail. In Italy they have since 1999 had special questionnaires for users of the local (region) data shop. UK states three additional types of surveys that they have started with recently. These are "Survey of journalists re press office services and development of press releases", "Survey of users of NS books re future development of hard-copy portfolio" and "Survey of customers re development NS website, corporate identity, corporate performance and other issues".

The NSI's in Denmark and Finland distingue from the others by being very active in the area of CSS (and also Sweden and UK, with regard to their comments; see above), followed by France, Italy and Portugal. Greece and Iceland have not conducted any consumer/user satisfaction surveys, nor planning to in the future. The reason given by Greece is *time* and by Iceland *lack of recourses*.

Responsibility for customer/user satisfaction surveys

The NSIs were asked if they had a special unit in office which takes responsibility for customer/user satisfaction surveys. About two out of three countries have or plan to have a special organization unit responsible for consumer/user satisfaction surveys (see Annex 1, section B3). Five countries state that parts of the customer/user surveys are outsourced (see Annex 1, section B5).

Management of complaints

A question about whether or not the NSIs have a system for the management of complaints divide the NSIs up into three almost equally big groups (see Annex 1, section B6).

Spain, France, Ireland, Italy, Netherlands, Portugal and Norway report that they do have a system for the management of complaints. In Spain it is ruled by law in all public bodies. Official complaints sheets are always available and frequently used. NSI of Portugal has a box for suggestions and complaints. As a rule no written complaint received will wait more than ten working days before replied by INE's President. NSI of the Netherlands have an e-mail address to which complaints can be made.

Germany, Austria, UK, Switzerland and Iceland state that such a system of management of complaints is being planned. UK state that complaints are currently dealt with by individual business areas through a centralized customer enquiry center but discussions are taking place about the development of a formal complaints procedure.

Belgium, Denmark, Luxembourg, Finland and Sweden do not have a system, nor is it one planned. The reason are in Belgium lack of recourses and time, in Luxembourg lack of recourses and in Sweden lack of a "handle" in the organization.

The NSIs were also asked if there is or plan to be a special organization unit in office which is responsible for the management of complaints. Five of the NSIs answered *yes*, nine *no* and two *don't know* (see Annex 1, section B7).

Experience and problems

Eight countries used the space given in the questionnaire for stating previous general or specific experiences or problems with customer/user satisfaction surveys, regarding conception, performance and analysis (see Annex 1, section B8). Spain, Luxembourg and Sweden made comments on the problem with low response rate for this type of surveys. Finland and Sweden bring up the trouble of defining population/target groups/sample while Luxembourg pose the problem of getting a representative range of feedback.

Another problem touches the information given by the customers. Spain considers traditional surveys to be problematic since the information given can be very biased. UK, in order to prevent biased results take use of independent research institutes to conduct research with the hope that this might encourage respondents to be more frank and open.

Correct implementation of results and the formulation of questions are also mentioned.

2.2. Information on practice to NSIs planning customer satisfaction surveys

In an additional questionnaire more detailed questions were put about the different types of CSS that the NSIs carry out. The NSIs were asked to describe the different customer/user satisfaction surveys in terms of target groups, survey methods, number of respondents, non-response rate, quality dimensions, scope, customer background information, scales, comparability, methods of analysis, action plans, required resources etc. Above that the NSIs were asked to provide information on good current practice by contributing with examples of existing questionnaires.

Ten of the NSIs did contribute with examples of their currently used questionnaires and ten NSIs took the time to fill out the additional questionnaire. The fact that the answers varies greatly in terms of how explicit the information is given, combined with the fact that eight of the survey types has been commented on by three NSIs or less, make comparisons difficult.

Detailed information on Customer Satisfaction Surveys

Denmark, Germany, France, Italy, Luxembourg, Portugal Sweden and Switzerland have declared to either have carried out or plan to carry out (Luxembourg) survey type number 1, *General Customers Satisfaction Surveys*, and given more or less detailed information (see Annex 1, section C1, number 1).

- The way of identifying the target groups varies from including all customers into selecting customers according to volume bought, type of product or service, way of contacting the office or using limiting time frame.
- Both census' and samples do/will occur.
- The volume varies between 30-1800 persons.
- The (expected) non-response rate stated lies between 25 and 78 percent.
- The surveys mostly include/will include both services and products.
- The surveys mostly take/will take into account all of the quality dimensions of Eurostat.
- The surveys includes/will include either only satisfaction or both satisfaction and expectation and in the latter case NSIs do/will investigate the difference between expectation and satisfaction to at least some degree.
- The most common background information about customers is profession, position and branch.
- The scale used/will be used in the questionnaires varies.
- Some of the NSIs calculate something like a satisfaction index.
- All in the group ask/will ask in their questionnaire for free form feedback but requests concerning feedback about questionnaire is rare.
- Half of the group have used/will use methods/questionnaires by other NSIs or NPOs or by the private sector.
- The methods used to analyze the data vary. Sweden uses Partial Least Squares (PLS), Germany scale, Portugal descriptive and France cross tables and graphics.
- The results are/will be published in the form of internal documents, on the intranet or internet. It is very rare that customers get feedback about the main results of the survey. Some of the NSI do however contact users that are dissatisfied.

Denmark, Spain, France, Luxembourg and Portugal have declared to either have carried out or both carried out and plan to carry out survey type number 5, *Questionnaires added to printed publications*, and given more or less detailed information (see Annex 1, section C1, number 5).

- Target groups are/will be all users or buyers of specific products.
- The methods that are/will be used are questionnaires in the book, postcard questionnaire and mail in new releases.

- Both census and sample.
- The (expected) non-response rate stated lies between 75 and 99 percent.
- All quality dimensions of Eurostat are/will be considered.
- Background information asked or will be asked for is name, position, sector.
- No calculating of a satisfaction index included.
- A majority asks or will ask for free form feedback but rarely request feedback about the questionnaire itself.
- The most common method of analysis that is/will be used is frequency analysis.
- The results are/will be published and customers do not receive feedback about the main results.
- The results lead to action plans.

Spain, Italy and Portugal have declared to either have carried out or plan to carry out (or both) survey type number 6, *Web questionnaires for web-users*, and left detailed information (see Annex 1, section C1, number 6).

- Target groups are/will be web users or users having an e-mail address.
- Both census and sample.
- Number of respondents varies between 127-1009.
- All quality dimensions included.
- The difference between expectation and satisfaction among customers was examined by Italy in 2002 but not in 2000.
- Background information varies. Those mentioned are sex, age, address, e-mail, phone, organization unit, position, credits and statistical areas.
- Scales used are numerical, non-numerical and dichotomy.
- No request about feedback about questionnaire.
- No inspiration taken from other NSIs or NPOs or from the private sector and the results are consequently not comparable to the above mentioned.
- No feedback about main results of surveys to customers/users and customers who turned out to be dissatisfied are not contacted.

Spain and Austria have both carried out survey type number 7, Special questionnaire for recipients of press releases (by post/e-mail; to collect information on the customer satisfaction with press releases) and provided detailed information (see Annex 1, section C1, number 7).

- Target groups have been journalist (Spain) or recipients of press releases (Austria).
- Methods used are personal interviews with questionnaire (Spain) and short questionnaire by post or fax (Austria).
- Both census (Austria) and sample (Spain).
- Number of respondents: Spain: 80; Austria: 522; non-response rate: Spain: 0 percent; Austria:-53 percent.
- All statistical areas are included.
- There is no calculation of a customer satisfaction index.
- In the questionnaire the respondents are asked for free form feedback but not about the questionnaire itself.
- The method of analysis mentioned are qualitative analysis (Spain) and marginal frequency analysis and cross tabulation.
- Action plans: Austria has internal training for authors of press releases.

France and Portugal have declared to either have carried out or both carried out and plan to carry out survey type number 9, *Special questionnaire for users of the statistical data-bank* (by post/webb-based/by e-mail; to collect information on thecustomer satisfaction with the data bank) and provided detailed information (see Annex 1, section C1, number 9).

- Target group have been users of library (Portugal) or users of the data base (France).
- Methods mentioned are paper questionnaire (Portugal), phone and e-mail (France).
- Census (Portugal) and sample (France).
- Non-responses are in general not treated.
- Not all quality dimensioned are taken into account.
- In the questionnaire the respondents are asked for free form feedback but not about the questionnaire itself.
- The method of analysis mentioned are descriptive, cross tabulation and graphics. Portugal have the intention to make a multi-variant analysis.

France, Italy and Sweden state to have carried out survey type number 11, *Special survey focusing on "paying" customers (addresses/information from "sales statistics")* and provided detailed information (see Annex 1, section C1, number 11).

- Target groups are paying customers, sometime selected after the volume bought.
- Survey methods used are: questionnaires by mail or e-mail, or phone interviews.
- Both census and sample are mentioned.
- The surveys includes either only satisfaction or both satisfaction and expectation and in the latter case only France intend to investigate the difference between expectation and satisfaction to at least some degree.
- Non-respons is not treated.
- The inclusion of the quality dimensions vary from zero to all included.
- Only satisfaction or both satisfaction and expectation are investigated.
- Difference between expectation and obtained results are either not or only partly investigated.
- No inspiration taken from other NSIs or NPOs or from the private sector and the results are consequently not comparable to the above mentioned.
- Methods of analysis varies. Both no specific and multivariate analysis are mentioned.
- No feedback about main results of surveys to customers/users but customers who turned out to be dissatisfied are more or less systematically contacted.

More detailed information concerning survey types number 2, 3, 4, 10 and 12 (others) are also found in Annex 1.

Examples of questionnaires used in Customer Satisfaction Surveys

As already mentioned ten of the NSIs have contributed with some of their questionnaires and together they represent a variety of different kinds of customer satisfaction surveys both with regard to type of product or service (the statistical office as a whole, press releases, publications, data shop, special kind of statistics) and type of method (paper questionnaire or telephone interview).

General versus detailed information

When constructing a questionnaire there is a weighing between the amount of information that will come out and the amount of time it will take the respondent filling out the questionnaire. The paper questionnaires varies in amount of pages between 1 page and 13 pages (original

layout versions). Short questionnaires might get a higher response rate but the information received is less detailed. Long questionnaires can go deeper and explore different aspects of customer satisfaction with products and services but risk a high non response rate.

Scale

The scales that is used in order to measure customer satisfaction vary between NSIs which make comparisons between NSIs difficult.

- Denmark uses in a questionnaire regarding marketing of statistics a five point scale.
- Germany uses in a user satisfaction survey of supply of Eurostat product and the services provided by the Eurostat Data Shop a five grade scale where 1 is *extremely satisfied* and 5 *dissatisfied*.
- France has contributed with many different questionnaires. One uses a four grade scale between *very satisfied* and *not at all satisfied*. Another questionnaire uses a three grade scale between *very satisfied* and *not satisfied*. A third uses a scale between 1 and 10. In the first and third type there is no midpoint and the respondent has consequently to take a stand.
- Ireland uses in a survey questionnaire concerning the Central Statistics Office a scale between 1 and 7 where 1 is the *most satisfactory* and 7 the *most unsatisfactory*.
- Italy asks customers in a survey sheet for data shop users if they are satisfied or not and has therefore no information about the degree of satisfaction among customers.
- Austria asks recipients of press releases about the general quality and uses a five grade scale between *very good* and *insufficient*.
- Finland uses in a customer satisfaction survey of Statistics Finland school grades between 4 and 10 where 4 is failed grade 5-10 approved grades where 10 is the highest grade.
- Statistics Sweden uses when evaluating customers satisfaction with products and services a scale between 1 and 10, without a midpoint, where 1 is the lowest and 10 the highest grade.
- UK uses in a customer satisfaction survey of the Office for National Statistics a scale between 1 and 5 where 1 is *very satisfied* and 5 is *very dissatisfied*.
- Switzerland uses when evaluating customer satisfaction with a publication a five grade scale between *very well* and *very badly*.

Analysis

For most of the questionnaires there is always a possibility of using cross tables and graphs in the analysis. But some of the questionnaire opens up possibility for other types of analysis. Germany and Ireland have in their questionnaires besides questions about satisfaction also questions about importance. When creating an action plan it is reasonable to concentrate on the areas that are most important according to the customers. Statistics Finland ask the customers in a survey to grade different aspects according to experience and expectation which enables a comparison between expected grade and experienced grade. Sweden uses the method of Partial Least Squares (PLS) and even though specific questions about importance are not posed to the respondents the model of analysis can compute the effect that different aspects have on the total satisfaction among them.

Annex 1 - Detailed Information on Customer/User Satisfaction Surveys in NSIs
Questionnaire - Distribution of Answers

Section A

A1. National Statistical Institutes deal with a great number of diverse customers/users. Is there any definition or classification of customers/users of statistical products and services of your statistical office?

Country	Yes	No	Don't know	Description
Belgium	X			1. Planners : need very recent, aggregated data, prefer speed, electronic media, websites;
				2. Research: need very elaborated databases, prefer complete and quality above speed (can be in universities, students, in companies-marketing or study units, federations of companies,)
				3. Libraries and documentation centres: need good overviews on topics, prefer new media, yearbooks
				4. Media: divers needs, but most of all: readily available articles
Denmark	X			1. Public sector 2. Local authorities 3. Research sector 4. Business community and organizations 5. General public incl media 6. EU and other international organizations
Germany	X			Special information services for some special customers (media, politics and others). There are also a defined survey of different user-groups, especially for marketing purposes.
Greece	-	-	-	-
Spain	X			There are many different products and services designed in principle for different target users. INE doesn't have a manual or catalogue of definitions of users but behind the design of any activity (product or service) there is an identification of the target public of it.
France	X			We have nearly 50 different types of users in our invoicing database but 20 of them are really active
Ireland ²	X			Government; The Social Partners; European Union (Commission, European Central Bank, etc); Other international agencies (OECD, ILO, UN, IMF, etc); Businesses; Academic and research; The media; Internal Users.
Italy	X			PUBLIC SECTOR (central and local administrations), RESEARCH SECTOR (university, public and private research institute)
				MEDIA (journalist), GENERAL PUBLIC (private user/customer), INTERNATIONAL ORGANISATIONS and INSTITUTIONS
Luxembourg	X			Our users are divided in two user groups: 1. General users 2. Privileged users: persons or bodies providing us with information, politicians, members of general government, teachers, students, research etc. It depends on their status if they will have easier or cheaper access to our statistical information.
Netherlands	X			Practical, policy and scientific users
Austria	X			European institutions (EUROSTAT, ECB), international institutions (IMF, OECD, ILO, FAO, WHO,), (national) government, public administration (national, regional, local), politicians/political parties, National Bank, social partners (Economic Chamber, Chamber of Labour, Trade union,), scientific communities (Universities, (economic) research institutes, students,), mass media, business enterprises, commercial providers of information services, non profitable organisations, citizens/general Public (anonymous), internal users

__

² Ireland did not fill in the questionnaire but provided a lot of information per e-mail including references to the homepage of CSO of Ireland.

A1. (Continuation)

Country	Yes	No	Don't know	Description
Portugal	X			Users: everybody who access to statistical information on any form; Clients: Those Users who acquire statistical information.
Finland	X			Partners, key customers, large, potential and other customers
Sweden	X			1. Users of official statistics (public good); 2. Paying customers; 3. General public
United Kingdom	X			We segment our customers according to their to their occupational group as follows: * The Citizen, * The Media, *Other Government Departments, *Local Authorities; *The Health Sector; *Higher Education, Schools, * Manufacturers, *Service Businesses, * Financial Services, *Public Libraries, *Data Intermediaries Recently we have also adopted a segmentation based on the nature of our relationship with customers as follows: • Lifeline - Customers and Stakeholders on whom we depend - eg. ministers, other government departments, journalists • Bread and Butter - core customers who depend on us - eg. professional users who use official statistics in their work or study • Growth - New customer groups who have not yet had significant contact with official statistics but with whom we aim to engage with more fully. This group includes 'The Citizen' and is very broad and heterogeneous
Norway	X			For general purposes as described in strategic plan: * The general public. * Media *The business sector *Administration and planning (central and local) *Research and education *International organisations
Liechtenstein	-	-	-	-
Switzerland	X			Since the beginning of the nineties, the BFS has used the three-stage classification observer-user-processor. Later on, this classification has been taken over and disseminated by Germany.In connection with the project output orientation (PRODIMA = PRO dukte DI enstleistungen MA erkte/Products; Services; Markets) the classification for the description of market strategy has been refined and developed further on (e.g., which statistical services should be offered to which target groups?) at the end of the 90s.
Iceland	X			Administration, researchers, students, enterprises, organisations and general public.

A2. Additional remarks to your definition/classification of your customers/users:

Country	Remark
Belgium	The classification of customers started from the needs of the customer
Denmark	We also classify by "use": a) repeating use, b) in depth use, c) all-round-use
Luxembourg	The borderline between the 2 user groups are not always very clear. For some users, we have to decide from case to case.
Finland	Our customer relationship project on hand. One of its tasks is the classification of paying customers.
Sweden	This definition is not official, but it is being used as a base for many of our activities and for our customer satisfaction surveys.
Norway	Customers can be divided into two main groups: Paying customers and non-paying customers. They are also defined by their size: By their economic impact on
	Statistics Norway's revenue and/or by how much they demand Statistics Norway's products and services (most of our products are free and most of our users are
	therefore non-paying customers). Paying customers are not representative for all users, in particular not for the general public.
Switzerland	Although being relatively rough, three-stage classification lends itself to a quick and overall structuring of supplies while at the same time representing an activity
	support. Thus, the website of the BFS (and above all, the planned statistics portal) is structured as follows:
	- HTML offers to be clicked on by observers (=occasional users, the general public): www.statistik.admin.ch
	- Statistical encyclopaedia (basket with tables, text and graphic files) for users (=decision makers): www.jahrbuch-stat.ch
	- Output data bank STATWEB (Cubes, individual tabulations) for processors (=professional users): www.statweb.admin.ch
	In connection with the further development and refinement of the marketing model the selection offered to the various target groups is structured into a basic range
	(service public) and an extended range of supplies. This division has its particular effects on pricing.

A3. Which kind of key users (important stakeholders) for the products and services of your institution have you identified?

Country	Remark
Belgium	Media
Denmark	Other stakeholders are Data suppliers.
Germany	Media, policy, economy and others
Greece	-
Spain	If depends on the definition of "Key user". If it means users that receive data without any charge, for example, then the list is clear. The prices of products and services is published every year in the official gazette of the state and it contains not only the prices but also any other pricing rules. The "Key" users are then High
	Council of statistics and other statistical bodies, The government, The parliament, Press, Political parties, Bank of Spain, Trade unions, Union of Enterprises.
France	consultant companies, commerce and services, banks, institutions (central or local government, local authorities)
Ireland	-
Italy	-
Luxembourg	research, consultants, education, public administration, multinationals, finance
Netherlands	Eurostat, ministries, research institutes
Austria	See A1.
Portugal	- Investigators (mainly those with credentials by Ministry of Science), - Library users (around 90% students), - ALEA users (ALEA = Acção Local de Estatística Aplicada/Applied Statistics Local Action is a subsite of INE's Website directed to secondary school teachers and students), - Press, - Agriculture Statistical Information Users Club
Finland	Other authorities producing statistics, partners, ministries, retailers, large/major customers
Sweden	Regional users, researchers, private sector, Ministries, government agencies responsible for official statistics, other government agencies.
United Kingdom	All are important in different ways. Lifeline customers provide our funding and influence perceptions of ONS. Bread and Butter customers are a key group to whom we have a core responsibility. And it is government policy that official information should become be accessible and useful more widely to citizens, hence our focus on Growth customers.
Norway	The user groups mentioned
Liechtenstein	-
Iceland	Administration, organisations, researchers.

A4. Do you have a customers/users register?

Country	Yes	No	Don't	Describe
			know	
Belgium	X			Contains about 60% of customers/users but not in a userfriendly format
Denmark	X			
Germany	X			Only in some areas
Greece	-	-	-	-
Spain	X			Some different databases for different purposes (customers), free distribution subscriptions, researcher or other users of statistical information
France	X			
Ireland	-	-	-	-
Italy	X			
Luxembourg	X			
Netherlands	X			
Austria	X			
Portugal	X			- Clients are registered in a proper database (GESTINE)
				- We also have a registration of the website users

A4. (Continuation)

Country	Yes	No	Don't	Describe
			know	
Finland	X			Our paying customers are included in this register. (We got our present CRM system in 2001.)
Sweden	X			This register contains all paying customers, but the coverage of users is a problem. Separate registers are also held by the individual statistical
				programmes.
United Kingdom	X			We have a database of customer information. It contains the details of people who subscribe to our books, who are on the mailing list for our
				customer newsletter, or who have voluntarily registered on our wenbsite.
Norway	X			
Liechtenstein	-	-	-	-
Switzerland	X			
Iceland	X			

A5. Does your customers/users registers include information that will help defining the user profile, in order to differentiate between the various groups of customers/users? (like information on frequency in buying information, interest areas, type of products bought/acquired, etc.)

Country	Yes	No	Don't	Describe
			know	
Belgium	X			See above
Denmark		X		
Germany		X		
Greece	-	-	-	-
Spain	X			
France	X			We have 2 kinds of profiles: one for marketing purpose and one which details the type of products bought and the way the client bought them
Ireland	-	-	-	-
Italy	X			
Luxembourg	X			not all of the types of information mentioned are included in our customer data base
Netherlands	X			
Austria	X			Some of these information are included
Portugal	X			Frequency in, type of products bought/acquired, quantity of products acquired and total amount acquired
Finland	X			Industrial classification, type of owner, the size category of the organization, freguency, type of products etc.
Sweden	X			To some extent this is possible; we differentiate between type of product purchased and the sector to which the customer belongs.
United Kingdom	X			Yes - in most instances we have information about an individual's occupational group and the products they have purchased or used.
Norway		X		The central customer register was primarily constructed to cover administrative purposes (like budgeting and accounting). At the time of construction there was not paid any attention to the needs that one currently expects to find in a customer register. Hence the correct answer is "No". However, there is a growing understanding within the management of Statistics Norway that we need to know more about our customers and consequently need a customer register that can provide us with the tools to perform similar tasks to the one mentioned in your question. As a result of this understanding, Statistics Norway's Business Register have as a part of a project within their division bought a Customer Relationship Management (CRM) system in order to gain knowledge and experience that later will be shared with the rest of the organization. If proven successful, a similar CRM system might replace Statistics Norway's central customer register.
Liechtenstein	-	-	-	-
Switzerland	X			Remarks: For distribution purposes, the BFS uses the software SAP. In this connection, client groups may be differentiated according to issue
				(which client groups are interested in what?). The use of this function, however, has not been fully developed, as yet.
Iceland		X		

A6. Is your office carrying out (has your office carried out) any kind of Customer Satisfaction Surveys or "image studies" or are they planned?

Country	Yes	No	Don't know	Describe
Belgium	X			Planned for 2003 – customer satisfaction survey
Denmark	X			
Germany	X			May be in the next years, connected with the development of quality-standards.
Greece		X		
Spain	X			
France	X			The unit carrying out "image studies" is different from the one which is carrying out Customer Surveys
Ireland	X			
Italy	X			
Luxembourg	X			
Netherlands	X			
Austria	X			
Portugal	X			
Finland	X			Yes, we have carried out several surveys.
Sweden	X			We regularly carry out three different CSS that are administered on an agency level (these will be the scope for this questionnaire). Individual statistical programmes, as well as supporting units, often carry out their own surveys as well.
United Kingdom	X			In June 2002 we completed a Customer Satisfaction Survey which focussed on the effectiveness of our telephone enquiry services to customers. It also explored general attitudes to ONS and its outputs.
Norway	X			
Liechtenstein	-	-	-	-
Switzerland	X			Remarks: Several, i.e. global as well as specific ones relating to a certain article
Iceland		X		

Section B

B1. Which of the following types of customer/user satisfaction surveys are (have been) carried out or are planned by your office?

Number 1: General ("Traditional") Customers Satisfaction Survey: (partly) standardized questionnaire directed to known customers/users of products/services (including surveys that measure customer satisfaction with a structural model)

Country	Carr	ried out	Planned	
	Since when	Periodicity	Since when	Periodicity
Belgium			2003	yearly
Denmark	1996	Quarterly		
Germany	only in 2000	unknown		
France	May-july 2001			
Ireland	2002			
Italy	2000	Quarterly		
Luxembourg			Planned, since ?	
Netherlands		Ad hoc		
Austria			2004	Every 2 years
Portugal	Jun-00	Not regular		
Finland	1992	1 year		
Sweden	1993	Ev. second year		
United Kingdom	2002	Ad hoc		
Switzerland	Early 1990's	About ev. 6 years	None, at present	

Number 2: Image studies, "Surveys of confidence" (citizens, general public: opinion research, analysis of mass media)

Country	Carried out		Planned	
	Since when	Periodicity	Since when	Periodicity
Denmark	2001	Annual		
France	May-june 2001		2004-2005	
Finland	1975	2 years		
Sweden	1970	Ev. second year		
Norway	2000	Twice a year	2000	Twice a year

Number 3: Registration of customers/users contacts/questions/complaints, by telephone, letter fax and e-mail.

Country	Carried out		Planned	
	Since when	Periodicity	Since when	Periodicity
Belgium	1999	Daily		
Denmark	1999	periodically		
Spain	1997	Monthly		
Italy	2001	Regularly		
Netherlands		Ad hoc		
Portugal	Oct-96	Permanent		
Finland	1999	Continuous		
Norway	Many years	All the time		

Target groups and product/service specific survey forms, respectively:

Number 4: (Qualitative) interviews (face-to-face, by post/e-mail) with key users (important stakeholders)

Country	Carri	Carried out		Planned
	Since when	Periodicity	Since when	Periodicity
Belgium			2003	
Spain	Always	Whenever possible		
France	May 2001	Every 2 months		
Finland			2003-4	Continious
United Kingdom	1999	Ad hoc		
Switzerland	Since the 90s, by expert groups and direct contacts	ongoing		

Number 5: Questionnaires added to printed publications (to collect data on the consumer satisfaction with a particular publication)

Country	Car	rried out		Planned	
	Since when	Periodicity	Since when	Periodicity	
Belgium			2003		
Denmark	mid 1990's	irregular			
Spain	1995	Yearly (some publications)			
France	2001		2003		
Ireland	2002				
Luxembourg	1989		2003		
Netherlands		Ad hoc			
Austria			2004	Currently	
Portugal	Oct-96	permanent			
Finland			2003-4	Continous	
United Kingdom	1990	Ad hoc			
Switzerland	Since the 90s	irregularly			

Number 6: Web questionnaires for web-users

Country	Carr	ried out	Pla	anned
	Since when	Periodicity	Since when	Periodicity
Belgium			2003	
Denmark	2002	Annual		
Spain			2003	Yearly?
France			2003	
Ireland	???			
Italy	2000 and 2002	Occasional		
Luxembourg			2003	
Netherlands		Ad hoc		
Austria			2004	Currently
Portugal	Jul-01	Not regular		
Finland	2000	1 year		
United Kingdom	2001	Ad hoc		
Norway			2003	
Switzerland			Perhaps for 2004	
			after having	
			launched the new	
			website	

Number 7: Special questionnaire for recipients of press releases (by post/e-mail; to collect information on the customer satisfaction with press releases)

Country	Carr	Carried out		nned
	Since when	Periodicity	Since when	Periodicity
Spain	2001			
Luxembourg	1998, 2002			
Austria	2002	Every 2 years		
Finland	1998	2 years	comissioned	Ready-made
				survey

Number 8: Special questionnaire for participants in press conferences, expert meetings, training courses of the NSIs (distribution of feedback sheets during the meeting; to collect information on the customer satisfaction with the respective meeting)

Country	Carried out		Planned	
	Since when	Periodicity	Since when	Periodicity
Denmark	beginning of 1990's	Regularly		
Italy	2001	Regularly		
Austria	2000	partly (currently)		
Portugal		Not regular		
Finland	1980's	Continuous		
Norway			2003	

Number 9: Special questionnaire for users of the statistical data-bank (by post/web-based/by e-mail; to collect information on the customer satisfaction with the data bank)

Country	Ca	arried out		Planned
	Since when	Periodicity	Since when	Periodicity
Denmark	2001			
France	June 2002			
Netherlands		Ad hoc		
Finland		LOOK AT	THE	POINT 3.
Norway			2003	
Switzerland	2000			

Number 10: Special questionnaire for users of the data shop/library (distribution to customers/users; to collect information on the customers satisfaction with supply and service)

Country	Carr	ied out	Planned	
	Since when	Periodicity	Since when	Periodicity
Denmark	2000	Annual		
France	End 2000		2003	
Italy	5-2000, 9-2000	Occasional		
Portugal	April-98	Permanent		
Finland	2000	Accidentally		
Switzerland			2003	

Number 11: Special survey focusing on "paying" customers (addresses/information from "sales statistics")

Country	Carried out		Planned	
	Since when	Periodicity	Since when	Periodicity
Denmark	1996	Quarterly		
France	April 2002			
Italy	2000	Occasional		
Finland		LOOK AT	THE	POINT 1.
Sweden	1996	Twice yearly		
Norway			2002	yearly

Number 12: Others

Country	Туре	Ca	Carried out			
		Since when	Periodicity			
Spain	Detailed analysis of usage of the website (log files)	1997	Monthly			
Italy	Special questionnaire for users of the local (region) data shop 1999					
Finland	An anonymous feedback channel on our homepages. Note points 3, 9 notes below* 1992 Cor					
Finland	Our contact persons get really often direct feedback by e-mail.		Continuous			
United Kingdom	Survey of journalists re press office services and development of press releases	2002	Ad hoc			
United Kingdom	Survey of users of NS books re future development of hard-copy portfolio	2002	Ad hoc			
United Kingdom	Survey of customers re development NS website, corporate identity, corporate performance and other issues.	2001	Ad hoc			

Remarks to B1:

Country	Remark
Spain	A very deep study was carried out by a private company in 2001 focused to the media in order to know their opinion about us. It was using a questionnaire but also
	many interviews to journalists.
France	See remarks B8
Finland	* Points 12 and 3. Feedback channel on our homepages is also linked to many of our services in web. It is mentioned in many of our brochures. We recommend also
	our employees to document the feedback they have got to this same Feedback channel, but most of the feedback comes from the visitors. Feedback is monitored daily
	and responded to whenever deemed necessary and the supplier has given his/her contact details.
Sweden	We have examples of almost all types of surveys included above. The ones mentioned here are the only ones that are part of a continuous programme though.
United Kingdom	A few of our more recent surveys are mentioned above (we have conducted a large number over the last 5 years). Some do not fit into the pre-defined categories listed and, anyway, space precludes inclusion of them all. If further details are required please get in touch with the contact point below (see B4). The questionnaire for the customer satisfaction survey mentioned at no 1 above is included. Much of our research is qualitative - while we run quantitative surveys such as the customer satisfaction survey mentioned above, we find we can get a great deal of useful information about our customers through qualitative methods such as focus groups. Such exercises do not require formal questionnaires.
	In addition to surveys of customer opinion we also carry out usability studies for the National Statistics website. This involves an element of observational research (for example watching people as they carry out tasks on the website) in addition to qualitative opinion gathering.
	As far as future surveys are concerned, we are currently in the process of planning our customer research work for the coming year. Details will be finalised in the coming months.
Norway	11. Statistics Norway's Business Register will perform yearly customer satisfaction surveys of paying customers. Replies to the first survey are being collected now (Dec. 2002).

B2. If no consumer/user satisfaction surveys are (have been) conducted, so far, and no ones are planned for the future: Why not?

Country	Cost reasons		Methodical reasons/ problems	Other reasons
Greece		X		
Iceland				Lack of recourses

B3. Is there a special organisation unit in your office which is responsible for customer/user satisfaction surveys? (If planned: will a special organisation unit be responsible for...)?

(11 planne	(11 piannea: will a special organisal									
Country	Yes	No	Don't know							
Belgium	X									
Denmark	X									
Germany	X									
Greece	-	-	-							
Spain		X								
France	X									
Ireland	-	-	-							
Italy	X									
Luxembourg		X								
Netherlands		X								
Austria	X									
Portugal		X								
Finland	X									
Sweden	X									
United Kingdom	X									
Norway	X									
Liechtenstein	-	-	-							
Switzerland	X									
Iceland		X								

B4. Please enter the organisation unit responsible (which will be responsible) for customer/user satisfaction surveys and specify the name and co-ordinates of your contact person for customer/user satiosfaction surveys:

Country	
Belgium	Marketing: Lydia Merckx, Lydia.merckx@statbel.mineco.fgov.be, phone 32 (0)2 548 62 75
Denmark	In principle the responsibility I connected to the product or service: the Library conducts surveys regarding library services, The databank unit conducts regarding web and databases, The publication unit regarding publications, the marketing unit regarding general issues.D;
Germany	It is still being planned
France	For dissemination purpose: Cellule Connaissance des publics; For "image studies": unit "Bureau de Presse"
Italy	Andrea TIDDI (Dissemination Department tiddi@istat.it)
Luxembourg	Unit A1- Centralisation and Dissemnination, Guy Zacharias, head of unit, guy.zacharias@statec.etat.lu
Austria	TQM-Bord; contact person: Werner Holzer; werner.holzer@statistik.gv.at
Finland	Mainly one unit is responsible. Jaana Andelin, jaana.andelin@stat.fi, telefax +358 9 1734 2474
Sweden	The Office of the Director General, Mats Bergdahl (mats.bergdahl@scb.se)
Norway	The Unit: Division for information and publishing. The contact person: Senior Statistical Adviser, Mr. Eyvind Frilseth, fri@ssb.no
United Kingdom	Organisational Unit: Marketing Management Section Person: Kevin McHale e-mail: kevin.mchale@ons.gov.uk
Switzerland	Information service BFS (Mr. Armin Grossenbacher, Ms. Verena Hirsch). No final differentiation of marketing organisation has been reached (yet) by BFS, this is a task to be implemented in cooperation with various institutions (general and conceptional aspects of the information service, individual measures by representatives of individual articles, individual surveys). In the course of building up a substantial management group an improvement of the marketing function is being worked out.

B5. If (parts of) customer/user satisfaction surveys are outsourced (if this is planned, respectively), please state particulars:

Country	
France	France Telecom for Telephone Diagnostic; IPSOS for Census; also planned
Finland	We have e.g. changed some questions.
Sweden	Data collection and analysis for our main CSI-surveys (B1).
United Kingdom	We have a roster of market research agencies which we use to conduct many of our research exercises
Norway	The image study is carried out by an independent private institute for market analyses (mapping peoples confidence in many types of institutions)

B6. Do you have a system for the management of complaints in your office?

Country	Yes	No, but planned	No (and not planned)	If "Yes" or "planned" please describe:	If "No (and not planned)", why not?
Belgium			X		Recourses and time ???
Denmark			X		
Germany		It is still being planned			
Greece	-	-	-		
Spain	X			Ruled by Law as in all public bodies. Official complaint sheets are always at everyone's disposal and are very frequently used.	
France	X			Only for SIRENE database: individual data on enterprises	
Ireland	X				
Italy	X			Our quality charter , in section "Procedure di reclamo", describe the process of complaints (in attachment Quality charter of Istat's dissemination department)	
Luxembourg			X		No resources
Netherlands	X			Infoservics@cbs.nl	
Austria		X -2004			
Portugal	X			In the Libraries, as well as in the reception and information areas, there is Box for suggestions or complaints. In addition, INE has adopted a "Complaints Book", along with the whole Public Administration services, which is also available in the reception and information areas. As a rule, no written complaint received will wait more than ten working days before getting a reply by INE's President.	
Finland			X		Contact persons in units take care of complaints, but the feedback channel on our homepages has also its role.
Sweden			X		Reasons are unclear. A "handle" in the organisation is lacking, which might be one explanation.

B6. (Continuation)

Country	Yes	No, but planned	No (and not planned)	If "Yes" or "planned" please describe:	If "No (and not planned)", why not?
United Kingdom		X		ONS does not currently have a formal customer complaints system. Currently complaints are dealt with by individual business areas or through our centralised Customer Enquiry Centre. Or, at a higher level, they may be made known through bodies like the Royal Statistical Society or the various User Groups and resolved through those channels. Early discussions are taking place within ONS about the possibility of developing a formal complaints procedure	
Norway	X			Are managed through the "line" organisation	
Liechtenstein	-	-	-		
Switzerland		X -04/05		Planned for 2004/05, perhaps	
Iceland		X		Not decided	

B7. Is there a special organisation unit in your office which is responsible for the management of complaints (If planned: will a special organisation unit be responsible for...)?

Country	Yes	No	Don't
			know
Belgium		X	
Denmark		X	
Spain	X		
France	X (sirene)		X
Ireland	X		
Italy		X	
Luxembourg		X	
Netherlands	X		
Austria			X
Portugal	X		
Finland		X	
Sweden		X	
United Kingdom	-	-	-
Norway		X	
Liechtenstein	-	_	-
Switzerland		X	
Iceland		X	

B8. Please state your previous general or specific experience and problems (expected problems in case of planned surveys) with customer/user satisfaction surveys, regarding conception, performance and analysis:

Country	
Spain	From my point of view an enormous amount of information can be obtained from the analysis of the usage of the website. An office like INE also receive normally thousand e-mails or letters every month with a lot of feedback from users. Visiting universities or talking with some other users such as journalists, market research companies or others also help to give us a picture of the situation. On the contrary I don't find traditional surveys to be so helpful. Normally the response rate is very low and information received can be very biased. We have a long experience with questionnaires attached to some special books such as the yearbook or the Monthly bulletin of Statistics. In a few words, the problem is not to develop surveys to know users' opinion but to be able to understand that we are receiving every day a lot of feedback from our users and to study it.
France	Before May 2002, surveys were more informal. Then, surveys are more automatic and periodical. The dissemination unit bought 2 specific softwares for such surveys: one to build questionnaires and another to analyse questionnaire answers (Lexical analysis is also available)
Luxembourg	The main problem is to get a representative range of feed back Generally, the response rate (in case of printed questionnaires) is low, and some user groups are over-represented. The willingness to respond to internet surveys is high, but in that case, we do not touch the off-line users. The results in case of questions regarding pricing are always the same: people want everything for free (because they are tax payers).
Portugal	From our experience, we consider the following aspects very important for the success of such surveys: * To have leadership support and involvement; * To have a complete users/customers profile;
	* To make a careful and previous analysis of the information concerning the respondents of these surveys; * To define previously and carefully the aims of the survey, the methodology adopted and the questionnaire design; * To implement improvement actions according to the results of the surveys; * In addition, to implement smaller and user group directed surveys; * To have always in mind the cycle: Evaluate (Satisfaction) – analyse results – check with related information – implement actions – evaluate (satisfaction) again.
Finland	We have many sorts of customers and they use many different services of ours. Despite that we can classify our customers, this causes some problems in defining the sample and the target groups. These same problems complicate also the analysis.
Sweden	* Definition of the population is sometimes a problem *Formulation of the questions is very tricky. We do not necessarily interpret terminology the same way as the respondents ("quality" and "timeliness" are two recent examples). * The response rate tends to be rather low. * The implementation of the results in the organisation is probably our biggest problem. Many tend to believe that they know better what the customers thinks.
United Kingdom	Using independent research companies to conduct research can encourage respondents to be more frank and open and can give the findings more credibility within the organisation. In addition research companies also have expertise in reaching groups for whom we do not have contact details in our internal databases - for example citizens.
	Challenges revolve around budget constraints and ensuring that research results are properly input to corporate decision making.
Norway	There is a general problem that the data about (and from) our customers are not collected for marketing purposes but for more traditional administrative purposes. We don't have a central customer register that can register and store information to be used for sale and marketing purposes. Hence, with regards to customer relationship management, our data quality is low. The information gathered about our customers in our current customer register is not sufficient to conduct good surveys or perform thorough analysis.
	We are also experiencing a dilemma with the increased use of our website. Although we of course appreciate the site's popularity among our users, we are troubled by the fact that the users remain anonymous and are not providing us with information about their name, address, level of satisfaction and customer needs. We are however addressing this challenge and will try to perform various kinds of web surveys to get a better picture of who are using our website and what for. Statistics Norway is partly funded directly by the government (app. 75% of our revenue) and consequently most of our products and services are freely available on our website. In order to keep the goodwill of the government at a required lever, we need to document how widespread the use of our products and services are in the Norwegian society.
	Describe other sources of user information briefly: We have started to use a new an interesting source on use of our statistics: Scanning (a private service) of references to Statistics Norway in net media (Internet editions of newspapers, journals etc). This provides both daily statistics and concrete links to the articles. In fact, we can search on any topic, for example specific statistics. The service seems to be international, and we are able to compare for example the number of references to Statistics Norway in Norwegian net media to the number of references to Statistics Sweden in Swedish net media.

C1. How do you perform the different surveys? What kind of information on expectations and satisfaction of customers/users do you collect by which types of customer/user satisfaction surveys (incl. methods/survey instruments)? (do you plan to collect, respectively?) Please complete the following table for planned surveys, too (as far as possible).

Number 1: General ("Traditional") Customers Satisfaction Survey: (partly) standardized questionnaire directed to known customers/users of products/services (including surveys that measure customer satisfaction with a structural model)

	Country	Denmark	Germany	France	Italy	Luxembourg	Portugal	Sweden	Switzerland (1991)
5.0	Carried out=1 Planned=2	1	1 (year 2000)	1	1	2	1	1	1
5.1	(Planned) Target group (customers/users)	customers buying for more than 5,000 dkk a quarter	customers of the Eurostat Data Shop Berlin	All telephone customers	Users of the data shop/library	all	All clients since 1998	"Large", experienced users and customers	(Potential) users
5.2	(Planned) Survey methods and instruments	follow the invoice	written survey (per e-mail)	Telephone	Questionnaire	internet	4 pages paper questionnaire	Mail questionnaire	Interviews
5.3	(Planned:) Census or sample?	census	sample	sample	Census	census	Census	Census	Expert interviews
5.4	(Planned) Numbers of respondents	?	112		4036 (Jan-sept 2002)	?	1127	1600-1800	About 30
5.5	(Expected) Non-response rate	25?	78% (= 397)			?	Non-response to the questionnair:76,5 % Non-response to each question :< 5%	40%	
5.6	If you (will) have non- response in your survey, how do you (will you) treat this problem	-	with "not applicable"			trying out other methods	Non-response to the question- naire: not treated Non-response to each question :it was too low, so we didn't apply any non-res- ponse analysis	Ambitious follow- up, no specific method for compensation	
5.7	Statistical products and services included (planned)1=only statistical products 2=only services, 3=both	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3

Number 1 (Continuation)

	Country	Denmark	Germany	France	Italy	Luxembourg	Portugal	Sweden	Switzerland (1991)
5.8	Which quality dimensions of Eurostat (Relevance, Accuracy, Timeliness, Accessibility /Clarity, Comparability, Coherens, Completeness) are (will be) considered?	?	Data up-to dateness, Clarity of data tables, data comp-leteness, data quality, Explanatory notes, data prices, qu. 6	All But without quoting them precisely	All	all	Relevance, Accuracy, Timeliness, Accessibility/ Clarity, Comparability, Coherence, Completeness	All but completeness	All
5.9	Which statistical areas are (will be) included un the surveys?		service and quality in general			all	All	Organisational perspective, but results are also computed for the four subject matter departments.	All
5.10	Do you (will you) ask for expectations and the satisfaction with the obtained results? 1= only satisfaction, 2= only expectation, 3= both	1	(importance and satisfaction)	3		3	3	1	3
5.11	Do you (will you) investigate into the differences between the expectations and the results obtained from the users?	no	differences between importance and satisfaction	partly		yes	Yes	No	No in-depth- investigations
5.12	(Planned) Respective questions/items on expectation and satisfaction (enter here, if only few, or cross reference to separate annex/questionnaires)	no	YES (Question 7.)	See questionnaire		?		Questionnaire available in Swedish upon request.	
5.13	Do you (will you) also ask about expectations and satisfaction with metadata and documentation?		NO	Not really		yes	Yes	Only satisfaction	No

Number 1 (Continuation)

	Country	Denmark	Germany	France	Italy	Luxembourg	Portugal	Sweden	Switzerland
5.14	What kind of background information on the respondents of the survey/questionnaire do you ask for?		employees in the organisation, frequency of the orders	Name, surname, position, organisation, Main user ?	Sector of interests, position	socio- professional status branch	(not compulsory:) Name, organisation, position, address, Email, phone, fax	None (available in our registers, but is not used for analysis)	Branch/ industry, method of use
5.15	Which scales do you (will you) use (numerical, non-numerical, dichotomy)?	numerical	non-numerical			?	non-numerical and dichotomy	1-10	Open questions
5.16	Do you (will you) calculate something like a satisfaction index? If yes: how?	yes	average mark	No		no	No yet	Yes. (average-1) *100/9	No
5.17	Do you (will you) (also) ask for free form feedback?		YES	Yes	Yes	yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
5.18	Do you (will you) request a feedback about the questionnaire itself (in order to improve it)?		NO	No	No	yes	No	No (but we get it anyway)	No
5.19	Do you (will you) use survey methods/questionnaires by other NSIs or by other NPOs, or by the private sector as a model for your survey/questionnaire? If yes: why? If no: why not?	no	Eurostat had only produced a questionnaire for a telephone interview		No	yes, for inspiration	No; we designed our questionnaire after having stu- dy several others questionnaires	Yes	No
5.20	Is the survey/questionnaire or/and are the results comparable to those by other NSIs, by other NPOs, by the private sector? (Willbe comparable?)		-		No		No	Yes	No
5.21	Which methods are (will be) used to analyse the data? Including multi- variant analysis?		with help of scale (manual)	Very often cross tables and graphics			Descriptive. Multi-variant analysis was planned but not yet realised	PLS	Qualitative evaluation

Number 1 (Continuation)

	Country	Denmark	Germany	France	Italy	Luxembourg	Portugal	Sweden	Switzerland
5.22	Are (Will) the results (be) published? If yes, how (internet, intranet, paper, press release etc)?	intranet	YES, paper: Wirtschaft und Statistik (NSI), Data Shop-ing (Eurostat)	Internal	Internal document	no	No	Yes. Paper report and Internet	Internally published
5.23	Do (will) you give feedback about main results of the survey to the customers/users involved in surveys?		NO	No	No	no	No	Yes	No
5.24	Do (will) you contact users who turned out to be dissatisfied with regard to certain areas?		YES	Yes but not systematically	No	no	No	Yes, if they request it.	Targeted contacts
5.25	How are (will) responsible persons in your institution (be) involved with results of the survey?	Management gets the results	presentation of the results	When results are impressive, they are really involved	Yes	discussion in management comity	Regional Directorates	Do not fully understand question. Please clarify.	Development of a marketing concept and measures
5.26	Do (will) the results lead to action plans for quality improvements?		YES	Yes		yes		Yes	Yes
5.27	Required (planned) resources (time, persons, costs):		~ three quarters, 1 person, costs ?	Nearly 30 people for 2 months (only once)	2 persons	not identified	4 people during 3 months. Costs: 30 000 Euros	40 000 Euro, 150 man/hours	(ab.: 1 year, 2 persons, € 80'000)
5.28	Additional remarks		-				Please see B8		

Number 2: Image studies, "Surveys of confidence" (citizens, general public: opinion research, analysis of mass media)

	Country	France	Sweden	Switzerland
5.0	Carried out=1	1 + 2	1	1 (Electronic client survey
	Planned=2			diffusion, 1995)
5.1	(Planned) Target group (customers/users)		General public	(Potential) users
5.2	(Planned) Survey methods and instruments		Mail questionnaire	Interviews
5.3	(Planned:) Census or sample?		Sample	Expert interviews
5.4	(Planned) Numbers of respondents		2 000	About 50
5.5	(Expected) Non-response rate		50%	
5.6	If you (will) have non-response in your survey, how do you (will you) treat this problem		Ambitious follow-up, post-stratification method for compensation	
5.7	Statistical products and services included (planned)		cation method for compensation	1
	1=only statistical products 2=only services 3=both		1	1
5.8	Which quality dimensions of Eurostat (<i>Relevance, Accuracy, Timeliness, Accessibility/Clarity, Comparability, Coherens, Completeness</i>) are (will be) considered?		Accuracy	All
5.9	Which statistical areas are (will be) included un the surveys?		CPI and unemployment rate	All
5.10	Do you (will you) ask for expectations and the satisfaction with the obtained results? 1= only satisfaction, 2= only expectation, 3= both		1	3
5.11	Do you (will you) investigate into the differences between the expectations and the results obtained from the users?		No	No in-depth investigations
5.12	(Planned) Respective questions/items on expectation and satisfaction (enter here, if only few, or cross reference to separate annex/questionnaires)		Questionnaire available in Swedish upon request.	
5.13	Do you (will you) also ask about expectations and satisfaction with metadata and documentation?		No No	Yes
5.14	What kind of background information on the respondents of the survey/questionnaire do you ask for?		Sex, age, income, level of education.	Branch/industry, method of use
5.15	Which scales do you (will you) use (numerical, non-numerical, dichotomy)?		Dichotomy	Open questions
5.16	Do you (will you) calculate something like a satisfaction index? If yes: how?	No	No	No
5.17	Do you (will you) (also) ask for free form feedback?		No	Yes
5.18	Do you (will you) request a feedback about the questionnaire itself (in order to improve it)?		No	No
	Do you (will you) use survey methods/questionnaires by other NSIs or by other NPOs, or by the private sector as a model for your survey/questionnaire? If yes: why? If no: why not?		No	No
5.20	Is the survey/questionnaire or/and are the results comparable to those by other NSIs, by other NPOs, by the private sector? (Willbe comparable?)		No	No
5.21	Which methods are (will be) used to analyse the data? Including multi-variant analysis?		No specific method	Qualitative evaluation
5.22	Are (Will) the results (be) published? If yes, how (internet, intranet, paper, press release etc)?		Yes. Paper report	Internally published

Number 2 (Continuation)

	Country	France	Sweden	Switzerland
5.23	Do (will) you give feedback about main results of the survey to the		No	No
	customers/users involved in surveys?			
5.24	Do (will) you contact users who turned out to be dissatisfied with regard to certain		No	No
	areas?			
5.25	How are (will) responsible persons in your institution (be) involved with results of		Do not fully understand question.	Development of a marke-
	the survey?		Please clarify.	ting concept and measures
5.26	Do (will) the results lead to action plans for quality improvements?		If needed	Yes
5.27	Required (planned) resources (time, persons, costs):		7 000 Euro, 50 man/hours	(ab.: 6 months, 1 person, €
				30'000)
5.28	Additional remarks			

Number 3: Registration of customers/users contacts/questions/complaints, by telephone, letter fax and e-mail.

	Country	Italy	Spain	Switzerland
5.0	Carried out=1	1	1	1, in-depth analyses of individu-
	Planned=2			al products (printed publications)
5.1	(Planned) Target group (customers/users)	Users who contact Istat by e- mail or fax	Not applicable	Users
5.2	(Planned) Survey methods and instruments	Standard form and database access	N/A	Interviews
5.3	(Planned:) Census or sample?	Census	Census	Census/sample
5.4	(Planned) Numbers of respondents	4825 (2001)	3000 e-mails or letters per month	Variable
5.5	(Expected) Non-response rate		N/A	
5.6	If you (will) have non-response in your survey, how do you (will you) treat this problem			
5.7	Statistical products and services included (planned)1=only statistical products 2=only services, 3=both	3	N/A	1
5.8	Which quality dimensions of Eurostat (<i>Relevance, Accuracy, Timeliness, Accessibility//Clarity, Comparability, Coherens, Completeness</i>) are (will be) considered?	All	N/A	All
5.9	Which statistical areas are (will be) included un the surveys?		N/A	1 Topic
5.10	Do you (will you) ask for expectations and the satisfaction with the obtained results? 1= only satisfaction, 2= only expectation, 3= both		N/A	3
5.11	Do you (will you) investigate into the differences between the expectations and the results obtained from the users?		N/A	Yes
5.12	(Planned) Respective questions/items on expectation and satisfaction (enter here, if only few, or cross reference to separate annex/questionnaires)		N/A	
5.13	Do you (will you) also ask about expectations and satisfaction with metadata and documentation?		N/A	Partly
5.14	What kind of background information on the respondents of the survey/questionnaire do you ask for?	Customer typology, organisation unit, activity sector, statistical areas	N/A	Diverse

Number 3 (Continuation)

	Country	Italy	Spain	Switzerland
5.15	Which scales do you (will you) use (numerical, non-numerical, dichotomy)?		N/A	Numerical (ordinal) scales
5.16	Do you (will you) calculate something like a satisfaction index? If yes: how?		N/A	No
5.17	Do you (will you) (also) ask for free form feedback?	No	N/A	Yes
5.18	Do you (will you) request a feedback about the questionnaire itself (in order to improve it)?	No	N/A	No
5.19	Do you (will you) use survey methods/questionnaires by other NSIs or by other NPOs, or by the private sector as a model for your survey/questionnaire? If yes: why? If no: why not?	No	N/A	No
5.20	Is the survey/questionnaire or/and are the results comparable to those by other NSIs, by other NPOs, by the private sector? (Willbe comparable?)	No	N/A	No
5.21	Which methods are (will be) used to analyse the data? Including multi-variant analysis?		Analysis of number or request/complaints by subjects	Simple analyses
5.22	Are (Will) the results (be) published? If yes, how (internet, intranet, paper, press release etc)?	Internal document	Yes; monthly on the intranet	Internally published
5.23	Do (will) you give feedback about main results of the survey to the customers/users involved in surveys?	No	N/A	Partly
5.24	Do (will) you contact users who turned out to be dissatisfied with regard to certain areas?	No	YES. All complaints are answered within 20 days.	No
5.25	How are (will) responsible persons in your institution (be) involved with results of the survey?	Yes		Improvement of the product
5.26	Do (will) the results lead to action plans for quality improvements?		NO	Yes
5.27	Required (planned) resources (time, persons, costs):	2 persons	One person, one day to prepare the monthly report	Variable
5.28	Additional remarks			

Number 4: (Qualitative) interviews (face-to-face, by post/e-mail) with key users (important stakeholders)

	Country	France	Spain	Switzerland
5.0	Carried out=1 Planned=2	1 + 2	1	1; Short questionnaires on individual products(printed publications, online DB)
5.1	(Planned) Target group (customers/users)	Specific product customers	Universities, companies,	Users
5.2	(Planned) Survey methods and instruments	Phone	N/A	Interviews
5.3	(Planned:) Census or sample?	sample	Sample	Census
5.4	(Planned) Numbers of respondents		5-10 interviews per year	500-1500
5.5	(Expected) Non-response rate		N/A	
5.6	If you (will) have non-response in your survey, how do you (will you) treat this problem	We do not treat non-response		
5.7	Statistical products and services included (planned) 1=only statistical products 2=only services, 3=both	3	N/A	1

Number 4 (Continuation)

	Country	France	Spain	Switzerland
5.8	Which quality dimensions of Eurostat (<i>Relevance</i> , <i>Accuracy</i> ,	All	N/A	All
	Timeliness, Accessibility/Clarity, Comparability, Coherens,	But without quoting them		
	Completeness) are (will be) considered?	precisely		
5.9	Which statistical areas are (will be) included un the surveys?		N/A	1 Topic
5.10	Do you (will you) ask for expectations and the satisfaction with the	3	N/A	3
	obtained results? 1= only satisfaction, 2= only expectation, 3= both			
5.11	Do you (will you) investigate into the differences between the	partly	N/A	No in-depth investigations
	expectations and the results obtained from the users?			
5.12	(Planned) Respective questions/items on expectation and satisfaction	See questionnaire	N/A	see example (Annex 2)
	(enter here, if only few, or cross reference to separate			
	annex/questionnaires)			
5.13	Do you (will you) also ask about expectations and satisfaction with	Not really	N/A	No
	metadata and documentation?			
5.14	What kind of background information on the respondents of the	Name, surname, position,	N/A	Branch/industry
	survey/questionnaire do you ask for?	organisation, Main user?		
5.15	Which scales do you (will you) use (numerical, non-numerical,		N/A	Numerical (ordinal) scales
	dichotomy)?			
5.16	Do you (will you) calculate something like a satisfaction index? If yes:	No	N/A	No
	how?			
5.17	Do you (will you) (also) ask for free form feedback?	yes	N/A	Yes
5.18	Do you (will you) request a feedback about the questionnaire itself (in	No	N/A	No
	order to improve it)?			
5.19	Do you (will you) use survey methods/questionnaires by other NSIs or		N/A	No
	by other NPOs, or by the private sector as a model for your			
	survey/questionnaire? If yes: why? If no: why not?			
5.20	Is the survey/questionnaire or/and are the results comparable to those		N/A	No
	by other NSIs, by other NPOs, by the private sector? (Willbe			
	comparable?)			
5.21	Which methods are (will be) used to analyse the data? Including	Very often cross tables and	N/A	Simple analyses
	multi-variant analysis?	graphics		
5.22	Are (Will) the results (be) published? If yes, how (internet, intranet,		N/A	Internally published
	paper, press release etc)?			
5.23	Do (will) you give feedback about main results of the survey to the	No	N/A	Internally published
	customers/users involved in surveys?			
5.24	Do (will) you contact users who turned out to be dissatisfied with	Yes but not systematically	N/A	No
	regard to certain areas?			
5.25	How are (will) responsible persons in your institution (be) involved	When results are impressive,		Improvement of the product
	with results of the survey?	they are really involved		
5.26	Do (will) the results lead to action plans for quality improvements?	Yes	N/A	Yes
5.27	Required (planned) resources (time, persons, costs):	1 to 2 person; 1 week		Very low
5.28	Additional remarks	Î		

Number 5: Questionnaires added to printed publications (to collect data on the consumer satisfaction with a particular publication)

	Country	Denmark	Spain	France	Luxembourg	Portugal	Switzerland
5.0	Carried out=1 Planned=2	1	1	1+2	1,2	1	1; In-depth analyses of whole areas (market launches)
5.1	(Planned) Target group (customers/users)	buyers of the book	All users	Customers that have bought products	all		Users
5.2	(Planned) Survey methods and instruments	Question-naire in the book	N/A	Mail in new release	questionnaire	Post-card questionnaire	Interviews
5.3	(Planned:) Census or sample?	census	Self-selected sample	sample	census	Census	Sample
5.4	(Planned) Numbers of respondents	? (few)	Average: 50	2%	?	(permanent)	About 30-50
5.5	(Expected) Non-response rate	big	95% or higher		75%	99 %	
5.6	If you (will) have non-response in your survey, how do you (will you) treat this problem	-	-	We do not treat non-response	trying out other methods	Not treated	
5.7	Statistical products and services included (planned)1=only statistical products, 2=only services, 3=both	1	Year book, Ahort yearbook, monthly bulletin of Statistics	1	3	1	3
5.8	Which quality dimensions of Eurostat (Relevance, Accuracy, Timeliness, Accessibility/Clarity, Comparability, Coherens, Completeness) are (will be) considered?			All But without quoting them precisely	all	Timeliness, Useful- ness. Others: Gene- ral quality of the information, Layout of the publications	All
5.9	Which statistical areas are (will be) included un the surveys?		N/A		all	All	1 Area
5.10	Do you (will you) ask for expectations and the satisfaction with the obtained results? 1= only satisfaction, 2= only expectation, 3= both			3	3	1	3
5.11	Do you (will you) investigate into the differences between the expectations and the results obtained from the users?			partly	yes	?	Yes
5.12	(Planned) Respective questions/items on expectation and satisfaction (enter here, if only few, or cross reference to separate annex/questionnaires)			See questionnaire	?		
5.13	Do you (will you) also ask about expectations and satisfaction with metadata and documentation?		YES	Once Not really	yes	No	Partly

Annex 1

5.14	What kind of background information on the respondents of the	Organisation, positio activity sector	position, organisation,	socio-professional status, branch	(not compulsory:) Name, organisation,	Diverse
	survey/questionnaire do you ask for?		Main user ?		address, phone, fax	

Number 5 (Continuation)

	Country	Denmark	Spain	France	Luxembourg	Portugal	Switzerland
5.15	Which scales do you (will you) use (numerical, non-numerical, dichotomy)?		No scales. Just comments.		?	non-numerical and dichotomy	Numerical (ordinal) scales
5.16	Do you (will you) calculate something like a satisfaction index? If yes: how?		NO	No	no	?	No
5.17	Do you (will you) (also) ask for free form feedback?		YES	yes	yes	No	Yes
5.18	Do you (will you) request a feedback about the questionnaire itself (in order to improve it)?		NO	No	yes	No	No
5.19	Do you (will you) use survey methods/ questionnaires by other NSIs or by other NPOs, or by the private sector as a model for your survey/questionnaire? If yes: why? If no: why not?		NO.		yes, for inspiration	?	No
5.20	Is the survey/questionnaire or/and are the results comparable to those by other NSIs, by other NPOs, by the private sector? (Willbe comparable?)		YES.			No	No
5.21	Which methods are (will be) used to analyse the data? Including multivariant analysis?		Simple marginal frequencys analysis	Very often cross tables and graphics		No	Simple analyses
5.22	Are (Will) the results (be) published? If yes, how (internet, intranet, paper, press release etc)?		NO		no	No	Internally published
5.23	Do (will) you give feedback about main results of the survey to the customers/users involved in surveys?		NO	No	no	No	Partly
5.24	Do (will) you contact users who turned out to be dissatisfied with regard to certain areas?		NO	Yes but not systematically	no	No	Possibly
5.25	How are (will) responsible persons in your institution (be) involved with results of the survey?			When results are impressive, they are really involved	discussion in management comity	Department for Dissemination and Promotion	Development of a marketing concept and measures
5.26	Do (will) the results lead to action plans for quality improvements?		YES	Yes	yes		Yes
5.27	Required (planned) resources (time, persons, costs):		No changes in resources. Just changes in chapters of the books.	1 to 2 person 15 days to 1 month	not identified	_	Variable
5.28	Additional remarks					Please see B8	

Number 6: Web questionnaires for web-users

	Country	Spain	Italy year 2000	Italy year 2002	Portugal
5.0	Carried out=1	2	1	1	1
5.1	Planned=2 (Planned) Target group (customers/users)	Web users	Web users	Web users	All users having an e-mail adress
5.2	(Planned) Survey methods and instruments	N/A	Web questionnaire	Web questionnaire	Electronic questionnaire
5.3	(Planned:) Census or sample?	Self-selected sample	Sample	Sample	Census
5.4	(Planned) Numbers of respondents	N/A	372	127	1009
5.5	(Expected) Non-response rate	N/A			97%
5.6	If you (will) have non-response in your survey, how do you (will you) treat this problem				Data was not treated
5.7	Statistical products and services included (planned)1=only statistical products 2=only services, 3=both	The website	3	3	2
5.8	Which quality dimensions of Eurostat (<i>Relevance</i> , <i>Accuracy</i> , <i>Timeliness</i> , <i>Accessibility</i> / <i>Clarity</i> , <i>Comparability</i> , <i>Coherens</i> , <i>Completeness</i>) are (will be) considered?		All	All	Relevance, Accuracy, Timeliness, Accessibility/ Clarity, Comparability, Coherence, Completeness
5.9	Which statistical areas are (will be) included un the surveys?		All areas		All
5.10	Do you (will you) ask for expectations and the satisfaction with the obtained results? 1= only satisfaction, 2= only expectation, 3= both		1	3	3
5.11	Do you (will you) investigate into the differences between the expectations and the results obtained from the users?		No	Yes	no
5.12	(Planned) Respective questions/items on expectation and satisfaction (enter here, if only few, or cross reference to separate annex/questionnaires)		No	Yes	
5.13	Do you (will you) also ask about expectations and satisfaction with metadata and documentation?		No	Yes	Yes
5.14	What kind of background information on the respondents of the survey/questionnaire do you ask for?		Sex, age, organisation unit, position, credits, statistical areas	Sex, age, organisation unit, position, credits	(not compulsory:) Name, address, Email, phone
5.15	Which scales do you (will you) use (numerical, non-numerical, dichotomy)?		numerical	numerical	numerical, non-numerical and dichotomy
5.16	Do you (will you) calculate something like a satisfaction index? If yes: how?				No
5.17	Do you (will you) (also) ask for free form feedback?		No	Yes	Yes

Number 6 (Continuation)

	Country	Spain	Italy year 2000	Italy year 2002	Portugal
5.18	Do you (will you) request a feedback about the questionnaire itself (in order to improve it)?		No	No	No
5.19	Do you (will you) use survey methods/questionnaires by other NSIs or by other NPOs, or by the private sector as a model for your survey/questionnaire? If yes: why? If no: why not?		No	No	No
5.20	Is the survey/questionnaire or/and are the results comparable to those by other NSIs, by other NPOs, by the private sector? (Willbe comparable?)		No	No	No
5.21	Which methods are (will be) used to analyse the data? Including multi-variant analysis?		Multi-variant analysis	NO	No
5.22	Are (Will) the results (be) published? If yes, how (internet, intranet, paper, press release etc)?		Paper	Internal document	No
5.23	Do (will) you give feedback about main results of the survey to the customers/users involved in surveys?		No	No	No
5.24	Do (will) you contact users who turned out to be dissatisfied with regard to certain areas?		No	No	No
5.25	How are (will) responsible persons in your institution (be) involved with results of the survey?		Yes	Yes	Department for Dissemination and Promotion
5.26	Do (will) the results lead to action plans for quality improvements?				
5.27	Required (planned) resources (time, persons, costs):		4 persons (project in collaboration with Univ.)	1 persons (Stage)	_
5.28	Additional remarks				Please see B8

Number 7: Special questionnaire for recipients of press releases (by post/e-mail; to collect information on the customer satisfaction with press releases)

	Country	Spain	Austria
5.0	Carried out=1	1	1
	Planned=2	Once, in 2001	
5.1	(Planned) Target group (customers/users)	Journalistst (developed in 2001)	recipients of press releases
5.2	(Planned) Survey methods and instruments	Personal interview with a questionnaire	short questionnaire by post/fax
5.3	(Planned:) Census or sample?	Sample	census
5.4	(Planned) Numbers of respondents	80 journalists	522
5.5	(Expected) Non-response rate	0	53 %
5.6	If you (will) have non-response in your survey, how do you (will you) treat this problem		reminder letters/telephone calls
5.7	Statistical products and services included (planned)1=only statistical products	Press releases,	2
	2=only services, 3=both	The website	
5.8	Which quality dimensions of Eurostat (<i>Relevance</i> , <i>Accuracy</i> , <i>Timeliness</i> , <i>Accessibility</i> / <i>Clarity</i> , <i>Comparability</i> , <i>Coherens</i> , <i>Completeness</i>) are (will be) considered?		
5.9	Which statistical areas are (will be) included un the surveys?	All	all
5.10	Do you (will you) ask for expectations and the satisfaction with the obtained results? 1= only satisfaction, 2= only expectation, 3= both	Satisfaktion	3
5.11	Do you (will you) investigate into the differences between the expectations and the results obtained from the users?	No	
5.12	(Planned) Respective questions/items on expectation and satisfaction (enter here, if only few, or cross reference to separate annex/questionnaires)		See separate annex (short questionnaire)
5.13	Do you (will you) also ask about expectations and satisfaction with metadata and documentation?	YES	no
5.14	What kind of background information on the respondents of the survey/questionnaire do you ask for?	There were personal interviews to selected journalists, so we had all this background info.	just institutional differentiation of the users
5.15	Which scales do you (will you) use (numerical, non-numerical, dichotomy)?	No scales. Comments.	non-numerical, dichotomy
5.16	Do you (will you) calculate something like a satisfaction index? If yes: how?	NO	no
5.17	Do you (will you) (also) ask for free form feedback?	YES	yes
5.18	Do you (will you) request a feedback about the questionnaire itself (in order to improve it)?	NO	no
5.19	Do you (will you) use survey methods/questionnaires by other NSIs or by other NPOs, or by the private sector as a model for your survey/questionnaire? If yes: why? If no: why not?	NO	no
5.20	Is the survey/questionnaire or/and are the results comparable to those by other NSIs, by other NPOs, by the private sector? (Willbe comparable?)	YES	don't know

Number 7 (Continuation)

	Country	Spain	Austria
5.21	Which methods are (will be) used to analyse the data? Including multi-variant analysis?	Qualitative analysis and marginal freqs analysis of questions.	Frequencies, cross tabulation
5.22	Are (Will) the results (be) published? If yes, how (internet, intranet, paper, press release etc)?	YES; an internal report in paper.	Yes, Internet (homepage of Statistics Austria)
5.23	Do (will) you give feedback about main results of the survey to the customers/users involved in surveys?	NO	No special feedback, just publication of the results (Internet)
5.24	Do (will) you contact users who turned out to be dissatisfied with regard to certain areas?	N/A	Yes
5.25	How are (will) responsible persons in your institution (be) involved with results of the survey?	Very involved	Internal trainings for authors of press releases
5.26	Do (will) the results lead to action plans for quality improvements?	The results of the survey led to an action plan, already working.	Internal trainings for authors of press releases
5.27	Required (planned) resources (time, persons, costs):	A private company. Two consultants.	0,5 month/person
5.28	Additional remarks		

Number 9: Special questionnaire for users of the statistical data-bank (by post/webb-based/by e-mail; to collect information on the customer satisfaction with the data bank)

	Country	France	Portugal
5.0	Carried out=1	1+2	1
	Planned=2		
5.1	(Planned) Target group (customers/users)	Refers to the data-bank	All users of our libraries
5.2	(Planned) Survey methods and instruments	Phone and e-mail	2 pages paper questionnaire
5.3	(Planned:) Census or sample?	sample	Census
5.4	(Planned) Numbers of respondents		
			(permanent)
5.5	(Expected) Non-response rate		0%
5.6	If you (will) have non-response in your survey, how do you (will you) treat this problem	We do not treat non-response	Not treated
5.7	Statistical products and services included (planned)1=only statistical products	3	3
	2=only services, 3=both		
5.8	Which quality dimensions of Eurostat (Relevance, Accuracy, Timeliness, Accessibility	All	Applying to products: Timeliness,
	/Clarity, Comparability, Coherens, Completeness) are (will be) considered?	But without quoting them precisely	Data detailing, Accessibility/Clarity
			Applying to the service (Library):
			Accommodation and answering
			quality, Data detailing
5.9	Which statistical areas are (will be) included un the surveys?		All
5.10	Do you (will you) ask for expectations and the satisfaction with the obtained results? 1=	3	1
	only satisfaction, 2= only expectation, 3= both		

Number 9 (Continuation)

	Country	France	Portugal
5.11	Do you (will you) investigate into the differences between the expectations and the results obtained from the users?	partly	_
5.12	(Planned) Respective questions/items on expectation and satisfaction (enter here, if only few, or cross reference to separate annex/questionnaires)	See questionnaire	
5.13	Do you (will you) also ask about expectations and satisfaction with metadata and documentation?	Not really	No
5.14	What kind of background information on the respondents of the survey/questionnaire do you ask for?	Name, surname, position, organisation, Main user ?	(not compulsory:) Name, address, Email, phone
5.15	Which scales do you (will you) use (numerical, non-numerical, dichotomy)?		non-numerical
5.16	Do you (will you) calculate something like a satisfaction index? If yes: how?	No	No yet
5.17	Do you (will you) (also) ask for free form feedback?	yes	yes
5.18	Do you (will you) request a feedback about the questionnaire itself (in order to improve it)?	No	No
5.19	Do you (will you) use survey methods/questionnaires by other NSIs or by other NPOs, or by the private sector as a model for your survey/questionnaire? If yes: why? If no: why not?		No
5.20	Is the survey/questionnaire or/and are the results comparable to those by other NSIs, by other NPOs, by the private sector? (Willbe comparable?)		No
5.21	Which methods are (will be) used to analyse the data? Including multi-variant analysis?	Very often cross tables and graphics	Descriptive. We intend to make a multi-variant analysis
5.22	Are (Will) the results (be) published? If yes, how (internet, intranet, paper, press release etc)?		Intranet
5.23	Do (will) you give feedback about main results of the survey to the customers/users involved in surveys?	No	No
5.24	Do (will) you contact users who turned out to be dissatisfied with regard to certain areas?	Yes but not systematically	No
5.25	How are (will) responsible persons in your institution (be) involved with results of the survey?	When results are impressive, they are really involved	Regional Directorates
5.26	Do (will) the results lead to action plans for quality improvements?	Yes	
5.27	Required (planned) resources (time, persons, costs):	1 to 2 person	_
5.28	Additional remarks		Please see B8

Number 10: Special questionnaire for users of the data shop/library (distribution to customers/users; to collect information on the customers satisfaction with supply and service)

	Country	Italy
5.0	Carried out=1	1
3.0	Planned=2	1
5.1	(Planned) Target group (customers/users)	Users of the data shop
5.2	(Planned) Survey methods and instruments	Direct interview
5.3	(Planned:) Census or sample?	Sample
5.4	(Planned) Numbers of respondents	134
5.5	(Expected) Non-response rate	
5.6	If you (will) have non-response in your survey, how do you (will you) treat this problem	
5.7	Statistical products and services included (planned)1=only statistical products 2=only services, 3=both	3
5.8	Which quality dimensions of Eurostat (<i>Relevance</i> , <i>Accuracy</i> , <i>Timeliness</i> , <i>Accessibility</i> / <i>Clarity</i> , <i>Comparability</i> , <i>Coherens</i> , <i>Completeness</i>) are (will be) considered?	All
5.9	Which statistical areas are (will be) included un the surveys?	All areas
5.10	Do you (will you) ask for expectations and the satisfaction with the obtained results? 1= only satisfaction, 2= only expectation, 3= both	1
5.11	Do you (will you) investigate into the differences between the expectations and the results obtained from the users?	No
5.12	(Planned) Respective questions/items on expectation and satisfaction (enter here, if only few, or cross reference to separate annex/questionnaires)	No
5.13	Do you (will you) also ask about expectations and satisfaction with metadata and documentation?	No
5.14	What kind of background information on the respondents of the survey/questionnaire do you ask for?	Sex, age, organisation unit, position, credits, statistical areas
5.15	Which scales do you (will you) use (numerical, non-numerical, dichotomy)?	numerical
5.16	Do you (will you) calculate something like a satisfaction index? If yes: how?	
5.17	Do you (will you) (also) ask for free form feedback?	No
5.18	Do you (will you) request a feedback about the questionnaire itself (in order to improve it)?	No
5.19	Do you (will you) use survey methods/questionnaires by other NSIs or by other NPOs, or by the private sector as a model for your survey/questionnaire? If yes: why? If no: why not?	No
5.20	Is the survey/questionnaire or/and are the results comparable to those by other NSIs, by other NPOs, by the private sector? (Willbe comparable?)	No
5.21	Which methods are (will be) used to analyse the data? Including multi-variant analysis?	Multi-variant analysis
5.22	Are (Will) the results (be) published? If yes, how (internet, intranet, paper, press release etc)?	Paper
5.23	Do (will) you give feedback about main results of the survey to the customers/users involved in surveys?	No
5.24	Do (will) you contact users who turned out to be dissatisfied with regard to certain areas?	No
5.25	How are (will) responsible persons in your institution (be) involved with results of the survey?	Yes
5.26	Do (will) the results lead to action plans for quality improvements?	
5.27	Required (planned) resources (time, persons, costs):	4 persons (project in collaboration with University)
5.28	Additional remarks	

Number 11: Special survey focusing on "paying" customers (addresses/information from "sales statistics")

	Country	France	Italy	Sweden
5.0	Carried out=1	1	1	1
	Planned=2			
5.1	(Planned) Target group (customers/users)		Paying customers	Paying customers, >10 000 SEK. One
				questionn. per such
				purchase
5.2	(Planned) Survey methods and instruments	Phone and email	Phone interview	Mail questionnaire
5.3	(Planned:) Census or sample?	Sample	Sample	Census
3.3	(Trained.) Consus of sample.	Sumple	Sumple	Consus
5.4	(Planned) Numbers of respondents	Up to 20%	134	400-500
5.5	(Expected) Non-response rate			55-60%
5.6	If you (will) have non-response in your survey, how do you	We do not treat non-		No follow-up,
	(will you) treat this problem	response		no specific method for
				compensation
5.7	Statistical products and services included (planned)1=only	3	1	3
	statistical products			
	2=only services, 3=both			
5.8	Which quality dimensions of Eurostat (Relevance, Accuracy,	All	All	None
	Timeliness, Accessibility	But without quoting		
	/Clarity, Comparability, Coherens, Completeness) are (will	them precisely		
	be) considered?			
5.9	Which statistical areas are (will be) included un the surveys?		All areas	N/A
5.10	Do you (will you) ask for expectations and the satisfaction	3	1	1
	with the obtained results? 1= only satisfaction, 2= only			
	expectation, 3= both			
5.11	Do you (will you) investigate into the differences between the	partly	No	No
	expectations and the results obtained from the users?			
5.12	(Planned) Respective questions/items on expectation and	See questionnaire	No	Questionnaire available in
	satisfaction (enter here, if only few, or cross reference to			Swedish upon request.
	separate annex/questionnaires)			
5.13	Do you (will you) also ask about expectations and	Not really	No	No
	satisfaction with metadata and documentation?			
5.14	What kind of background information on the respondents of	Name, surname,	Sex, age, organisation	None (available in our
	the survey/questionnaire do you ask for?	position, organisation,	unit, position, credits,	registers, but is not used
		Main user ?	statistical areas	for analysis)
5.15	Which scales do you (will you) use (numerical, non-		numerical	1-10
	numerical, dichotomy)?	27		1
5.16	Do you (will you) calculate something like a satisfaction	No		Yes.
	index? If yes: how?	**	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Average
5.17	Do you (will you) (also) ask for free form feedback?	Yes	No	Yes

Number 11 (Continuation)

	Country	France	Italy	Sweden
5.18	Do you (will you) request a feedback about the questionnaire itself (in order to improve it)?	No	No	No
5.19	Do you (will you) use survey methods/questionnaires by other NSIs or by other NPOs, or by the private sector as a model for your survey/questionnaire? If yes: why? If no: why not?		No	No
5.20	Is the survey/questionnaire or/and are the results comparable to those by other NSIs, by other NPOs, by the private sector? (Willbe comparable?)		No	No
5.21	Which methods are (will be) used to analyse the data? Including multi-variant analysis?	Very often cross tables and graphics + multivariate analysis	Multi-variant analysis	No specific method
5.22	Are (Will) the results (be) published? If yes, how (internet, intranet, paper, press release etc)?		Paper	No
5.23	Do (will) you give feedback about main results of the survey to the customers/users involved in surveys?	No	No	No
5.24	Do (will) you contact users who turned out to be dissatisfied with regard to certain areas?	Yes but not systematically	No	Yes, if grade is below 6.
5.25	How are (will) responsible persons in your institution (be) involved with results of the survey?	When results are impressive, they are really involved	Yes	Do not fully understand question. Please clarify.
5.26	Do (will) the results lead to action plans for quality improvements?	Yes		If needed
5.27	Required (planned) resources (time, persons, costs):	1 to 2 person	5 persons (project in collaboration with University)	50 manhours
5.28	Additional remarks			

Number 12: Other

		Denmark	Denmark	Denmark	Denmark	Spain
		Web survey on	web survey	usability test on	usability test on	Detailed analysis of usage of
		the home page	on Statistical database	database	homepage	the website (log files)
			StatBank			
5.0	Carried out=1 Planned=2	1	1	1	2	Web users
5.1	(Planned) Target group (customers/users)	all visitors	users of StatBank	users and non- users	users and non- users	Analog Software
5.2	(Planned) Survey methods and instruments	web questionnaire	web questionnaire	hands-on test and interview	hands-on test and interview	Census
5.3	(Planned:) Census or sample?	sample	samole	sample	sample	2,5 Million hits/month
5.4	(Planned) Numbers of respondents	1000	1000	5	5	N/A
5.5	(Expected) Non-response rate	90%	72%	-	-	
5.6	If you (will) have non-response in your survey, how do you (will you) treat this problem	-	-	-	-	The website
5.7	Statistical products and services included (planned)1=only statistical products 2=only services, 3=both	1	1	1	1	N/A
5.8	Which quality dimensions of Eurostat (<i>Relevance</i> , <i>Accuracy</i> , <i>Timeliness</i> , <i>Accessibility</i> / <i>Clarity</i> , <i>Comparability</i> , <i>Coherens</i> , <i>Completeness</i>) are (will be) considered?					All
5.9	Which statistical areas are (will be) included un the surveys?					N/A
5.10	Do you (will you) ask for expectations and the satisfaction with the obtained results? 1= only satisfaction, 2= only expectation, 3= both	1	1	1	1	N/A
5.11	Do you (will you) investigate into the differences between the expectations and the results obtained from the users?					N/A
	(Planned) Respective questions/items on expectation and satisfaction (enter here, if only few, or cross reference to separate annex/questionnaires)					N/A
	Do you (will you) also ask about expectations and satisfaction with metadata and documentation?	<i>y</i> c s	yes			N/A
5.14	What kind of background information on the respondents of the survey/questionnaire do you ask for?	sex, age, sector of work, education	sex, age, sector of work	sex, age, sector of work, education	sex, age, sector of work, education	Number of hits / sessions per subject

Number 12 (Continuation)

		Denmark	Denmark	Denmark	Denmark	Spain
		Web survey on the home page	web survey on Statistical database	usability test on database	usability test on homepage	Detailed analysis of usage of the website (log files)
			StatBank			
5.15	Which scales do you (will you) use (numerical, non-numerical, dichotomy)?		non-numerical	n		NO
5.16	Do you (will you) calculate something like a satisfaction index? If yes: how?	no	no			N/A
5.17	Do you (will you) (also) ask for free form feedback?	yes	yes	yes	yes	N/A
5.18	Do you (will you) request a feedback about the questionnaire itself (in order to improve it)?		yes			N/A
	Do you (will you) use survey methods/questionnaires by other NSIs or by other NPOs, or by the private sector as a model for your survey/questionnaire? If yes: why? If no: why not?	no	no	no	no	YES. Analysis of internet log files can be a standard per- formance analysis for NSIs
5.20	Is the survey/questionnaire or/and are the results comparable to those by other NSIs, by other NPOs, by the private sector? (Willbe comparable?)					Number of hits / sessions
5.21	Which methods are (will be) used to analyse the data? Including multi-variant analysis?					YES, monthly on the intranet and also by an audit company, on the internet (www.ojd.es)
5.22	Are (Will) the results (be) published? If yes, how (internet, intranet, paper, press release etc)?	Internet	Internet			N/A
5.23	Do (will) you give feedback about main results of the survey to the customers/users involved in surveys?		Yes			N/A
5.24	Do (will) you contact users who turned out to be dissatisfied with regard to certain areas?	Yes	Yes			Very involved
5.25	How are (will) responsible persons in your institution (be) involved with results of the survey?	Management gets the results	Management gets the results			YES; continuously.
	Do (will) the results lead to action plans for quality improvements?	Yes	Yes			No additional resources.
5.27	Required (planned) resources (time, persons, costs):	No extra resources	No extra resources			N/A
5.28	Additional remarks					

Additional remarks to C1

Country	
France	From May 2002, wa are really involved in customer surveys: we acquired 2 new specific softwares for surveys and we are accumulating knowledge

Annex 2 - Examples of (Ouestionnaires of	Customer/User	Satisfaction	Surveys in NSIs
Aimea 2 - Laambies of v	ouconomian co or	Customer/Osci	Daustacuon	

Note:

The layout of some of the questionnaires are not corresponding with the original versions

Questionnaire, type number 1 Page 1

The X-Company Att.: Mr. X 124 X Street Xtown **User Survey**

Date

As we would like to improve the quality of our work we kindly ask you to spend	4. Were you satisfied with the personal service?
some minutes filling out this	Not at all Very much
questionnaire Thank you!	
Please leave irrelevant questions unanswered 1. Does the content of the delivery correspond to your expectations?	5. Do you find the documentation enclosed with the assignment sufficient?
Not at all Yes, completely K U U U U	No, unsufficient Yes, sufficient J
2. Is there a reasonable relationship between the price and the content?	6. How satisfied were you all in all with the assignment?
Reasonable A little too expensive	Very dissatisfied Very satisfied
Too expensive Comments:	
3. When did you receive the assignment (compared to when it was delivered)?	7. Comments/suggestions (please continue on the backside if necessary)
·· Earlier	
·· On time	
Later - how much later?	
Comments:	

Questionnaire, type number 1 Page 2

The X-Company Att.: Mr. X 124 X Street Xtown

User Survey

Date

Questionnaire, type number 9 Page 1

Statistics Denmark Databanks

Questionnaire

Registered and non-registered users in 2002

Three minutes of your time could help us making StatBank Denmark better.

We intend to develop the database further according to the users' needs. This is why we ask you to spend three minutes to give your opinion of the database and the way it is used.

I want to answer the questionnaire now.

I want to return to StatBank Denmark.

1) How often do you use StatBank Denmark?

Once a week or more
A couple of times a month
Once a month or less
This is my first time
No answer

2) What is the purpose of your retrieval of data from StatBank Denmark?

Study related Work related Personel interest Other purposes Do not know yet

No answer

You have access to StatBank without a registered password. However, if you choose to register - which is free of charge - you will have access to retrieve much larger tables, save queries and selection lists for re -use.

3a) Were you aware of these advantages?

Yes

No

No answer

3b) What was the reason to log on without a password?

I wish to be anonymous
I did not bother
I presumed that it was a charged service
I had forgotten the password
I do not need the advantages of being registered
No answer

Questionnaire, type number 9 Page 2

Statistics Denmark

Databanks

Questionnaire

Registered and non-registered users in 2002

4) Do you usually find the statistics you are looking for?

Always

Almost always

Usually

Almost never

I did not need anything specific, only

browsing

No answer

5) Have you ever used the information under the tab "Information"/"Documentation"

۷۵٥

No

No answer

6a) Is the documentation sufficient?

Completely sufficient

More or less sufficient

Insuffecient

Completely insufficient

No answer

6b) Why did you not look into the tab "Information"? You can mark several alternatives

I did not need it

I did not know there was any

information

It is too tiresome

I did not have the time

Other reasons

Do not know

No answer

7a) Have you tried to select values from the tab "Select by search"?

Yes	
No	
Do not know	
No answer	
Total	

7b) Did you succeed in the selection by search?

Yes		
Yes, b	it not at once	
No		
No ans	wer	

Questionnaire, type number 9 Page 3

Statistics Denmark

Databanks

Questionnaire

.....

Registered and non-registered users in 2002

8) How satisfied are you <u>in general</u> with the contents of StatBank Denmark?
Very satisfied
Satisfied
Neither satisfied nor unsatisfied
Unsatisfied
Very unsatisfied
No answer
9) How do you in general find the functionality of StatBank Denmark?
Very easy to use
Easy to use
Tiresom
Very tiresom
No answer
10) Your sex:
Man
Woman
No answer
11) Your age:
12) Any other comments concerning the cont ents or user interface of the StatBank Denmark? write:

GERMANY

Questionnaire, type number 1 Page 1

Statistisches Bundesamt (



i – Punkt Berlin Eurostat Data Shop

This questionnaire gives you the opportunity to tell us your positive und negative experiences with the supply of Eurostat products and the services provided by the Eurostat Data Shop (EDS) Berlin. With help of your remarks we want to improve our future work exactly there, where you consider it necessary. Please use this possibility and return the completed questionnaire by E-Mail, Fax or by mail. Your statements will be kept confidentially.

QUESTIONNAIRE - USER SATISFACTION SURVEY

•	How many employees work in your organisation □ Up to 50 □ 101 to 250 □ 51 to 100 □ 251 to 500	1?		□ М	ore thai	า 5(00					
	How often do you order statistical information at Once a year Once a month Several times a year Several times a mon		e E [Or	nce a w	eek		ly?] 9	ubscr	iber	
	Up to which period would you accept information Within 24 h Within 48 h and how satisfied are you with this service of the (1-extremely satisfied/ 2-very satisfied/ 3-satisfied/ 1 2 3 4 5 6	e El		Wi Berli	thin 72	h		ata, C satisfied			r period ot applica	ble)
	What do you mainly need for your work? ☐ Extractions ☐ Publications											
	Please evaluate the following SERVICE compor you and how satisfied (1 bis 6) are you with the (A-extremely important/ (1-extremely satisfied/ 2-very satisfied/ 3-satisfied/ Staff competence	em ? tant	? / I	D-less	mportar atisfied B 2	nt/	E-unim 5-dissa C	portant/	In	F-not 6-not E	ance (a applicable applicable □F □6	e)
	Support in case of problems]A]1		B 2		C [D 4		E 5	□F □6 □-	Importance Satisfaction
	Staff friendliness	F]A]1		B 2		C _	D 4		E 5	□F □6 □-	Importance Satisfaction
	Personal consulting	L	A 1	Ė	B 2		C _	D 4		E 5	□F □6	Importance Satisfaction
	Service hours	L	A 1		B 2		C _ 3 _	D 4		E 5	□F □6	Importance Satisfaction
	Clarity of cost accounting	L]A]1		B 2		C _ 3 _	D 4		E 5	□F □6	Importance Satisfaction
	Total processing time		A 1		B 2		C _ 3 _	D 4		E 5	□F □6	Importance Satisfaction
	Data delivery format	E	A 1		B 2		С <u> </u>	D 4		E 5	□F □6	Importance Satisfaction
	Total service		A 1		B 2		С <u> </u>	D 4		E 5	□F □6	Importance Satisfaction

This formular can be sent by fax:

Eurostat Data Shop Berlin Telefax(+49) 030 23 24 64 30 Our address

‡Punkt Berlin/ Eurostat Data Shop Otto-Braun-Straße 70/72 10178 Berlin, DEUTSCHLAND

GERMANY

Questionnaire, type number 1
Page 2

(1 bis 6) are you with them?. A-extremly important/ B-very important/ C-impor									
	A-extremity importants — D-very importants — O-milpor	tant/	D-less	important/	ı	E-unir	nportant/	F-not	applicabl	e)
[1-extremely satisfied/ 2-very satisfied/ 3-satisf			satisfied/	_		atisfied/		applicabl	
	Data up-to-dateness	ᆫ	А	∐ В		С	∐ D	E	□F	Importance
_			1	2	ackslash	3	4	5	□ 6	Satisfaction
(Clarity of data tables		А	В		С	D	E	□F	Importance
_			1	2		3	4	5	□ 6	Satisfaction
Ī	Data completeness		Α	В		С	D	E	□F	Importance
			1	<u> </u>		3	□ 4	<u></u> 5	\Box 6	Satisfaction
Ī	Data quality		Α	В		С	D	E	□F	Importance
			1	<u> </u>		3	\Box 4	<u></u> 5	□ 6	Satisfaction
Ē	Explanatory notes		Α	В		С	D	Е	□F	Importance
	•		1	— 2	Π	3	\Box 4	<u></u>	<u></u> 6	Satisfaction
ī	Data prices	$\overline{}$	Α	В	Π	С	- D	ΠE	ΠE	Importance
	F	┌	1	$\overline{\square}_2$	F	3	\square_4	$\overline{\square}_{5}$	\Box_6	Satisfaction
7	Additional costs (postage etc)	┢	Α	<u></u> -	Ħ	С	 	_ E	ΠE	Importance
•	radicorial cooks (postago sto)	F	1	\prod_{2}	H	3	$oxdots_4$	H_{5}	\Box_6	Satisfaction
7	nformation on new products	+	A	∠ B	H	C	D D	E	_ ∐ ₽	Importance
'	monnation on new products	⊢	1		누	3	H_4^{\square}	H_{5}^{\perp}		Satisfaction
7	Product supply in total	╌┝	A		늗		= -	=		
•	roduct supply in total	⊢	A I	∐ ^B	늗	C		HE	□F □°	Importance
		ᆫ	1	2		3	<u></u> 4	5	□ 6	Satisfaction
	Are you interested in free of charge information Eurostat Minicatalog Statistical references (Information letter on Eur				rvice	es)?				
am	Eurostat Minicatalog Statistical references (Information letter on Eur				rvice	es)?				
am om	Eurostat Minicatalog Statistical references (Information letter on Eur e, first name: pany:		oroduo		rvice	es)?				
am om ate ha	Eurostat Minicatalog Statistical references (Information letter on Eur e, first name: pany:	and y	Sig	ots and se			are lo	oking	forwa	ard to an

Questionnaires, diverse types

Ouestionnaire standard No. 9

The telephone questionnaire

Hello (Mr/Madam)

I am......of the Marketing service of INSEE and I invite you concerning l'Annuaire Statistique de la France (ASF) Would you agree to grant me 7-8 minutes to answer my questions? (or would you prefer that I refer to? if yes when?)

1. The customer

- 1.1. Since when do you use the ASF?
- 1.2. For what purpose do you use the ASF?
- 1.3. With what frequency do you use the ASF?

2. The paper version

- 2.1. Do you have computer equipment?
- 2.2. Do you think that the use of the CD version could facilitate your research?

3. The CD version

- 3.1. Is your research facilitated by the use of CD?
- 3.2. Is the data well submitted in CD? (navigation; ergonomics; contents)

4. The CD-ROM and paper

- 4.1. Do you think that the use of paper and of the CD-ROM is complementary?
- 4.2. Is the preference given to paper or to the CD-ROM? For which reasons?
- 4.3. What do you think of the coexistence of these two supports?

5. The WEB site of INSEE

- 5.1. Do you know the site of INSEE?
- 5.2. What types of information do you seek first of all?
- 5.3. For what purposes do you seek information?
- 5.4. Do you there find the information wanted?

6. ASF et TEF

- 6.1. Does it supplement your research by using the TEF?
- 6.2. To what extent are the use of the TEF and of the ASF complementary?

7. Conclusion

Thank you for having agreed to grant me these a few minutes.

Questionnaires, diverse types

Questionnaire standard No. 4 and No. 9

Questionnaire

Here is the questionnaire such as it was placed at the time of the telephone calls Other questionnaires are sent by e-mail

1. In case the customer bought only Contours... Iris

- 1.1. Since when do you use Contours... Iris?
- 1.2. For what purpose do you use Contours... Iris?
- 1.3. With what frequency do you use Contours... Iris?
- 1.4. In which geographical information system do you use Contours... Iris? (Mapinfo, Géoconcept, Arcview...)
- 1.5. Did digitised contours give you satisfaction? (Up to what point?)
- 1.6. What type of piece of data do you associate? (INSEE data or other data and, if others, from which do they come?)
- 1.7. Are you satisfied with the presentation of the data in CD?
- 1.8. Was the documentation associated with the product accessible/useful/sufficient?
- 1.9. What do you think of cutting in IRIS-C2000 ®? Is it relevant for your studies?
- 1.10. Do you already use data of the 1990 census? Do you know IRIS-C5000 ®?

2. Dans the case where the customer bought Contours... Iris and Iris... Profils

- 2.1. Since when do you use Contours... Iris and Iris... Profils?
- 2.2. For what purpose do you use Contours... Iris and Iris... Profils?
- 2.3. With what frequency do you use Contours... Iris and Iris... Profils?
- 2.4. In which geographical information system do you use Contours... Iris? (Mapinfo, Géoconcept, Arcview...)
- 2.5. Did digitised contours give you satisfaction? (Up to what point?)
- 2.6. What type of piece of data do you associate? (INSEE data alone or also other data and, if others, from which do they come?)
- 2.7. Are you satisfied with the presentation of the data in CD Iris... Profils?
- 2.8. Do you think that data in Iris... Profils are sufficiently detailed?
- 2.9. Was the documentation associated with the product accessible/useful/sufficient?
- 2.10. What do you think of cutting in IRIS-C2000®? Do you find it relevant for your studies?
- 2.11. Did you know already Iris or did you discover it with the product?

3. Wweb site of INSEE

- 3.1. Do you know the web site of the INSEE?
- 3.2. What types of information do you seek first of all?
- 3.3. For what purposes do you seek this information?
- 3.4. Did you find there what you wished?

Note:

Questions 1.8. and 2.9. were transformed to the wire of the survey in: "Did you receive one documentation associated with the (x) CD-ROM (s)?"

Questionnaires, diverse types

Questionnaire standard N°11

Your opinion interests us...

EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE

On-line purchases of products of the 1999 Census

Very satisfactory satisfactory few satisfactory not satisfactory

How do you judge the site

Census 99 from the point of view:

- ergonomics
- navigation
- proposed method of research
- presentation of the products
- procedure of the customer's recording
- proposed modes of payment

If you bought products such as books or CD-ROM, what do you think:

– periods of reception of the products

If you bought on-line products (files, databases), what do you think:

- : of the method of provision
- data
- of the method of remote loading
- data

Concerning this information, how do you judge:

- legibility
- clarity
- general presentation
- deadlines to find information

How do you judge our service overall "on-line Purchases RP99"?

Among the means placed at your disposal, which appears the most powerful one to you to acquire:

the web

bookshops of Insee

the purchase by correspondence

- numerical paper and products
- the data on files

Does the terminology used, appear you:

complex clear

Did you note differences of tariffing between our catalogue on paper and what is proposed on-line?

yes no

With which frequency have you done

1 time per week 2 times per month 1 time per month variable

What are according to you the strong points of our "on-line Purchases" service?

What are the weak points?

Do you have other remarks, criticisms or opinion to be expressed?

Thank you for having agreed to devote time to answer our questionnaire. Above information will be used exclusively to improve the services placed at the disposal of our customers.

JAL on 19 November 2001

Questionnaires, diverse types

Dear subscriber,

Here is already a year that our INSEE magazine Insee Actualités made new skin! It is time for us to contact you to know your opinion in order to meet better your expectations. Could you devote a few moments to answer the questionnaire which is to the back of this letter and to return it to us by mail or by fax.

Thank you for your aid and assure you that your answers will be studied with the greatest interest. Very sincerely.

SATISFACTION SURVEY ON INSEE ACTUALITÉS MAGAZINE

You receive the quarterly	y magazine and free l	Insee Actualités Magazine.
---------------------------	-----------------------	----------------------------

We would like to ask you some questions to inform you even better.

Is the presentation of Insee Actualités Magazine pleasant clear Do you locate you easily in this magazine? Yes Non If not, why?	zine: banal	confused
•Is the information contained in this magazine clear supplements dense •Appears you it accessible?		
What are the existing headings which interest Know the INSEE In window Special book In the catalogue On the web	s you more? Solutions Tools Population census Also in the catalogue	
Would you like to find there other headings s the dates of the events in the INSEE takes par letters to the Editor others:	rt (fairs, meetings)	
Did the topics broached in the special book (4 Yes Non If not, what subjects would you like to see in		
Is the quarterly periodicity of Insee Actualités Yes Non If not, why?	s Magazine appropriate to you?	
informations more?	gazine, you are you connected to the Internet s	ite of INSEE to get
Did you buy products of INSEE following an Yes Non		
If so, by correspon	in one of our sale points	by Internet
Broadly, what is your satisfaction level conce very satisfied	erning Insee Actualités Magazine? partly satisfied not satisfied	
Do you have other remarks or suggestions?		
Thank you for your collaboration!		

Questionnaires, diverse types

QUESTIONNAIRE - EVALUATION of CD-ROM

Very satisfactory Fairly satisfactory Not satisfactory

PRESENTATION OF THE CD-ROM

General legibility

The navigation system

Documentation:

- general
- variables
- tables

STATISTICAL CONTENTS OF THE CD-ROM

The detail level

The consultation of BEYOND tables

The possibilities of calculations

The possibilities of export of the results

The possibilities of graphs

Access to the elementary data files

(EXCEL, DBF, TXT OR CSV)

Access to the image of the paper booklets (if necessary)

Assistance to the installation of the product (hotline)

THE ACCOMPANIMENT SERVICES

The web site of the INSEE
Do you have other comments on the CD-ROM or precise details to bring on your answers?

Means of treatments used:

- Spreadsheet
- SGBO
- Statistical software
- GIS (Geographical Information System)
- Others

Thank you for the time that you agreed us to devote while answering all these questions.

The above questionnaire concerns the satisfaction survey carried out to a sample of customers of the reference works of the INSEE in July 2002.

Questionnaire, type number 1 Page 1

SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE CONCERNING THE CENTRAL STATISTICS OFFICE (CSO)

1. ORGANISATION INFORMATION

Organisation Name:		Telephone:	
Contact Person:		Fax:	
	Type of Organisatio	n (please tick):	
Public Sector	q	Private Sector	q
Government Department	q	Manufacturing	q
Semi-State	q	Services	q
Other: please specify		Please specify the Type of Business	

2. SERVICE & PRODUCT USAGE

2.1 Your particular areas of interest:

- a) Please state the statistical series you use.
- b) Then RANK the top 5 in order of importance (1=most important, 5=least important.)

Area	Rank	Area	Rank
		Other (please specify)	
		other (picuse speerry)	

Questionnaire, type number 1 Page 2

2.2 If there are CSO products or services that you are using MORE frequently now than 5 years ago, please list the top 4 and state why

Product or Service Area	Reason
1.	
2.	
3.	
4.	

2.3 If there are CSO products or services that you are using LESS frequently now than 5 years ago, please list the 4 main areas and state why.

Product or Service Area	Reason
1.	
2.	
3.	-
4.	

2.4 a How do you usually get information from the CSO? (tick as appropriate)

Disseminatio	on method		Rate (1=Extr	c disse remely g (circle	Rank method in order of importance to you				
Post	C	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
	q								
Fax		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
	q								
Disk		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
	q								
e-mail		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
	q								
publications	q	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	

Questionnaire, type number 1 Page 3

2.4 b Identify potential dissemination methods and rate their importance.

Potential Dissemination Methods		e Pote 1=Extre		Rank method in order of importance to you				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
-	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
-	,							

Questionnaire, type number 1 Page 4

3. SERVICE DELIVERY

This section asks you to give information on the delivery of service. The subject of section 3.1 is ORGANISATIONS IN GENERAL and section 3.2 is the CSO ONLY.

3.1 Organisations in general

When dealing with ANY COMPANY OR ORGANISATION, there may be certain aspects of service that you feel are more important than others. Using the scale shown below, please rate the following aspects of service in terms of how important they are to you.

(1 means the service is very important to you and 7 means the service is totally unimportant to you.)

	Rating of service									
ORGANISATIONS IN GENERAL	Impo	rtant				Unimp	ortant			
		(Circle	as appr	opriate	e)				
Ease of contacting the office	1	2	3	4	5	6	7			
The level of understanding of your requests	1	2	3	4	5	6	7			
The ability to meet your requirements	1	2	3	4	5	6	7			
The speed of response to your queries	1	2	3	4	5	6	7			
Technical expertise of staff	1	2	3	4	5	6	7			
Courtesy shown throughout dealings	1	2	3	4	5	6	7			
The level of contact maintained	1	2	3	4	5	6	7			
Being kept informed of progress	1	2	3	4	5	6	7			
Ability to anticipate customers requirements	1	2	3	4	5	6	7			
Proactive in providing solutions	1	2	3	4	5	6	7			
The speed of delivery on required products	1	2	3	4	5	6	7			
Staff ability to answer your questions	1	2	3	4	5	6	7			
Willingness to adapt to meet your needs	1	2	3	4	5	6	7			

Questionnaire, type number 1 Page 5

3.2 Central Statistics Office (CSO)

Thinking of the service you receive from the CSO, how would you rate your satisfaction with their performance on the following service aspects using the scale shown below.

In addition, please give a reason for your answer.

(1 means the service is very satisfactory and 7 means the service is totally unsatisfactory.)

CENTRAL STATISTICS OFFICE	Sa	Rating of service Satisfactory Unsatisfactory (Circle as appropriate)						Reason
Ease of contacting the office	1	$\frac{a}{2}$	<i>1991</i> 3			6	7	
The level of understanding of your requests	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
The ability to meet your requirements	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
The speed of response to your queries	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Technical expertise of staff	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Courtesy shown throughout dealings	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
The level of contact maintained	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Being kept informed of progress	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Ability to anticipate customers requirements	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Proactive in providing solutions	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
The speed of delivery on required products	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Staff ability to answer your questions	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Willingness to adapt to meet your needs	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	

Questionnaire, type number 1 Page 6

3.3.a	In your opinion has the level of SERVICE from the CSO improved, remained the
	same, or disimproved over the past five years?

	same, or dishipi	oved over the past in	e years.		
			tick as appropriate		
		Improved	q		
		Remained the same	q		
		Disimproved	q		
3.3.b	Please state why				
3.4	Do you have any services?	suggestions as to hov	v the CSO could improv	e its level ar	nd range of
					1

4. **PRODUCTS**

4.1 Please think about the CSO's products IN GENERAL, and rate your level of satisfaction with these products in terms of the following points. Please supply a reason for your response.

(1 means the product is very good and 7 means the product is very poor.)

		Rating of product							
CSO PRODUCTS IN GENERAL	G	Good			Poor			or	Reason
		(Circle as appropriate)							
Level of detail provided	1	2	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Timeliness of the data	1	2	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Accuracy	1	2)	3	4	5	6	7	
Relevance	1	2	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Style of presentation	1	2	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Overall Cost of the product	1	2)	3	4	5	6	7	
The product fulfilling your requirements	1	2)	3	4	5	6	7	

Annex 2

IRELAND

Questionnaire, type number 1 Page 7

4.2 a	Thinking back over the CSO improved, disim			PRODUCTS from the					
		tick as appropriate							
		Improved	q						
		Remained the same	q						
		Disimproved	q						
4.2 b	Please state why.								
4.3 a	Have you ever had an fulfil?	y special statistical re	quirements that y	ou have asked the CSO to					
	Yes q	No	q						
4.3 b	If so, what was the na	ture of this requirem	ent?						
4.3 c	How satisfied or not w	vere you with the way	in which the CSO) dealt with this specific					
	•	tick	k as appropriate						
		mpletely satisfied	q						
		risfied	q						
	dis	tly satisfied/partly satisfied	q						
		ssatisfied	q						
	Co	mpletely dissatisfied	q						
4.4 a	Do you feel that adequente users of its produce		t for the CSO to n	nake use of feedback from					
	Yes q	No	q						
4.4 b	Please give details.								

Questionnaire, type number 1 Page 8

4.5 a	Thinking way?	icts changed in an				
	Yes	q	No	q		
4.5 b	If s	o, in what way	y have your require	ments changed	l over the past fiv	e years?
4.6 a	Has	s the CSO bee	n able to meet your	changing requ	irements?	
	Yes	q	No	q		
4.6 b	Ple	ase give detail	s:			

4.7 Shortcomings of existing statistics — Specific Areas

Specify Area and Shortcoming		Rate Im	sho	Rank shortcomings in order of importance				
		(Cir	cle a					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	

Questionnaire, type number 1 Page 9

4.8 Shortcomings of existing statistics — CSO in general

CSO in general	Rate Importance of improving the shortcoming (1=Extremely important, 7=Not important)							Rank shortcomings in order of importance to solve
		(Circle as appropriate)						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	

4.9	What statistical needs do you have that are not currently being met?
4.10	Proposals for discontinuing any existing statistics to provide resources for recommended improvements:

Annex 2

IRELAND

5.		COMPLAINT HANDLI	NG		
5.1 a		Have you ever encou	intered any pro	blems with t	he service provided by the CSO?
	Yes	q	No	q	
5.1 b		If so, did you bring t	his problem to	the attention	of the staff?
	Yes	q	No	q	
5.1 c		What was the nature	e of your compl	aint or probl	em?
5.1 d	?	Overall, how satisfie	d were you with	h the way the	problem was handled by the
				tick as appro	priate
		Comple	etely satisfied	q	
		Satisfie		q	
		Partly s dissatis	atisfied/partly fied	q	
		Dissatis	sfied	q	
		Comple	etely dissatisfied	q	
5.2 a		would you normally rvices?	make contact w	ith the office	when enquiring about products
			tic	k as appropri	ate
		Telephone		q	
		Fax		q	
		Calling int	to the office	q	
		Post		q	
		Other: plea	ase specify	q	
5.2 b		Have you ever encou	ıntered any diff	iculties in try	ving to contact the CSO?
	Yes	q	No	9	

5.2 C	II S	so, piease giv	e details.			
-)	VA	LUE FOR MO	NEY			
5.1 a	Overall, money?	how would y	ou rate the ser	vice and prod	ucts of th	e CSO in 1
				tick as a	ppropriate	?
			ly good value	q	-	
		Good Val		q		
			l Value/Not Bac	•		
		Bad Valu		q		
		Particular	ly bad value	q		
1 b	Ple	ase give a re	ason for your a	nswer.		
.1	SUPPLIEF	·	data to the CS	Ω^{2}		
•1	Do	you supply	uata to the CB	0.		
	Yes	q		No C	7	
	If s	o, please con	plete the section	ns below.		
.2	Re	porting burd	len			
	Ple	ase list the fo	llowing information	ation for the CS	SO inquiri	es which y
	Inquiry	Name	Frequency	Last Inquiry	Do yo	u use the
						sults? appropriate)
					Yes	No
					Yes	No
					Yes	No
					Yes	No
					Yes	No

Questionnaire, type number 1
Page 12

7.3 case?	How can technology be used to streamline the data collection process in yo	our
7.4	General suggestions for alleviating the reporting burden.	
7.5	How willing are you to respond to additional CSO inquiries?	
8	OTHER COMMENTS Are there any other issues that you feel it would be important for the CSO to ad	dress
	Please feel free to add further comments here in relation to the CSO, its existing se or any services that you think the CSO should provide or develop further.	

Thank you for participating in this survey

Questionnaire, type number 5 Page 1



Statistical Yearbook of Ireland 2002

Readership Survey

We are interested in finding out more about our readers and their views on the Statistical Yearbook. We would be grateful if you would spend a few minutes of your time answering the following questions. Please return the completed questionnaire in the prepaid envelope provided, or else to: FREEPOST, Information Section, Central Statistics Office, Skehard Road, Cork.

OI (else to: FREEPOST, Information Section, Cer	ILIO	ii Statistics Office, Skeriaru Hoau, Cork.
1	How did you find out about this edition of the Yearbook?	5	Would you like to see other subject areas covered in the Yearbook?
	Mailshot CSO Internet site Press Saw copy in a library Complimentary copy Other (please specify)		Yes (please specify)
2	What did you use the publication for? (please tick all that apply)	6	Do you feel the time series given in the Yearbook are
	Briefing Marketing Research General information Educational use Policy formulation Promotion of Ireland Other (please specify)		☐ Too short ☐ About right ☐ Too long
3	What did you find most useful?	7	Would you like to see more or less of the following included in the Yearbook? More Same Less Explanatory text
4	What did you find least useful?	8	Is the level of detail generally
			☐ Too little ☐ About right ☐ Too great
_		_	

9 Is the price of the Y	earbook	12 Would you like to see any other improvements in the Yearbook?
☐ Too low☐ About right☐ Too high		Yes (please specify)
		Suggestions on a separate page are welcome
10 Would you like the format?	Yearbook to be in electronic	13 Overall, how satisfied are you with the Yearbook?
Yes No		Uery satisfied Satisfied Dissatisfied (please explain) Very dissatisfied (please explain)
11 Which method of e prefer?	lectronic delivery would you	14 Have you any further comments on the Yearbook?
☐ CD-ROM☐ via the Inter	net	
		ur publications/releases, you can:
	nttp//:www.cso.ie	
	890 313 414	
Call in person to:	our Cork office at \$	Skehard Road
	Tel 021-453 5000 <i>OR</i>	Fax 021-453 5555
		t Ardee Road, Rathmines, Dublin 6
	Tel 01-498 4000	Fax 01-498 4229
Thank	ou for taking the tim	e to fill in this questionnaire

Questionnaire, type number 6 Page 1



Directorate for the dissemination of statistical information

SURVEY SHEET FOR INTERNET USERS

1. Reason for accessing the Ista	t web site. N	Mainly for:
1q Work	2q Study	3q Administrative/fiscal fulfilment
4q Personal information	5q Other	
2. Areas of interest:		
1q General information	110	q Prices
2q Environment	120	q Agriculture
3q Population		q Industry
4q Health and social security		q Services
5q Culture		q Foreign Trade
6q Family and society		q Census of Population and Housing
7q Public Administration		q Census of Organisations
sq Justice		q Census of Industry and Services
9q National Accounts	190	q Census of Agriculture
10q Labour		
3. How do you usually acquire th	ne ISTAT sta	atistical information you need?
1q Internet	40	q Centres for statistical information
2q Direct contact with surveys' responsible persons	50	g Bulletin Board System subscription
3q Data shops	60	q Other
		es of statistical information? And on ease, name three sources and related
5. Which formats do you prefer? 1q Word 2q Excel 3q Pdf		sq Other
6. How do you use the acquired 1q Documentation 2q Further p 4q Other		eq Archive updating
49		

ITALY
Questionnaire, type number 6
Page 2

7.1 Do you know what an "elec	tronic book" is?	
1q YES	2q NO	
7.2 Are you in favour of electron	nic publishing developm	ent?
1q YES	2q NO	
7.3 Do you think electronic pub	lishing is going to replac	ce traditional publishing?
1q YES	2q NO	
8. Which software do you use indicate the % of use.	e for data processing?	If more than one, please
q		
q		
q		
9. How do you prefer to acquire 1q Internet 2q e-mail upon specific request 10. Which kind of subscription of		
1q periodic subscription:	1m weekly 2m monthly 3m quarterly 4m yearly	
2q subscription to a specific a	rea of interest;	
3q subscription to single publ 4q general subscription card	ications specified when requ	uested;
11. Are you satisfied with the co	urrent data availability c	on ISTAT web site?
1q YES	2q PARTIALLY	з q N O
If PARTIALLY or NO, please indicate be inadequate, according to priorities	3 3	ou deemed data availability to

ITALY Questionnaire, type number 6 Page 3

12. Do you think data search on ISTAT web site is sufficiently guided?								
1q YES 2q NO (specify)								
13. Do you think data are easy to download? 1q YES 2q NO (specify)								
USER DATA								
14. Orga	nisation/Company:	·						
5q Regions 6q Provinces 7q Municipalities	Ministries al and Court of Juston commerce, industry icipalities		11q Public re 12q Private 13q Universi 14q Schools 15q ASL (Lo 16q Agricult 17q Large a 18q Small in	esearch institutes research institutes ity cal Health Administration) ure nd medium industry				
16. Profession	(of the possible	user):						
17. Sex: 1q M		2 q F						
18. Age: 1q to 6q 55	19 2q 20-24 - 59 7q 60-69	3q 25-29 8q 70 and o	4q 30-39 over	5q 40-54				
19. Education I	evel: 1q degree	2q high sch	ool	3q primary school				
20. Professional status:								
employed in self-employed2q seeking emplo	yment labour force (s [.]	/body						

Questionnaire, type number 10 Page 1



Directorate for dissemination of statistical information

1. Reason for statistical information request:

SURVEY SHEET FOR DATA SHOP USERS

1q Work 2q Stu	ıdy 3q Administrati	ve/fiscal fulfilment	4q Other
2. Which area th	ne required informat	ion belongs to?	
1q General information 2q Environment 3q Population 4q Health and social sec 5q Culture 6q Family and society 7q Public Administration 8q Justice 9q National Accounts 10q Labour		17q Census of Org	ulation and Housing anisations ustry and Services
3. How do you utilise	the requested data	?	
1q Documentation 3q Archive Updating	•		
4. Was the request o	f information satisfie 2q NO	ed?	
3q consultation and sea 4q data banks retrieval 5q acquisition of persor 6q utilisation of the "Gr		stical material and Sistan catalogu net) nation desk	
2q lack of data/informa	tion because not availa tion because not belono tion because available	ging to Istat	es

5. Do you 1q YES	5. Do you already use data on electronic support? 1q YES 2q NO								
6. Would you like to have data that interest you available only on electronic support?									
1q YES	1q YES 2q NO (specify)								
If YES,									
7. How w	7. How would you like to acquire statistical data? (Indicate order of preference):								
2 q e-mail	1q Internet and/or Bulletin Board System (BBS) 2q e-mail 3q off-line on CD-ROMs and floppy disks								
8.	Organisation			sation/office:					
1q Parliament 2q Government, Ministries 3q Prefectures 4q Court of Appeal and Court of Justice 5q Regions 6q Provinces 7q Municipalities 8q Chambers of Commerce, industry and handicraft 9q Mountain municipalities 10q Other public administrations				12q Private 13q Universi 14q Schools 15q ASL (Lo 16q Agricult 17q Large a 18q Small in	cal Health Administration) ure nd medium industry				
10. Profes	ssion (of the	e possible ı	user):						
11. Sex: 1q M 2q F									
12. Age: 1q to 19 2q 20-24 3q 25-29 6q 55 – 59 7q 60-69 8q 70 and 0			·						
13. Educa	ition level:	1q degree	2q high sch	ool	3q primary school				
 14. Professional status: 1q employed: ¶ employed in a private company · employed in a public enterprise/body self-employed 				employment e labour force (student, usewife)					

Questionnaire, type number 11 Page 1



Directorate for dissemination of statistical information

SURVEY SHEET FOR USERS - SUBSCRIBERS -

SUBSCRIPTION AREA:	
1. Reason for subscrip	tion:
1q Work 2	q Study 3q Administrative/fiscal fulfilment 4q Other
2. Please indicate your	level of satisfaction about the "ISTAT subscription per area of
interest" system:	
1q high 2	q sufficient 3q none
if you indicated "sufficien	" or "none", please indicate the reasons why :
3. Would you renew yo	our subscription for the year 2001?
1q YES	2q NO
if NO, please indicate the	reason why:
4. Besides the area younterested in?:	u subscribed, which other statistical information would you be
1q General information	11 q Prices
2q Environment	12 q Agriculture
3q Population	13 q Industry
4q Health and social secur	· ·
5q Culture	15q Foreign Trade
6q Family and society 7g Public Administration	16q Census of Population and Housing 17q Census of Organisations
8q Justice	18q Census of Industry and Services
9q National Accounts	19q Census of Agriculture
10q Labour	•

Questionnaire, type number 11 Page 2

4. second (IF SUBSCRIBER OF A Please indicate your main interes	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1q General information 2q Environment 3q Population 4q Health and social security 5q Culture 6q Family and society 7q Public Administration 8q Justice 9q National Accounts 10q Labour	Prices Agriculture Agriculture Industry Agriculture Foreign Industry Agriculture Foreign trade Agriculture Agriculture Agriculture Agriculture		
5. How do you utilise the reques	sted data?		
1q Documentation 3q Archive Updating	eq Further processing eq Other (specify)		
6.1 Are you satisfied with the pr	resent level of data disaggregation?		
A. Local levelB. Aggregate level	1q YES 2q NO 1qYES 2q NO		
6.2. Do you know that ISTAT higher level of territorial and se	gives access to unpublished statistical data at a ectorial disaggregation?		
1q YES 2q NO (spec	cify)		
7. Do you utilise on-line data?			
1q YES 2q NO (spec	cify)		
	that interest you available only on electronic er timeliness in data availability?		

IF YES ON THE PREVIOUS QUESTION:

1q YES

2q NO (specify)_____

- 9. How would you like to acquire statistical data? (Indicate order of preference):
- 1q Internet and/or Bulletin Board System (BBS)
- 2q e-mail
- ³q off-line on CD-ROMs and floppy disks

	User Da	ata			
10. Organisation/Com	pany:				
	11. Type of organ	isation/office	:		
1q Parliament 2q Government, Ministries 3q Prefectures 4q Court of Appeal and Court of Regions 6q Provinces 7q Municipalities 8q Chambers of Commerce, inchandicraft 9q Mountain municipalities 10q Other public administration	dustry and	12q Private 13q Univers 14q Schools 15q ASL (Lo 16q Agricult 17q Large a 18q Small ir	cal Health Administration) cure and medium industry		
12. Profession (of the poss	sible user):				
13. Sex: 1q M 2q F					
14. Age: 1q to 19 2q 20-6q 55 – 59 7q 60-	<u>-</u>	-	5 q 40-54		
15. Education level: 1q deg	gree 2q high sch	ool	3q primary school		
16. Professional status:					
1q employed: ¶ employed in a private company • employed in a public enterprise/body ¸ self-employed 2q seeking for employment 3q not in the labour force (student, retired, housewife)					

AUSTRIA

Questionnaire, type number 7

1. I am a representative of the following area(s)

Survey among the recipients of press releases of STATISTIK AUSTRIA

Mec	News agency Free-lance journalist Newspaper, journal Broadcast, TV On-line media	Opinion research Economy Education, scien Politics/political groups and profess organisations, church Private user	ice, culture parties, interest ional ches	Public administration international level federal level provincial level communal level Official statistics (national & international) Others (please state)
2. N	ly assessment of the go	eneral quality of pre	ess releases of ST	ATISTIK AUSTRIA is
	very good good	·· satisfactory	,	barely sufficient insufficient
3. T	o my mind, press relea	ses should be prep	ared in the followir	ng way
t	ext only		text and char	ts
t	ext and tables		·· text, tables ar	nd charts
4. I :	make use of the Interne	et site www.stat	istik.at <mark>in my</mark>	professional activities
f	requently	now and then	·· rarely	never
	use the data provided by	by the STATISTIK A	USTRIA data bank	ISIS in my professional
f	requently	now and then	·· rarely	never
6. Sl	hould STATISTIK AUST	RIA present statistic	al results in the form	of press conferences more often?
у	es particularly on t	the following areas (p	olease state):	
r	10			

7. Other suggestions, requests etc. with regard to press releases (please state)

PORTUGAL



Questionnaire, type number 1 Page 1

INSTITUTO NACIONAL DE ESTATÍSTICA
Portugal

Customer Satisfaction Survey on Statistical Information - 2001

1. How often do you use INE's Statistical Information ?						
Daily Once a year	Once a week Other (sp	pecify)	Once a month			
2. Tell us the statistica	I areas or the statistical in	dicators you consult mo	ore often:			
3. How do you access	the statistical information	produced by INE?				
Main headquarters Regional Directorates. V INE's site (www.ine.pt) Telephone	Vhich one ?	City Hall Librarie Institutional Libr Media Other Institution 1 Both private or publi	aries ¹ (which ones) s (which ones)		-	
4. Beyond statistical ir (national or internatio		IE, do you use statistical	information produced by o	ther institutions / sources		
Yes	Which ones					
Why ?					_	
What kind of info	rmation?				-	
No						
5. For what purpose do	o you use statistical inforr	nation ?				
Research Teaching Professional/business st	tudies	Decision making Dissemination Other (specify)				
6. For questions 6.1, 6	.2 and 6.3, sign with an X	the importance grade an	d the satisfaction level for e	each of the items according to the above s	cale.	
	1 - Without importance 2 - Bad 2 - Satisfactory 3	•	3 - Important 4 - Very imp	ortant		
6.1 Evaluation of the s	tatistical information publ	ished by INE (Paper pub	lications and INE's internet	site)		
Never used this kind	I of information (Go to ques	tion 6.2)	Importance Grade	Satisfaction Level		
Factors:			W. Imp. V. Imp	n.a. Bad V. good		
Timeliness of information Punctuality of dissemina Coherence of statistical Value for money of statis Geographical disagrega Relevance of published Clarity of information Access to metadata Synthesis of data	ition chalendars information stical information tion		1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4	N.a. bad V. good O 1 2 3 4 O 1 2 3 4 O 1 2 3 4 O 1 2 3 4 O 1 2 3 4 O 1 2 3 4 O 1 2 3 4 O 1 2 3 4 O 1 2 3 4		

n.a.- not aplicable

PORTUGAL

Questionnaire, type number 1 Page 2

Importance

6.2 Evaluation of non-published statistical information supplied by INE under request

Never used this kind of information (Go to question 6.3)	Importance Grade	Satisfaction Level
Factors:		
Timeliness of information Punctuality of dissemination chalendars Coherence of statistical information Value for money of statistical information Geographical disagregation Relevance of published data Clarity of information Access to metadata Synthesis of data	W. Imp. 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4	n.a. Bad V. good 0 1 2 3 4 0 1 2 3 4 0 0 1 2 3 4 0 1 2 3 4 0 1 2 3 4 0 1 2 3 4 0 1 2 3 4 0 0 1 2 3 4 0 0 1 2 3 4 0 0 1 2 3 4 0 0 1 2 3 4 0 0 1 2 3 4 0 0 1 2 3 4 0 0 1 2 3 4 0 0 1 2 3 4 0 0 1 2 3 4 0 0 1 2 3 4 0 0 1 2 3 4 0 0 1 2 3 4 0 0 1 2
6.3. Evaluation of services rendered by INE	Importance Grade	Satisfaction Level
Courtesy of staff Staff competence Perception of user needs Waiting time in library Waiting time in the service under request Delivery term of the budget for information under request Information about other available data Delay in delivering the data requested Accomplishment of the data delivery term Information on non-predictable delays in data delivery Suitability of data delivery format (Paper, Cdrom, Diskette, Internet,) Suitability of logistic environment for user attendance Suitability of the equipments (PC's,)	W. Imp. 1	n.a. Bad V. good 0 1 2 3 4 0 1 2 3 4 0 1 2 3 4 0 1 2 3 4 0 1 2 3 4 0 1 2 3 4 0 1 2 3 4 0 1 2 3 4 0 1 2 3 4 0 1 2 3 4 0 1 2 3 4 0 1 2 3 4 0 1 2 3 4 0 1 2 3 4 0 1 2 3 4 0 1 2 3 4 0 1 2 3 4 0 1 2 3 4 0 1 2 3
7. Please give us your opinion about the following sentences concerning th	e relationship between INI	E and its users
Being an INE user adds value to my professional activity I use INE's statistical information because it's dificult to change to other institution/ I intend to consult other INE's publications, beyond those I already know I intend to go on buying INE's products The products/services that INE makes available to users satisfy my needs on stati As a whole, services redered by INE meet my expectations I recommend INE to other people		ation
8. Sign with an X the option that corresponds to your level of knowledge/use	e of INE's products and se	ervices

	l already used	I know them but never used	I don't know them	I would like to receive more information
Publications				
Analysis				
INE's site (www.ine.pt)				
Press releases				
Data sales under request				
Data shop EUROSTAT				
Libraries				

PORTUGAL

Yes	
Promotional leflets Promotional information included in publications Publications guide Publications catalogue Seminars and other promotional events	INE's site INEWS (INE's promotional newsletter) Media By other people Other (specify)
10. Which is your activity sector? Central Administration Local Administration Business association Union Non-profitable Association Embassy Education sector (students or teachers)	Research Business units: Bank Insurance company Consulting Other (which one) Other activity sector (which one)
11. Please make your comments and suggestions so that we can improve ou	r services

FINLAND

Questionnaire, type number 1 Page 1

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION SURVEY OF STATISTICS FINLAND 2001

ATT-Tutko Oy Hämeentie 12 B FIN-00530 HELSINKI Tel. +358 9 736 212

1.	How satisfied are you with Statistics Finland		Overall grade for STATISTICS FINLAND	Using school grades 4 to 10	D				
2.	What grade would you give for the following								
		A.	What personal experiences do you I (During the last twelve months)	nave of Statistics Finland?					
		В.	What could reasonably be expected (What the standard should be)	of Statistics Finland?					Expec-
				School grades 4 to 10	,		Г	nce A	tation B
	Basic data	No	errors in basic data	<u> </u>					+
		Up-	to-dateness and freshness of data						
		Cor	nparability with earlier data						
	Analysed data	Fur	ther processing of data sufficient						
		Val	ue for money of tailored data						
		Dat	a meet the demands of my work						
	Service	Frie	ndly staff						
		Kee	ping to agreed delivery times						
		Rep	orting on unexpected delays						
		Tak	ing the customer into consideration				_		
		Acc	essibility of staff				-		
		Fine	ding the right person				-		
		Info	rmation about available data/services				-	_	
		Dat	a fast and easily available				-		
		Ava	ilability of data in desired form (Internet,	pdf, etc.)			L		
3.	Below are a few statements	s to h	elp you describe your customer relati	onship with Statistics Finland.					
	Please indicate your agreen	ment	or disagreement with the statements	by circling the appropriate num	ber				
				Tota					Totally disagree
Lav	n Statistica Einland's quetomor k	honau	se the service meets my expectations	agre	е 5	4	3	2	uisayiee 1
	y to intensify my co-operation wi				5	4	3	2	1
	y to intensity my co-operation wi m Statistics Finland's customer o				5	4	3	2	1
			ecause there is no alternative ve partner rather than just a data supplie	,	5	4	3	2	1
	Ĭ	•			5	4	3	2	1
	e of Statistics Finland's data or s		· -	ion			_	_	•
US	e or stausucs Finiaria's data or s	ervice	s brings financial benefit to my organisat	1011	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Has any agreed work been								
	supplied late in the past two	elve	1. Yes, which product?						
	months?		1. Tes, which product:						
	months:		2. No ——						
5.	Have there been errors in a	ny							
		•							
	data delivered in the past		1. Yes, which data?						_
	twelve months?		2. No						

Käännä

FINLAND

Questionnaire, type number 1 Page 2

A. Which of thes describes you Statistics Fin Are you main	u best as a user of land's data?	6B. If you are an e do you use the		If you supply data to others, do you supply the data mainly to
1. An end user	•	1. As such		1. Your own organisation
2. A supplier of	data to others	2. Further proce	ssed	2. Your customers
3. Both		3. Both		3. Both
. A. Which of	the following are you	r typical means of con	tacting Statistics Finland?	
 Information 	search from the Interne	et		
2. Information	ı service request, e.g. by	telephone or e-mail		
		dical, statistics, report, e	tc.)	
	f some other product or	service		
5. Training				
		ction/acquisition of data		
	f a survey/new data coll			
	r a special compilation (o	on existing data)		
9. Other, wha	t?			
			_	
			_	
B. Which of	the above is your mos	t common means of co	ontacting Statistics Finland	? 🗀
Do you gener web services For which pu		9. Have you vis	sited Statistics Finland's Int	ernet site at www.stat.fi?
1. E-mail		1. Yes, daily		
2. Information	search	2. Yes, week	dy	
3. Purchase of	products or services	3. Yes, mont	thly	
4. Some other	purpose	4. Yes, less	often/occasionally	
5. I do not use	electronic web services	5. I have not	visited Statistics Finland's Int	ernet site (Please go to question 11)
	o find the information land's Internet site?	you needed on		
•			11. Sex	1. Male
1 I found the in	nformation I needed eas	ilv	111 33%	2. Female
	nformation I needed but	•		2.75
	I the information I neede		12. Age	Years
	nd something else inter		.=. /. g	
3. Respondent's	1. Research institu	te or university	14. Respondent's positio	n 1. Management position
employer	2. School or educa	·		2. Information service position
	3. Organisation, as			3. Other expert position
	4. Enterprise			4. Marketing
	·	ent agency or institute		5. Other expert position
	-	nt office or institute		6. Other position
	7. Other			o. oaror poordon
	7. Outd1			
5, This survey c	ould also be carried o	ut via the Internet. WI	hat is your opinion about the	at?
1. I would rathe	er answer via the Interne	et		
	er answer via the Interne se no difference to me	et		

Thank you for your responses!

FINLAND

Questionnaire, type number 1 Page 3

Free-form feedback

You may give free-form feedback on this separate sheet. The organisation implementing this survey, ATT-Tutko Oy, to which the questionnaires are returned, will detach this free-form feedback sheet from the actual questionnaire.

Filling in your contact details is optional.

Name:	
Enterprise:	
Position:	
Street/delivery address	s:
Post code and town:	
Telephone:	
E-mail:	
Do you have any wishes or suppass on to Statistics Finland?	ggestions for improvement you would like to
	our comments: feel free to present both positive tistics Finland's staff. All comments will be taken ng our activities.

Our Customer Satisfaction Survey is carried out once a year, but you may pass comments and feedback to us at any time on our homepage at www.stat.fi/anoppi

Questionnaire, type number 1 Page 1





Put your grade on SCB

(Raw Translation)

Help SCB become an even better producer of statistics

We would appreciate if you as a user/co nsumer of SCB:s products and services would like to answer to the attached questions. To ensure reliability of results it is important that you answer all the questions in the questionnaire.

We ask you to grade SCB according to your own personal experien ce. We ask you to grade SCB on a scale between 1 and 10 where 1 is the lowest and 10 is the highest grade. If you lack experience or have no opinion we ask you to pick 'I don't know'. It should be noted that the grade should represent **the comprehensive re view** from your experiences of cooperation with SCB!

If you wish to leave personal comments to the management of SCB you can use the last page of this questionnaire. If you want an answer or wish to be contacted by SCB it is important that you leave your name, address and/or telephone number or e -mail. Of course it is also possible to leave opinions anonymously.

We guarantee that your answers are handled confidentially. The number on the questionnaire is only there to enable us to remind those who do not answer to the questionnaire.

The survey results will be presented in our customer paper "Källa:SCB" and on our homepage (www.scb.se).

Thank you in advance!

.

Questionnaire, type number 1 Page 2

Your application/use

We would first like to ask you to answer to some general questions about how you use SCB:s products and services.

1. Aim/direction and scope

Estimate to what extent you have used SCB's products and services in reference to different subject field during the last 12 months. Le t "1" denote *to a little extent* and "10" denote *to a great extent*. If there are subject areas that you have not had any reason to work with please put a cross under " *have not used*".

		Lowest grade	Highest grade	Have not used
	Labour market and education (for example employment, wages, work environment and education)	1 2 3 4 5 6	7 8 9 10	0
	Population and Welfare			
	(for example population, welfare, housing, health, income, equality and social welfare)			
	• Economy	🗆 🗆 🗆 🗆 🗆		
	(for example national accounts, price indexes, international trade, finance market and trade and industrial economy)			
	Environment and regions			
	(for example environment, agriculture, fishing, energy, regional planning, transports and tourism)			
	Other products or services.:			
	Please state which:			
2.	Distribution channels			
	By what means have you obtained products and services of S	SCB that you need in	your work?	
	You may pick more than one cathegory			
1.	By visiting SCB:s webbsite (www.scb.se)			
2.	☐ Through SCB's free data bases, the Swedish statistical	data bases		
3.	Through printed publications			
4.	☐ Through media (papers, radio, television)			
5.	☐ By turning to SCB's information service			
6.	By telephone/answering machine			

1. 2.	By buying tailored products and servicesBy turning to an uninitiated consult	
3.	Other, what:	
Qu	estions about SCB:s products, services and per	<u>'sonnel</u>
3.	Application	
	Let us now focus on the application of the statistics pro statistics as regards to	duced by SCB. How do you grade the
		Lowest grade Highest grade know
	• reliability	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 0
	• timeliness	
	• periodicity	
	• grade of detail	
	comparability over time	
	• comparability between SCB's statistical products	
	how well the contents cover your needs	
4.	Presentation	
	Please grade SCB's way of presenting statistics from following	llowing angles
		Lowest grade Highest grade know 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 0
	easiness to read	
	easiness to understand	
	comments and analysis	
	information of quality	
	the graphic shaping	
	easiness to further adaptation	
	• how well SCB delivers results the way that suits you	

5.	SCB's website			
	Have you during the last 6 months visited SCB's website (v	www.scb.se)?		
	Yes No, proceed to question number 6			
	Put a grade on the website from the with regard to how easy it is to find:	Lowest grade	Highest grade	Don't know
	 Statistics 	1 2 3 4 5 6	7 8 9 10	0
	Contact persons			
	 SCB's products and services subject to charge 			
	Put a general grade on the information about	Lowest grade 1 2 3 4 5 6	Highest grade	Don't know
	 SCB's products and services 			
	• Information about the authority S CB			
	 New or altered statistics 			
	• The quality of by SCB produced statistics			
6.	The service			
	Have you contacted SCB by e-mail or telephone during the	last 12 months?		
	Yes No, proceed to question number 7			
	By what means have you contacted SCB?			
	☐ E-mail ☐ Telephone ☐ Both e-mail ar	nd telephone		
	How do you grade the service from the following aspects:	Lowest grade	Highest grade	Don't know
	How quickly the matter was dealt with	1 2 3 4 5 6	7 8 9 10	0
	How you were treated/taken care of			
	Getting in contact with the right person			
	Getting answers to simple questions			

7.	The personnel	
	Have you been in personal contact with someone from SCB's personnel during the last 12 months?	
	Yes No, proceed to question number 8	
	How do you grade the person nel with regard to the following aspects: **Lowest grade** Highest grade** Don't know**	
	 The willingness of services The statistical competences The subject competences The capacity to understand your problem The ability to keep agreements 	
8.	Businesslike manner Have you commissioned SCB during the last 12 months. Yes No, proceed to question number 9	
	Grade the following aspects: Lowest grade Highest grade know The plainness of the tender The pace kept, carrying out your order The punctuality of the deliveries The agreement between order and product The handling of possible complaints	
9.	Think of SCB as a whole and grade SCB according to how well you agree with the following statements: Not at all agree Completely know	

10.	Your grade on SCB as a producer of statistics				
	Continue thinking of SCB as a whole and state how satisfied you are with SCB as a statistical producer.				
		Not at all satisfied Very satisfied Don't satisfied 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 0 0			
	How pleased are you with SCB in total?				
	"1" denotes here <i>Not at all satisfied</i> and "10" denotes Very satisfied				
11.	Your grade on SCB compared to your expectations				
		Not at all comply Complies Don't completely know			
		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 0			
	• How well does SCB comply with your expectations?				
	"1" denotes <i>Not at all comply</i> and "10" denotes <i>Complies completely</i>				
12.	Your grade on SCB compared with the ideal				
		Not close at all Very close Don't			
		know 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 0			
	• If you imagine a statistical producer that is perfect in all aspects, how close to that ideal do you consi der SCB to				
	be?				
	"1" denotes <i>Not close at all</i> from and "10" denotes <i>Very close</i> the ideal				
13.	SCB in relation to other statistical producers/other infor	mation deliverers			
	Compare SCB with other producers of statistics that you kn following statements. Let "1" denote <i>Not at all agree</i> and "lack experience of other statistical producers, place a mark to	10" denote Agree completely. In case you			
		Not at all agree Sompletely Agree Don't completely know 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 0			
	I preferably use statistics produced by SCB because it is more reliably.				

	• I preferably use statistics produced by SCB because it is more easily understood.		
	I preferably use statistics produced by SCB because it has higher credibility.		
	• I preferably use statistics produced by SCB because it is more worth the price		
	Try to estimate your own and your organizations total needs the coming years. After that, please answer to the following		n
14.	Future use of statistics		
		Decrease Increase	Don't
		considerably considerably 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	know 0
	• How do you expect your use in total of SCB's products and services that are free of charge will change?		
15.	Future commissions		
		Not at all likely Very likely 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Don't know
	 How likely is it that you in the future from SCB will buy products and services that are subject to charge? 		
16.	Recommendations		
		Not at all likely Very likely 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Don't know 0
	 How likely is it that you recommend SCB as a source of statistics for friends and c olleges? 		
Con	nments to forward to SCB:s management		
Wha	at can SCB improve?		
Wha	at is SCB good at?		
Plea Nam Adre Tele	ess:		
E-po			

Questionnaire, type number 2 Page 1

Questions posed by SCB (Raw Translation)

Questions about statistics and SCB.

10. How important do you consider statistics to be as a basis for decision making in society, for research and debate?

Very important Rather important Not especially important Not at all important Don't know

11. How important do you consider statistics to be as information about how the society function and develop?

Very important Rather important Not especially important Not at all important Don't know

12. a) Have you (personally or in your work) used statistics from SCB some time during the last year?

Yes

No Continue to question number 13 Don't know Continue to question number 13

b) If yes, by what means have you obtained this statistics?

By contacting SCB

Through Statistical Yearbook or other publication from SCB

By visiting SCB's homepage http://www.scb.se

Through media (papers, radio, television)

By turning to a library

Other means

13. If you were asked, would you consider contributing with information to SCB as support for statistics about

your health? your spare-time interests? your occupation/unemployment? your income and expenses? your party sympathies? your work environment?

14. SCB publishes every moth statistics about how *prices* alters. The results are presented in TV, radio and papers. How do you think this information reflects the reality?

In the whole always correctly Sometimes correctly and sometimes misleadingly In the whole always misleadingly Don't know

Questionnaire, type number 2 Page 2

15. SCB publishes every moth statistics about how the *unemployment rate* alters. The results are presented in TV, radio and papers. How do you think this information reflects the reality?

In the whole always correctly Sometimes correctly and sometimes misleadingly In the whole always misleadingly Don't know

16. Do you consider SCB to be an objective and impartial authority?

Yes, in the whole Yes, in part No, not at all Don't know

17. Do you think that information that you have given to SCB about your personal conditions could be revealed and be used in a way you do not approve of?

Yes Maybe No Don't know

The answers you give in this survey will not be saved for the future. In other surveys the information might be needed further on. The relationship between illness and work environment can for example be studied with the help of information about profession if you go back to old census'. Information that is kept is being protected by laws of secretes and PUL.

18. Please state your attitude towards the keeping of information?

No information should be kept for the future Information can be kept if it is known today that it will be needed in the future Information can be kept even if it is not known today that it will be needed in the future Don't know/no opinion

19. Please state your general attitude towards SCB?

Very positive Rather positive Neither positive nor negative Rather negative Very negative No opinion

Questionnaire, type number 2 Page 3

20. Different kinds of statistics are published practically daily in television, radio and papers. Who do you think produce the following kind of statistics:

	Sifo	SCB	Central Bank	National Institute of economic research	Evening press	Labour union	Don't know
Attitudes towards different trademarks Population development Gross national product (GNP) Equality Economic prognoses Consumer price index Political opinions							
Employment							

Questionnaire, type number 11

GRADE THE ORDER (RAW TRANSLATION)

SCB strives to continuously adjust and improve our products and services in appliance to our customers needs. An important prerequisite to succeed is that we are informed about our customers' points of view. For that reason we would be grateful if you would share with us your point of view on the order we recently delivered.

We ask you to grade the order with the support of the questions below on a scale between 1 and 10 where 1 signifies a low grade and 10 a high grade. If you lack experience or have no opinion we ask you to place your mark under 'I don't know'.

Thank you in advance for your participation. It gives us valuable information and is very useful for our improvements.

To start with will you please state how satisfied you are with the assignment as a whole?

Not at all satisfied	Very satisfied		
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		
How v	vould you grade	lowest grade 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	highest grade 9 10
-	the pace kept, carrying through your order		
	-from first contact to delivery?		
-	the service?		
-	the punctuality of delivery?		
-	the quality as regards contents?		
-	the simplicity understanding the		
	material/report?		
-	utility?		
-	price?		

Comments:

Questionnaire, type number 1 Page 1

Office for National Statistics

2002 Customer Satisfaction Survey Questionnaire

Telephone interview intro

Good morning/afternoon. My name is ... and I am calling from ORC International. We are conducting a survey on behalf of the Office for National Statistics (ONS).

This survey is intended to allow ONS to take better account of your requirements and help it provide a better service to its customers. The survey length is 10 minutes, if you would like to make an appointment please advise when a suitable time would be to call back.

This call may be monitored as part of our quality control procedures. All questions are single code unless otherwise stated

1. Background

1.1 Over the past 12 months, on average, how often have you had contact with ONS? **READ OUT**

		Route
Daily	1	
Several times a week	2	
Several times a month	3	
Once a month	4	
Several times a year	5	
Once a year	6	
Less often	7	
Don't know	8	

1.2 Did you enquire as? READ OUT

		Route
Member of the public	1	
Central Government	2	
Local Government	3	
Financial services/ The City	4	
Teacher Secondary education	5	
Lecturer Further/Higher education	6	
Business	7	
Health sector	8	
Student Secondary education	9	
Student Further/Higher education	10	
Library services	11	
Market Research	12	
Manufacturing	13	
Other (please specify)	30	

1.3.1 What was the nature of your last enquiry? **READ OUT**

		Route	l
To request specific data or figures	1		l
To seek advice	2		
To request a certificate (e.g. Birth, death etc)	3		l
To seek clarification	4		l
To request guidance on the website	5		l
Don't know	29		l
Other (please state)	30		l

Questionnaire, type number 1 Page 2

1.3.2	On a scale of one to five,	one being the most important,	how important was this inform	nation to
	vou?			

READ OUT

		Route
One	1	
Two	2	
Three	3	
Four	4	
Five	5	
Don't know	6	

1.3.3 How quickly did you require the information?

READ OUT

		Route
Within two hours	1	
Within three to six hours	2	
One working day	3	
Between one and two days	4	
Between three and four days	5	
Five days or over	6	
Don't know	7	

1.4 Which enquiry point did you last contact?

READ OUT

		Route
Customer Enquiry Centre	1	
Census Customer Services	2	
ONS Library	3	
Registration	4	
Certificate Services	5	
RPI helpline	6	
Labour Market Statistics	7	
Population Estimates	8	
Vital Statistics	9	
Neighbourhood Statistics	10	
ONS Geography	11	
The website	12	
Other (please specify)	29	
Don't know	30	1.5

1.5 What product, service or topic was your last enquiry about?

		Route
Please specify	29	
Don't know	30	

2. Contacting ONS

ASK ALL

2.1 Thinking about your last enquiry how did you contact ONS? **READ OUT**

		Route
Telephone	1	2.1.1
Email	2	2.2.1
Email from a link on the website	3	2.2.1
Fax	4	2.3.1
Post	5	2.4.1
In person	6	2.5.1
Don't know	30	4.1

Questionnaire, type number 1 Page 3

Contact by telephone

2.1.1 Did you know who or which part of ONS to contact?

		Route
Yes	1	
No	2	
Don't know	3	

2.1.2 Where did you find out how to contact ONS?

		Route
On the internet	1	
Through Directory Enquiries	2	
In a leaflet, publication or Newsletter	3	
By word of mouth	4	
By referral from other Government Dept	5	
Don't know	29	
Other (Please state)	30	

2.1.3 Was your call answered on your first attempt?

		Route	ı
Yes	1	2.1.5	Ì
No	2	2.1.4	l
Don't know	3	2.1.5	Ì

2.1.4 How many attempts did you make before obtaining an answer?

READ OUT

		Route
Two	1	
Three	2	
Four	3	
Five or more	4	
Don't know	5	

2.1.5 How long did it take for your call to be answered?

READ OUT

		Route
Less than two rings	1	
Between three and four rings	2	
Between five and six rings	3	
Seven rings or over	4	
Don't know	5	

2.1.6 How satisfied or dissatisfied were you with the time it took for your call to be answered?

|--|

		Route
Very satisfied	1	2.1.8
Satisfied	2	2.1.8
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	3	2.1.7
Dissatisfied	4	2.1.7
Very dissatisfied	5	2.1.7
Don't know	6	2.1.8

Questionnaire, type number 1 Page 4

2.1.7	How quickly should calls be answered? READ OUT		
			Route
	Less than two rings	1	

2.1.8 Were you aware of the opening times of the enquiry point you contacted?

		Route
Yes	1	
No	2	
Don't know	3	

2.1.9 How satisfied or dissatisfied were you with the opening hours of the enquiry point you contacted?

READ OUT

		Route
Very satisfied	1	2.1.11
Satisfied	2	2.1.11
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	3	2.1.10
Dissatisfied	4	2.1.10
Very dissatisfied	5	2.1.10
Don't know	6	2.1.11

2.1.10 What should the opening times be?

READ OUT

		Route	l
Nine to five	1		l
Nine to six	2		l
Eight to five	3		l
Eight to six	4		
Further outside these hours	5		l
Don't know	6		

2.1.11 When, on average, are you most likely to want to contact ONS?

READ OUT

		Route
Before 8 am	1	
8am to 10am	2	
10am to 12pm	3	
12pm to 2pm	4	
2pm to 4pm	5	
4pm to 6pm	6	
6pm to 8pm	7	
After 8pm	8	
At the weekend	9	2.1.12
Anytime (do not read out)	10	
Don't know	11	

Questionnaire, type number 1 Page 5

2.1.12 What time of day, between Mon and Fri, would you most likely want to call ONS, if at all?

READ OUT

NEAD GOT		Route
Before 8 am	1	
8am to 10am	2	
10am to 12pm	3	
12pm to 2pm	4	
2pm to 4pm	5	
4pm to 6pm	6	
6pm to 8pm	7	
After 8pm	8	
Won't need to call them during the week	9	
Anytime (do not read out)	10	
Don't know	11	

2.1.13 How was your enquiry to ONS dealt with?

READ OUT

		Route
Dealt with straight away on the phone	1	
You were given guidance to find the information	2	
on the website		_
You were transferred straight to someone within	3	
ONS who could help you further		
You were transferred more than once until	4	
reaching someone within ONS who could help		
You were emailed with the information	5	
You were emailed a link to the website	6	
You were faxed the information	7	
You were sent information through the post	8	
You reached an answerphone	9	
You were referred to someone outside ONS	10	
Don't know	29	_
Other (please state)	30	

2.1.14 How satisfied or dissatisfied were you with the ease at which you reached someone who could help with your enquiry?

READ OUT

		Route
Very satisfied	1	
Satisfied	2	
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	3	
Dissatisfied	4	
Very dissatisfied	5	
Don't know	6	

2.1.15 Did you know about the ONS Customer Enquiry Centre telephone number?

Do not ask those who answered1 at Question 1.4

		Route	
Yes	1	2.1.16	
No	2	3.1	
Don't know	3	3.1	

Questionnaire, type number 1 Page 6

2.1.16 How did you find out about the Customer Enquiry Centre number?

		Route
On the internet	1	
Through Directory Enquiries	2	
In a leaflet, publication or Newsletter	3	
By word of mouth	4	
By referral from other Government Dept	5	
Don't know	29	
Other (Please state)	30	

Go to 3.1

Contact by Email

2.2.1 How did you obtain the email address?

_	_		\sim	IJT
_	_	л	 7 1	

		_
		Route
On the website	1	
Through previous contact with ONS	2	
In a leaflet, publication or Newsletter	3	
By word of mouth	4	
By referral from other Government Dept	5	
Other (Please state)	6	

2.2.2 How was your enquiry dealt with?

READ OUT

You were emailed a link to the information on the website	1	Route
You were emailed with the information	2	
You were telephoned with the information	3	
You were faxed the information	4	
You were sent information through the post	5	
Don't know	29	
Other (Please state)	30	

How long did it take for your email to be responded to? **READ OUT** 2.2.3

		Route
Within two hours	1	
Within three to six hours	2	
One working day	3	
Between one and two days	4	
Between three and four days	5	
Five days or over	6	
Don't know	7	

2.2.4 How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the speed at which your email was responded to:

		Route
Very satisfied	1	3.1
Satisfied	2	3.1
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	3	2.2.5
Dissatisfied	4	2.2.5
Very dissatisfied	5	2.2.5
Don't know	6	3.1

Questionnaire, type number 1 Page 7

2.2.5	What do you consider to be a satisfactory response time?
	READ OUT

		Route
Within two hours	1	
Within three to six hours	2	
One working day	3	
Between one and two days	4	
Between three and four days	5	
Five days or over	6	
Don't know	7	

Go to 3.1

Contact by Fax

2.3.1 How did you obtain the Fax number?

		Route
On the website	1	
Through Directory Enquiries	2	
Through previous contact with ONS	3	
In a leaflet, publication or Newsletter	4	
By word of mouth	5	
By referral from other Government Dept	6	
Don't know	29	
Other (Please state)	30	

How was your enquiry dealt with? **READ OUT** 2.3.2

		Route
You were emailed a link to the information on	1	
the website		
You were emailed with the information	2	
You were telephoned with the information	3	
You were faxed the information	4	
You were sent information through the post	5	
Don't know	29	
Other (Please state)	30	

2.3.3 How long did it take for your fax to be responded to?

		Route
Within two hours	1	
Within three to six hours	2	
One working day	3	
Between one and two days	4	
Between three and four days		
Five days or over	6	
Don't know	7	

Questionnaire, type number 1 Page 8

2.3.4 How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the speed at which your Fax was responded to:

READ OUT

		Route
Very satisfied	1	2.4.1
Satisfied	2	2.4.1
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	3	2.3.5
Dissatisfied	4	2.3.5
Very dissatisfied	5	2.3.5
Don't know	6	2.4.1

2.3.5 You were dissatisfied with the speed at which your fax was responded to. What do you consider to be a satisfactory response time?

READ OUT

		Route
Within two hours	1	
Within three to six hours	2	
One working day	3	
Between one and two days	4	
Between three and four days	5	
Five days or over	6	
Don't know	7	

Go to 3.1

Contact by Post

2.4.1 How did you obtain the Postal address? **READ OUT**

		Route
On the website	1	
Through Directory Enquiries	2	
Through previous contact with ONS	3	
In a leaflet, publication or Newsletter	4	
By word of mouth	5	
By referral from other Government Dept	6	
Don't know	29	
Other (Please state)	30	

2.4.2 How was your enquiry dealt with?

		Route
You were emailed a link to the information on	1	
the website		
You were emailed with the information	2	
You were telephoned with the information	3	
You were faxed the information	4	
You were sent information through the post	5	
Don't know	29	
Other (Please state)	30	

Questionnaire, type number 1 Page 9

2.4.3 How long did it take for your postal enquiry to be responded to, from the day you posted it?

READ OUT

		Route
Between two and three working days	1	
Between four and seven working days	2	
Between one and two weeks	3	
Between two weeks and one month	4	
One month or over	5	
Don't know	6	

How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the speed at which your postal enquiry was responded to:

READ OUT

		Route
Very satisfied	1	2.5.1
Satisfied	2	2.5.1
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	3	2.4.5
Dissatisfied	4	2.4.5
Very dissatisfied	5	2.4.5
Don't know	6	2.5.1

2.4.5 What do you consider to be a satisfactory response time?

READ OÚT

		Route
Between two and three working days	1	
Between four and seven working days	2	
Between one and two weeks	3	
Between two weeks and a month	4	
One month or over	5	
Don't know	6	

Go to 3.1

Contact in Person

How did you obtain the ONS address? $\ensuremath{\mathbf{READ\ OUT}}$ 2.5.1

		Route
On the website	1	
Through Directory Enquiries	2	
Through previous contact with ONS	3	
In a leaflet, publication or Newsletter	4	
By word of mouth	5	
By referral from other Government Dept	6	
Other (Please state)	30	

2.5.2 How was your enquiry dealt with?

		Route
You were given the information during your visit	1	
You were emailed a link to the information on	2	
the website		
You were emailed with the information	3	
You were telephoned with the information	4	
You were faxed the information	5	
You were sent information through the post	6	
Other (Please state)	30	

Questionnaire, type number 1 Page 10

2.5.3 How long did it take for your enquiry to be responded to?

READ OUT

		Route
Within two hours	1	
Within three to six hours	2	
One working day	3	
Between three and four days	4	
Between one and two weeks	5	
One month or over	6	
Don't know	7	

2.5.4 How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the speed at which your enquiry was responded to:

READ OUT

		Route
Very satisfied	1	3.1
Satisfied	2	3.1
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	3	2.5.5
Dissatisfied	4	2.5.5
Very dissatisfied	5	2.5.5
Don't know	6	3.1

2.5.5 What do you consider to be a satisfactory response time?

READ OUT

		Route
Within two hours	1	
Within three to six hours	2	
One working day	3	
Between three and four days	4	
Between one and two weeks		
One month or over	6	
Don't know	7	

3. Response from ONS

Ask all who answered (1,2,3,4,8 or 9 at Q2.1.13) or (3 at Q 2.2.2), (3 at Q 2.3.2), (3 at Q2.4.2) or (3 at Q 2.5.2)

- 3.1 Response by telephone
- 3.1.1 How was the response to your enquiry given?

		Route
Whilst you were on the telephone	1	
You had to telephone back	2	
You were telephoned back	3	
Other	30	

Questionnaire, type number 1 Page 11

3.1.2 Thinking about the response you received, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with: Scale: 1= very satisfied, 2= satisfied, 3= Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied, 4= dissatisfied, 5= very dissatisfied, 6= don't know, 7= not applicable. **READ OUT** Route Availability of information you required..... 1 Flexibility in dealing with requests 2 Clarity of information received/ ease of 3 understanding The relevance to your needs..... 4 The overall quality of the response 5 3.1.3 You answered that you were dissatisfied with <insert aspect 1 to 5>, please explain why. Route Please specify 29 Don't know..... 30 Go to 4.1 3.2 Response in writing Ask all who answered (5, 6 or 7 at Q2.1.13) (1, 2, 4 or 5 at Q2.2.2,) (1, 2, 4, or 5 at Q2.3.2) (1,2,4,5 at Q2.4.2) or (1,2,3,5 or 6 at Q2.5.2) 3.2.1 Thinking about the response you received, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with: Scale: 1= very satisfied, 2= satisfied, 3= Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied, 4= dissatisfied, 5= very dissatisfied, 6= don't know, 7= not applicable. **READ OUT** Route Availability of information you required..... 1 Flexibility in dealing with requests 2 Clarity of information received/ ease of 3 understanding The relevance to your needs..... 4 The overall quality of the response 5 3.2.2 You answered that you were dissatisfied with <insert aspect 1 to 5>, please explain why. Route

29

30

Please specify

Don't know.....

Questionnaire, type number 1 Page 12

4. Perceptions and awareness of OI	NS
------------------------------------	----

4.1 Thinking about ONS as a whole, how strongly do you agree or disagree that: (READ OUT) Scale: 1= strongly agree, 2= agree, 3= Neither agree nor disagree, 4= disagree, 5= strongly disagree, 6= don't know.

	OI	

		Route
ONS is a well co-ordinated organisation	1	
ONS is open and approachable	2	
ONS is flexible and responsive to customers	3	
ONS understands its customers' needs	4	
ONS is an innovative organisation	5	
ONS continually strives to improve the	6	
services it offers		
ONS is the definitive source for all official UK	7	
statistical information		
ONS is authoritative and independent	8	
I feel that I am treated as a valued customer by	9	
staff		

4.2 ONS Data

4.2.1 Thinking about ONS data/information, how strongly do you agree or disagree that: (READ OUT) Scale: 1= strongly agree, 2= agree, 3= Neither agree nor disagree, 4= disagree, 5= strongly disagree, 6= don't know.

READ OUT

		Route
ONS data/information is trustworthy	1	
ONS data/information is accurate	2	
ONS data/information is impartial	3	
ONS data/information is reliable	4	

4.3 Do you use other organisations/departments that provide similar data or information to ONS?

		Route	ì
Yes	1	4.4	ì
No	2	5.1	ı
Don't know	3	5.1	

4.4 Which one do you use most often?

		Route
Please specify	29	4.5
Don't know	30	4.5

4.5 How does ONS compare with this organisation/department on the following aspects?: (READ OUT) Scale: 1= much better, 2= better, 3= Neither better nor worse, 4= worse, 5= much worse, 6= don't know.

		Route	
Speed of response	1		
Quality of advice	2		
Flexibility in dealing with your needs	3		
The relevance to your needs	4		
Value for money	5		
Overall quality of response	6		

Questionnaire, type number 1 Page 13

5.	Staff at	ONS

5.1 How satisfied are you with:

Scale: 1= very satisfied, 2= satisfied, 3= Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied, 4= dissatisfied, 5= very dissatisfied, 6= don't know

	\cap	

		Route
The helpfulness of staff	1	
The politeness of staff	2	
The knowledge of staff	3	
The clarity of the final information given by staff	4	
The quality of advice from staff	5	
The overall quality of the staff	6	

6. ONS website

6.1 Have you visited the National Statistics website?

		Route
Yes	1	6.1.1
No	2	7.1
Don't know	3	7.1

6.1.1 How frequently do you use the website?

READ OUT

		Route
Daily	1	
Several times a week	2	
Several times a month	3	
Once a month	4	
Several times a year	5	
Once a year	6	
Less often	7	
Don't know	8	

6.1.2 How does the National Statistics website compare with other means of obtaining data/information through ONS on the following aspects: (prompt if necessary with 'e.g. by telephone, email etc'.)

Scale: 1= much better, 2= better, 3= Neither better nor worse, 4= worse, 5= much worse, 6= don't know, 7= not applicable.

		Route
Speed of obtaining data/information, once	1	
logged on		
Simplicity of obtaining data/information, once	2	
logged on		
Flexibility	3	
Relevance of the information to your needs	4	
Clarity of information received/ ease of		
understanding	5	
Website overall	6	

Questionnaire, type number 1 Page 14

6.1.3 If you could change or improve one aspect of the website, what would it be?

		Route
Please specify	29	
Don't know	30	

Overall

7.1 Overall, how would you rate ONS on the following aspects?:

Scale: 1= very good, 2= good, 3= Neither good nor poor, 4= poor, 5= very poor, 6= don't know

READ OUT

		Route
Speed of response	1	
Amount of information available	2	
Accessibility of information	3	
Relevance of information	4	
Coherence of information	5	

7.2 If you could make one single improvement to the overall service provided by ONS what would it be?

		Route
Please specify	29	
Don't know	30	

7.3 What services would you like to see provided by ONS in the future?

READ OUT

		Route
Email alerting	1	
Online certificate ordering	2	
Training on using statistics and statistical	3	
sources		
Training on how to interpret the meaning of	4	
data		
Extra added value interpretation of data	5	
Other (please specify)	29	
Don't know	30	

7.4 Considering everything, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the service you received from ONS?

		Route
Very satisfied	1	
Satisfied	2	
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	3	7.5
Dissatisfied	4	7.5
Very dissatisfied	5	7.5
Don't know	6	

Questionnaire, type number 1 Page 15

7.5	You weren't satisfied, please	specify why
-----	-------------------------------	-------------

		Route
Please specify	29	
Don't know	30	

7.6 How likely are you to use ONS in the future?

READ OUT

		Route
Very likely	1	
Likely	2	
Neither likely nor unlikely		
Unlikely	4	
Very unlikely	5	
Don't know	6	

Thank you for sparing the time to take part

Should you want to contact the MRS (the Market Research Society) to verify that ORC International comply with the code of conduct, please freephone 0500 396999 and ask for freephone MRS. You can also contact Simon Bate, the researcher for this project on 020 7675 1065.

SWITZERLAND

Questionnaire, type number 4

Questionnaire

"Public Education Expenses – Financial Indicators 2000"

The information content of the publication "public education expenses – financial indicators" constantly increased in the last years. This year the tables in the appendix were extended. In our opinion it is time now to summarise over the needs of our data users (inside); thereby our attention particularly applies to the new diffusion possibilities, which offer us today's communication technologies. For this reason we created a short questionnaire, on the basis of whose we would be experienced gladly your opinion over the present publication. They can send your answers in the enclosed envelope to us back. If you would like to fill in the questionnaire on the screen, you find the form under the address "www.education-stat.admin.ch". We thank you in advance for your valuable cooperation.

1 How you jud ☐ very well	lge the quality o	of the publication in middling	on? □ badly	very badly	no opinion	
2 How useful a ☐ very useful	appears to you t	-	licators''?	useless	no opinion	
3 How useful a very useful	appear to you th	_	ethod" and "glo		no opinion	
4 How useful a ☐ very useful	appear to you th	-	les''?	useless	no opinion	
5 How useful a ☐ very useful	appear to you th		the contact peop		no opinion	
6 Would you l ☐ yes	ike to download ☐ No	the publication no opinion	n from the inter	rnet?		
6.1 If yes, nevertheless would you also wish to have the publication on paper? ☐ yes ☐ No ☐ no opinion						
7 In which language would like to receive the publication (optionally German or French)? ☐ German ☐ French ☐ German and French ☐ no opinion						
8 How did you come to the publication? Subscription Order by telephone Order by e-mail others						
9 To which us Scientists/res Politicians others	er group do you earchers	Professors	☐ Other instr		☐ Students ☐ Journalists ☐ Employers' organization	
10 Are you su ☐ yes	bscriber of the p	press releases ai	nd new publicat	tions of the BFS	? (www.news-stat.admin.ch)	
11 Remarks, proposals:						