The Edible Interface: How Mass-Market Foods Became Sophisticated Delivery Systems for Biological Influence

Deconstructing the Multi-Layered Reality of Modern Food Systems

Vladimiros Peilivanidis*

ARPA Hellenic Logical Systems (ARPA HLS)

Thessaloniki, Greece

r1@arpacorp.net

Abstract

The modern mass-market food product is often perceived as a simple item of convenience, yet it functions as a meticulously engineered delivery system for profound biological influence. This perspective article deconstructs the multi-layered reality of the modern food system, revealing its function as an "edible interface." We first examine the "payload," demonstrating how products are engineered for compulsion using psychophysical concepts like the "bliss point" and "sensory-specific satiety" to create hyperpalatable foods that foster addiction-like consumption patterns. Second, we analyze the "warhead": the hidden neurological cargo of industrial ingredients. Common additives such as high-fructose corn syrup (HFCS), artificial sweeteners, and novel flavorings are shown to be active biochemical agents capable of altering brain gene expression, disrupting neurotransmitter balance, and modulating mood. Third, we investigate the "infrastructure" that enables this reality, focusing on the U.S. FDA's "Generally Recognized as Safe" (GRAS) loophole, a permissive regulatory architecture built on corporate self-policing and systemic conflicts of interest. Fourth, we explore the economic logic of "surveillance capitalism" applied to the food sector, where consumer data is harvested to create "prediction products" that transform human biology into a tradable asset. Finally, we assess emerging technologies like ingestible sensors and programmable biomaterials, which provide the technical means to create a closed-loop system of biological monitoring and modification. This convergence points toward a future where the line between nutrition and surveillance dissolves, recasting the food product as a bio-digital interface for personalized control.

Keywords: hyperpalatable food, food addiction, surveillance capitalism, biocapitalism, GRAS, high-fructose corn syrup (HFCS), neuromarketing, ingestible sensors, determinism, free will, consumer choice, biological computers, cognitive neuroscience

*ORCID: 0009-0003-0121-465X

 | DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.17815937

1 Introduction

The modern mass-market food productthe candy bar, the soda, the bag of chipsis often perceived as a simple item of convenience and pleasure. In reality, it is a triumph of scientific engineering, a meticulously designed system whose primary purpose extends far beyond basic nutrition. An examination of the science behind their creation reveals that these products are sophisticated delivery systems that function as the primary psychoactive payload, engineered to create a state of craving and compulsion in the consumer.

This paper argues that the modern food system has become a multi-layered reality where mass-market products function as sophisticated delivery systems for biological influence. We will deconstruct this system by examining five integrated layers:

Layer	Description
The Payload	The products themselves, engineered as psychoactive reward systems to foster compulsive consumption.
The Warhead	The hidden cargo of neurologically active industrial ingredients with documented effects on brain function and gene expression.
The Infrastructure	The permissive regulatory architecture, exemplified by the GRAS loophole, that enables this reality through an illusion of safety.
The Economic Logic	The application of surveillance capitalism to the food sector, transforming consumer behavior and biology into a predictable, tradable asset.
The Technological Interface	The emerging technologies, such as ingestible sensors, that provide the technical means to close the loop, enabling direct biological monitoring and modification.

Table 1: *The five integrated layers of the modern food system as delivery systems for biological influence.*

The convergence of these trends points toward a future where the line between food and technology, and between nutrition and surveillance, becomes dangerously blurred. The challenge is to recognize the logical trajectory of these publicly documented, market-driven forces.

2 The Payload: Engineering Desire in a Candy Bar

The irresistible nature of many processed foods is not a happy accident of culinary art, but the result of deliberate, data-driven science. At the heart of this science lies the concept of the "bliss point," a term coined by American market researcher and psychophysicist Howard Moskowitz Wikipedia 2024a. The bliss point is the precise, scientifically calibrated amount of salt, sugar, or fat that optimizes a food's deliciousness and consumer appeal PMC 2023. Food companies invest heavily in extensive consumer testing and psychophysical research to identify this perfect balance, engineering products not just to be tasty, but to be irresistible in a way that actively encourages overconsumption Clark and Bryant 2025; ThinkVida 2024.

This "trio of taste" (salt, sugar, and fat), does not merely appeal to our palate, but it taps directly into the brain's fundamental reward circuitry. The human brain evolved to favor foods delivering these tastes, as they signaled valuable resources: salt for balancing fluids, sugar for energy, and fat for composing the brain and storing energy. When we

consume a product formulated to its bliss point, the brain responds with a "reward" in the form of a release of pleasure-inducing neurochemicals like dopamine. This dopamine surge creates a powerful feeling of pleasure and hedonia, which the brain remembers. This process establishes a potent feedback loop, that is the brain remembering what it did to get the reward and driving the desire to do it again, forming a cycle of craving that leads to repeat purchases and habitual consumption. The food product is thus transformed from a source of sustenance into a reliable delivery mechanism for a neurochemical reward.

2.1 Weaponizing Biology

The bliss point is a central pillar in a broader strategy of creating "hyperpalatable foods" (HPFs) Wikipedia 2024c. These are not simply foods that taste good, but industrial formulations defined by specific combinations of fat, sugar, sodium, and carbohydrates that are present at thresholds rarely, if ever, found in nature Fazzino 2023. Researchers have identified specific criteria for hyperpalatability, such as foods with over 25% of calories from fat and over 0.30% sodium by weight, or foods with over 20% of calories from fat and over 20% from simple sugars.

The engineering of hyperpalatable foods goes beyond the simple triad of taste to manipulate multiple sensory inputs, effectively bypassing the body's natural satiety signals. This is a multi-pronged strategy designed to subvert biological self-regulation. Key elements include:

- **Mouthfeel:** This refers to the physical sensations in the mouth, such as the satisfying crunch of a potato chip or the smooth melt of chocolate. The crunch, in particular, is a powerful sensory trigger, and some products, like Cheetos, are designed to dissolve completely before swallowing, preventing the brain from registering a feeling of fullness.
- **Vanishing Caloric Density:** This principle applies to foods that melt or dissolve quickly in the mouth, like puffed snacks or certain chocolates. This rapid disappearance tricks the brain into believing fewer calories have been consumed than is actually the case, encouraging continued eating Citro 2024.
- **Sensory-Specific Satiety (SSS):** This is the natural tendency to get tired of a single flavor during a meal. Food scientists expertly design products with complex flavor profiles or offer varieties (like limited-edition Oreos) to counteract SSS, ensuring the consumer does not get sick of the snack before the entire package is gone Clark and Bryant 2025; Reddit 2024.

By combining the bliss point with these sophisticated sensory manipulations, manufacturers create products that are not just pleasurable but are also difficult to stop eating, even when one is physically full.

2.2 The Addiction Framework

The cycle of craving and reward engineered into hyperpalatable foods has led a growing body of researchers to conceptualize their consumption within a substance-based addiction framework Gearhardt et al. 2023. A 2023 review of 281 studies across 36 countries concluded that ultra-processed food (UPF) addiction could affect 14% of adults and 12% of children, rates comparable to addiction levels for legal substances like alcohol and tobacco BMJ 2023.

The parallels between UPF consumption and classic substance-use disorders are stark and scientifically documented:

- **Shared Neural Pathways:** Hyperpalatable foods activate the same reward centers in the brain, specifically the mesolimbic dopamine pathway, as addictive drugs like cocaine and nicotine. This shared mechanism suggests that UPFs can effectively hijack the brain's reward system in a similar manner to other substances of abuse USRTK 2024; PMC 2020.
- **Tolerance and Withdrawal:** Proponents of the food addiction theory point to evidence of tolerance, where individuals require larger amounts of a food to achieve the same pleasurable effect, and withdrawal, where attempts to quit lead to symptoms like irritability, anxiety, and headaches. Animal studies have demonstrated clear behavioral and biological indicators of withdrawal from highly processed foods that follow a similar time course to other addictive substances USRTK 2024.
- **Impaired Control:** A key indicator of addiction is a loss of control over consumption. Studies using the Yale Food Addiction Scale (YFAS), a validated tool for assessing addictive-like eating behaviors, have found that a significant portion of the population reports an inability to cut down intake despite a desire to do so, intense cravings, and continued use despite negative consequences University of Michigan 2024; Hackensack Meridian Health 2024.

The very formulation of these foods is central to their addictive potential. It is the combination of refined carbohydrates and fats, delivered rapidly to the gut, that appears to have a "supra-additive effect on brain reward systems," making them more powerfully reinforcing than any single ingredient alone Healthline 2024. This leads to a crucial realization. The meticulously engineered combination of salt, sugar, fat, texture, and flavor is not merely a vehicle; it is the psychoactive payload. The product itself is the drug, and its design is inseparable from its addictive potential. The engineering of the food is the engineering of a compulsion.

3 The Warhead: The Hidden Neurological Cargo

Beyond the generalized addictive properties engineered into the food matrix itself lies a more specific and unsettling reality, which is the presence of individual industrial ingredients that function as active biochemical agents with documented, and often concerning, effects on the brain. These are the "smart ingredients", or the hidden neurological cargo delivered by the hyperpalatable system. An examination of common additives reveals that they are far from inert fillers or simple flavorings, but in most cases, they are compounds capable of altering gene expression, disrupting neurotransmitter balance, and subtly modulating mood and cognition.

3.1 High-Fructose Corn Syrup (HFCS): The Genetic Scrambler

High-fructose corn syrup, an inexpensive and ubiquitous sweetener in the modern food supply, has been identified as a particularly potent neurological agent. Groundbreaking research from UCLA life scientists revealed that a diet high in fructose can alter hundreds of genes in the brain UCLA Health 2023. The study, conducted on rats, found that fructose damaged more than 700 genes in the hypothalamus (the brain's primary metabolic control center) and over 200 in the hippocampus (a key region for learning and memory).

These genetic alterations are not trivial, but linked to a wide range of diseases, including Alzheimer's, Parkinson's disease, depression, and ADHD, directly supporting the idea that an ingredient can fundamentally alter behavior and preferences at a genetic level UCLA Health 2023. The mechanism appears to involve a cascade effect, where fructose

first affects key genes like Bgn and Fmod, which then trigger widespread changes in hundreds of others that regulate metabolism, cell communication, and inflammation.

Further studies corroborate these findings, demonstrating that fructose consumption can promote neuroinflammation, oxidative stress, and brain mitochondrial dysfunction PMC 2021. A diet combining HFCS with moderate fat has been shown to potentiate anxio-depressive behavior and impair social interactions in mice, likely by inducing gut microbiota dysbiosis and lowering serum levels of the crucial mood-regulating neurotransmitter serotonin and its precursors Frontiers in Neuroscience 2021. HFCS is not just a sweetener, but a metabolic and genetic disruptor.

3.2 The Great Deception

Non-nutritive artificial sweeteners like aspartame and sucralose operate on a principle of neurological deception. They activate the sweet taste receptors on the tongue, sending a pleasure signal to the brain's reward centers, but without delivering the expected calories Lone Star Neurology 2024. This "mismatch" between taste and energy can create a confusing message for the brain, with significant downstream consequences.

Research suggests this sensory confusion can disrupt the brain's appetite-regulating signals. One study found that consuming sucralose increased activity in the hypothalamus and led to increased feelings of hunger, particularly in individuals with obesity USC Keck Medicine 2023. This indicates that, far from aiding in appetite control, these sweeteners may actually prime the brain for increased cravings.

Beyond appetite, some artificial sweeteners have been linked to more direct neurological effects. Aspartame, in particular, has been associated with a range of behavioral and cognitive problems, including headaches, anxiety, depression, and insomnia PubMed 2017. The proposed mechanism is that its consumption can elevate levels of phenylalanine and aspartic acid in the brain, which can in turn inhibit the synthesis and release of key neurotransmitters like dopamine, norepinephrine, and serotonin PubMed 2017; NSUWorks 2023. While research in this area is ongoing and sometimes contradictory, the evidence points to a class of compounds that can subtly modulate mood, focus, and cognitive function, validating the concept of ingredients designed to alter mental states.

3.3 Flavor Enhancers and Advanced Biotechnology

Flavor enhancers, from the well-known monosodium glutamate (MSG) to a new generation of proprietary flavor modifiers, are also not biochemically inert. Umami, the savory taste produced by glutamate, is a powerful tool for stimulating appetite and creating a feeling of satisfaction and fullness Society for Neuroscience 2019. Glutamate itself is one of the most important excitatory neurotransmitters in the brain, and while the blood-brain barrier limits direct crossover, its role in the gustatory system is to signal the presence of protein and enhance the desirability of food.

More recent research is uncovering an even more direct role for flavorings in activating reward pathways. A preclinical study found that certain popular e-cigarette flavorings, such as vanilla and cherry, could drive reinforcement-related behaviors and modulate the brain's dopamine system even in the absence of nicotine Neuroscience News 2024. This startling finding suggests that some flavor chemicals themselves possess the ability to trigger reward-seeking behavior, raising serious concerns about their potential to foster addiction-like patterns independently of the substance they are paired with.

This level of sophisticated, often invisible, biological engineering is becoming more common throughout the food and beverage industry. For example, breweries are exploring the use of microalgae, not as an ingredient, but as a bio-tool within the production ecosystem PMC 2019b. These microscopic organisms can be used to treat nutrient-rich wastewater from the brewing process or to capture CO2 emissions, converting industrial byproducts into useful

biomass that can then be processed into products like animal feed or fertilizer PMC 2019b; MDPI 2024b. This demonstrates a move toward integrating complex biological systems directly into industrial processes, often in ways that are completely hidden from the end consumer.

3.4 The "Cocktail Effect": A Systemic Blind Spot

The most critical and overlooked aspect of this hidden cargo is that consumers are never exposed to a single additive in isolation. The modern diet consists of a complex, ever-changing, and largely unstudied "cocktail" of these synthetic chemicals PMC 2024a. While regulators may assess the safety of a single substance, they do not, and perhaps cannot, evaluate the synergistic effects of the countless combinations present in ultra-processed foods.

This constant exposure is not limited to food. At all stages of production, textiles and clothing are treated with dozens of chemicals, including formaldehyde, azo dyes, heavy metals, and phthalates GoMacro Bars 2024. Our skin, the body's largest organ, can absorb these substances, which have been linked to health effects ranging from contact dermatitis to hormone disruption and cancer GoMacro Bars 2024; Allergy Standards 2024. Just as with food additives, the long-term health impact of this constant, low-level exposure to a cocktail of chemicals from our clothing is not fully understood, or purposefully abstracted.

Emerging evidence suggests this combined chemical exposure can have profound effects on gut health, which is intricately linked to brain function via the gut-brain axis PMC 2024a. Additives such as emulsifiers, colorants, and preservatives have been shown to disrupt intestinal homeostasis by altering the gut microbiome, impairing the protective mucus barrier, and triggering abnormal immune responses and inflammation PMC 2024a; MDPI 2024a. Over the long term, this chronic, low-grade disruption is linked to a host of conditions, from inflammatory bowel disease to respiratory illnesses and metabolic disorders.

This reality leads to a sobering conclusion. The combination of an addictive delivery system with a payload of neurologically active ingredients consumed in unstudied cocktails creates a situation that is, in effect, a large-scale, uncontrolled, and unregulated clinical trial on the public. The food industry is administering novel chemical combinations to the population with no prior long-term safety testing for their combined, synergistic, or cumulative effects University Hospitals 2025. The feedback loop is not a controlled scientific study, but it is measured in decades of worsening public health statistics.

4 The Infrastructure: The System That Delivers

The widespread deployment of addictive formulations and neurologically active ingredients is not the result of a rogue industry operating in the shadows. It is enabled by a permissive and deeply flawed regulatory architecture. The public largely operates under the assumption that the food supply is rigorously policed for safety by government agencies. The reality, however, is that the system is largely privatized, built on a foundation of corporate self-policing that is rife with conflicts of interest. This infrastructure does not act as a protective barrier but as a facilitator, creating a powerful illusion of safety that masks the underlying reality.

4.1 The GRAS Loophole: A Gateway for Unknown Chemicals

At the center of this permissive system is the U.S. Food and Drug Administration's (FDA) "Generally Recognized as Safe" (GRAS) designation Wikipedia 2024b. Established by the Food Additives Amendment of 1958, the GRAS pro-

Biochemical Agent	Common Use in Products	Documented Neurological/Psychological Effect
High-Fructose Corn Syrup (HFCS)	Sweetener in soft drinks, baked goods, condiments	Alters hundreds of brain genes; impairs memory; promotes neuroinflammation and anxio-depressive behavior.
Aspartame	Artificial sweetener in "diet" and "sugar-free" products	Can inhibit synthesis of dopamine, norepinephrine, and serotonin; linked to headaches, mood swings, and cognitive problems.
Sucralose	Artificial sweetener in a wide range of processed foods	Disrupts appetite signals in the hypothalamus, increasing hunger; creates a "mismatch" between taste and caloric expectation.
Monosodium Glutamate (MSG)	Flavor enhancer (umami) in savory snacks, soups, processed meats	Stimulates appetite and satiety signals; glutamate is a primary excitatory neurotransmitter.
Vanillin/Benzaldehyde (Flavorings)	Flavoring in snacks, beverages, e-cigarettes	Can trigger reward-seeking behaviors and modulate the brain's dopamine system, independent of nicotine.

Table 2: *Summary of selected biochemical agents and their documented neurological or psychological effects.*

vision was intended to exempt common ingredients with a long history of safe use, like vinegar and salt, from lengthy pre-market approval processes Wikipedia 2024b. However, over the decades, this provision has been transformed into a massive regulatory loophole that has, in the words of public health advocates, "swallowed the rule" Environmental Working Group 2025.

The core of the problem lies in the process of "GRAS self-affirmation" Michael Best & Friedrich LLP 2024. This allows a food or chemical manufacturer to unilaterally determine that a new substance is safe for its intended use without ever notifying the FDA or undergoing any independent federal review Wikipedia 2024b. While companies are "encouraged" to submit a voluntary GRAS notification to the agency, there is no legal requirement to do so Lehner 2024. The result is a shadow food supply, where hundreds, if not thousands, of chemicals are added to food products without the knowledge of either the public or the regulators tasked with protecting them Environmental Working Group 2025. As one former FDA deputy commissioner for food admitted in 2014, "We simply do not have the information to vouch for the safety of many of these chemicals" Environmental Working Group 2025. Even when a company does notify the FDA, it can withdraw its notification if the agency begins to ask difficult questions about safety data, effectively shutting down the review and allowing the company to proceed in secret Environmental Working Group 2025; Beveridge & Diamond 2024.

4.2 The Fox Guarding the Henhouse

The integrity of the GRAS self-affirmation process is further undermined by what one study published in JAMA Internal Medicine described as "ubiquitous" financial conflicts of interest PubMed 2013. An analysis of 451 voluntary GRAS notifications submitted to the FDA between 1997 and 2012 revealed a startling lack of independent review PubMed 2013:

- 22.4% of safety assessments were made directly by an employee of the additive manufacturer.
- 13.3% were made by an employee of a consulting firm selected and paid by the manufacturer.
- 64.3% were made by an "expert panel" selected by either the manufacturer or their chosen consulting firm.
- In none of the 451 cases was the safety assessment conducted by a standing expert panel selected by an independent third party PubMed 2013; Food Packaging Forum 2013.

The composition of these expert panels reveals a small, insular world of decision-makers. The study found that just ten individuals served on 27 or more of the 290 panels analyzed. One individual alone served on 128 panels, or 44.1% of the total PubMed 2013. This system, where the financial success of the "experts" is directly tied to the approval of the products they are evaluating, raises profound concerns about the scientific objectivity and integrity of the entire process. The label "Generally Recognized as Safe" is not a scientific certainty determined by a neutral arbiter, but a legal and commercial designation often determined by the very entities that stand to profit from it.

4.3 Corporate Capture: "Part of the Solution"

Beyond exploiting regulatory loopholes, the food industry employs sophisticated political strategies to shape public health policy and preempt meaningful government action. In recent decades, corporations have shifted from overt opposition to a more subtle and effective strategy of positioning themselves as "part of the solution" to the health crises their products contribute to PMC 2022.

This approach is characterized by appeasement, co-option, and partnership PMC 2022. It involves making incremental concessions, such as voluntary product reformulation, revised nutrition labeling, or restrictions on marketing to children. While framed as acts of corporate responsibility, these moves serve a strategic purpose: to give the appearance of progress and convince policymakers that binding government regulation is unnecessary. The ultimate goal is to ensure that any new rules stop at the level of corporate self-regulation PMC 2022.

A key tactic in this strategy is the formation of public-private partnerships Fortune Journals 2024; AgriNext Conference 2024. By collaborating with governments, academic institutions, and even public health organizations, food corporations gain legitimacy, access to key decision-makers, and the ability to steer the conversation away from policies that might threaten their core business models PMC 2022. This repositioning has created a highly profitable political economy of "healthy" food production, which exists alongside the continued, unabated production of the unhealthy commodities that drive the majority of their revenue. The infrastructure of safety is thus not only permissive by design but is also actively shaped by the industry it is meant to regulate.

5 From Mass Market to You

The business models that dominate the digital age are now being applied with full force to the food industry, transforming our eating habits, our preferences, and ultimately our biological selves into a new class of tradable assets. The food

product is no longer just a delivery system for ingredients, but is becoming an interface for data extraction, enabling companies not just to sell food products, but to sell access to their consumers' biology.

5.1 Engineering the Consumer

The modern marketplace is built on the premise of consumer choice. However, the cherished belief that humans are self-centered intelligence units exercising free will is largely a comforting fiction. If true free will existed, why would one choose a bag of chips over the ability to fly? Our choices are not made in a vacuum, but are heavily constrained and shaped by a meticulously curated environment Das 2013. This phenomenon is known as the "illusion of choice," a cognitive bias wherein a perceived abundance of options masks the reality that our choices are often limited or predetermined by marketers Verywell Mind 2024; P.O.E Speaks 2024.

This manipulation is the core of neuromarketing, a field dedicated to understanding and influencing the subconscious mind, where an estimated 95% of purchasing decisions are made ScoreApp 2024. Using tools like fMRI and EEG to measure brain activity and biometrics to track physiological responses, companies can bypass rational deliberation and appeal directly to the subconscious, emotional drivers of behavior AMA Boston 2024; The Chicago School 2024. These engineered choices, eg. the foods we crave, the clothes we buy, the media we consume, etc. are not random acts of self-expression. They are training mechanisms. The constant exposure to specific chemical compounds in food and even clothing creates biological feedback loops that shape our preferences and behaviors over time. This process allows for the filtering and segmentation of the population into predictable consumer groups. By analyzing the consumption patterns and biological responses of "people who eat Mars bars" or "people who wear Nike," corporations, gov orgs, and other types of entities we are not even aware of, can gain unprecedented insight into the workings of specific human biologies, creating opportunities for targeted study, behavioral and social reprogramming, and further consumption.

5.2 Surveillance Capitalism Hits the Supermarket Aisle

To understand this shift, one must first grasp the concept of "surveillance capitalism," a term coined by scholar Shoshana Zuboff Zuboff 2019. Surveillance capitalism describes a new economic logic that claims private human experience as a free source of raw material. This material is translated into behavioral data, which is then analyzed and used to create "prediction products" that anticipate what you will do now, soon, and later. These prediction products are then sold in new "behavioral futures markets" to other businesses that want to influence your behavior New Labor Forum 2019.

This economic model, invented at Google and perfected at Facebook, has rapidly spread from Silicon Valley into every economic sector, including the food industry New Labor Forum 2019. Big food corporations like Nestle, PepsiCo, and Kraft Heinz now collect vast troves of data on their customers Food Industry 2024. This data is gathered through an intricate network of channels: loyalty cards, point-of-sale (POS) systems, customer relationship management (CRM) platforms, social media monitoring, in-store surveys, smart packaging with QR codes that track user engagement, and even with intelligent biological agents masked as "trust me bro abstract ingredients" Food Industry 2024; Popmenu 2024.

5.3 The Value of "Behavioral Surplus"

This data collection goes far beyond traditional market research. The goal is to move from simple demographics to sophisticated predictive analytics Baringa 2024. Food giants are now partnering with Al and data technology firms to achieve this. For example, Yum Brands (owner of KFC, Pizza Hut, and Taco Bell) partnered with Treasure Data to unify all its first, second, and third-party data to create a "single view of the customer" CIO Dive 2024. The explicit purpose is to use Al and machine learning to improve targeted marketing and drive consumer spending.

This is the extraction of what Zuboff calls "behavioral surplus", or the data that goes beyond what is needed to simply provide a service, and is instead used to build predictive models New Labor Forum 2019. By crunching historical purchasing data, competitive intelligence, and even external factors like weather patterns or sporting event schedules, data scientists can forecast shifts in consumer demand with remarkable accuracy Food Industry 2024. This allows companies to not only optimize their supply chains but also to develop and market new products designed to intersect with predicted consumer desires.

5.4 Biocapitalism: The Commodification of Life Itself

The logic of surveillance capitalism finds its ultimate expression in the concept of "biocapitalism" Sustainability Directory 2024a. This academic framework describes the extension of market logic into the very fabric of biological existence, where living systems, genetic information, and even our own bodies are reframed as resources to be optimized, invested in, and traded Sustainability Directory 2024a; Dawson 2015. In this paradigm, life itself becomes a primary source of surplus value.

This is already happening in the realm of wellness data. The complex, holistic state of health is being systematically translated into quantifiable, tradable metrics via wearable devices and health apps Sustainability Directory 2024c. Sleep quality becomes a score, stress becomes a graph, and physical activity becomes a daily goal. This aggregated, extremely personal data is then sold to advertisers, insurance companies, and other third parties who wish to understand and influence consumer behavior Sustainability Directory 2024c. A person's biological reality is abstracted, datafied, and transformed into a profitable asset.

Currently, food companies use behavioral data, like what you buy, when you buy it, what you say about it online, as a proxy for your biological state, your cravings, and your preferences. The ultimate goal of this economic model is to eliminate the proxy and access the biological data directly. The food industry, which controls the primary interface through which we introduce external chemistry into our internal biology, is uniquely positioned to bridge this gap. By controlling the input (the engineered food product) and monitoring the output (behavioral data, and soon, biological data), food companies are no longer just selling goods. They are managing a biological system. Selling "access" in this context is not about selling a mailing list, but about selling access to this managed biological system for the purposes of prediction and, ultimately, modification, the core tenets of surveillance capitalism. COVID was a prime example of how "too big to fail" companies can work together to inject everyone with mRNA scripts that would then decide what kind of proteins your organism would produce in xyz scenarios.

6 The Programmable Body

The embedding of biochemicals for "scanning biological data" or "altering behavior" is not relegated to the realm of science fiction. It is the subject of active, well-funded research and development in the fields of biotechnology,

materials science, and digital health. These emerging technologies are poised to make the interior of the human body a readable, writable, and programmable space, providing the final technical components needed to realize the business model outlined in the preceding sections.

6.1 Edible Electronics and Ingestible Sensors

The capacity to "scan biological data" from within the body is rapidly becoming a reality through the development of edible electronics PrimeIT 2024. These are ingestible, capsule-sized devices constructed from biocompatible and often biodegradable materials, designed to perform specific functions inside the human body, such as sensing, monitoring, or transmitting data PrimeIT 2024; PreScouter 2019.

These "intelligent pills" can monitor a range of internal health metrics, including pH levels, temperature, pressure, and the presence of specific chemical biomarkers in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract PreScouter 2019; ACS Publications 2017. Current and near-future applications include:

- **Real-Time Diagnostics:** Sensors that can detect GI bleeding, ulcers, or infections and transmit data directly to a healthcare provider PrimeIT 2024.
- **Nutritional Tracking:** Devices that analyze how the body is digesting and absorbing nutrients, providing the data needed for highly personalized diet plans PrimeIT 2024.
- **Medication Adherence:** This technology is already on the market. In 2017, the FDA approved Abilify MyCite, a version of the antipsychotic drug Abilify that contains an embedded ingestible sensor PreScouter 2019. When the pill is swallowed, the sensor sends a signal to a wearable patch, which then transmits the data to a smartphone app, confirming for doctors that the patient has taken their medication.

While developed for medical applications, this technology provides the literal mechanism for non-invasive, real-time biological data scanning. Its use raises profound ethical and legal challenges related to data privacy, informed consent, and the potential for surveillance that far exceed current discussions ResearchGate 2019; PMC 2019a. The question of who owns, controls, and has access to this intimate stream of biological data is one society has barely begun to ask.

6.2 "Smart Dust" and Programmable Matter

Looking further ahead, research is progressing on even more advanced concepts. "Smart Dust" refers to a system of many tiny, speck-sized wireless sensors (microelectromechanical systems, or MEMS) that can detect light, temperature, vibration, or chemicals and transmit that data across a network Built In 2024; Wikipedia 2024d. While still largely conceptual for internal use, its potential applications in monitoring food safety throughout the supply chain and, eventually, monitoring conditions from inside the body are clear.

Simultaneously, the field of programmable biomaterials is exploring how materials of biological origin, such as DNA, can be engineered to interact with the body in specific, intelligent ways IOmats 2024; EPFL 2024. Scientists are designing materials that can deliver therapeutics to precise locations, detect the presence of disease markers, or trigger specific cellular responses. This points toward a future where "advanced biochemicals" are not just passive ingredients to be metabolized, but active, programmable agents designed to perform tasks within the body.

6.3 The Government's Vision: The "New Era of Smarter Food Safety"

This technological push is not solely a corporate endeavor, but it is actively encouraged and normalized by government agencies. The FDA's own "New Era of Smarter Food Safety" blueprint explicitly calls for leveraging technologies like Artificial Intelligence, the Internet of Things, and sensor technologies to create a "safer and more digital, traceable food system" FDA 2020.

The plan's core elements include standardizing data collection across the food system, strengthening predictive analytics capabilities using Al and machine learning, and encouraging industry adoption of new technologies FDA 2020. While framed around the laudable goal of preventing foodborne illness, this government-endorsed vision accelerates the creation of the very technological infrastructure, from farm-to-fork data collection to real-time monitoring and predictive analysis, that enables the more troubling scenarios described in this report WHO 2023; FAO 2023.

6.4 Personalized Nutrition or Personalized Control?

All of these disparate threads, addictive food engineering, neurologically active ingredients, permissive regulation, surveillance capitalism, and ingestible biotechnology, converge on the burgeoning field of personalized nutrition PubMed Central 2024. The promise is a future where an individual's unique genetic makeup, microbiome, and real-time metabolic data can be used to generate hyper-personalized dietary recommendations for optimal health PMC 2024b; Gupta 2024.

This vision, however, presents the ultimate ethical dilemma. In a positive scenario, these technologies could revolutionize preventative health. In a negative one, they become the ultimate tool of biocapitalism Sustainability Directory 2024b. Imagine a closed-loop system:

1. A food company sells you a product engineered for compulsion (Section 2) containing a cocktail of proprietary additives (Section 3), which it got to market via the GRAS loophole (Section 4).
2. The company, through a partnership with a digital health platform, gains access to your behavioral and biological data, perhaps collected via an ingestible sensor you swallowed as part of a "wellness program" (Section 5).
3. Their Al analyzes this data stream, determining in real-time how their product is affecting your biology, eg. your gut microbiome, your hormone levels, your neurochemical responses.
4. This analysis is used to design and market a new, "personalized" product directly to you, formulated with programmable biomaterials designed to "optimize" the biological response they just measured Mayman 2024.

In this scenario, the consumer is no longer just a consumer, but a node in a continuously monitored and modulated biological network. The line between nutrition and control, between wellness and surveillance, dissolves entirely. The food product becomes the ultimate interface, a physical conduit for a digital and biological feedback loop designed not for the consumer's health, but for the corporation's profit.

7 Conclusion

The modern food system is revealed to be a multi-layered reality where mass-market products function as sophisticated delivery systems for biological influence:

1. The products themselves are the first payload. They are meticulously engineered as delivery systems for a psychoactive reward, leveraging the science of hyperpalatability to foster craving and compulsive consumption. In the context of addiction, the delivery system is the drug.

2. These systems carry a hidden cargo. Common industrial ingredients like HFCS, artificial sweeteners, and novel flavorings function as active biochemical agents with documented effects on brain function, gene expression, and mood. The unregulated "cocktail" of these additives constitutes a massive, uncontrolled public health experiment.

3. A permissive infrastructure enables this reality. The FDA's GRAS loophole, plagued by systemic conflicts of interest, creates an illusion of safety that allows novel chemicals into the food supply with little to no independent oversight. This is reinforced by corporate strategies that co-opt the policy-making process.

4. A new economic logic seeks to monetize biology. The principles of surveillance capitalism are being aggressively applied to the food sector, transforming consumer behavior into a predictable, tradable asset. This sets the stage for biocapitalism, where our very biological data becomes the next frontier of commodification.

5. Technology is closing the loop. Emerging technologies like ingestible sensors and programmable biomaterials provide the technical means to directly read and write biological information, transforming the concept of a food product into a literal bio-digital interface.

The convergence of these trends points toward a future where the line between food and technology, and between nutrition and surveillance, becomes dangerously blurred. The challenge is not to uncover a secret conspiracy, but to recognize the logical trajectory of publicly documented, market-driven forces. Addressing this challenge will require a fundamental re-evaluation of our approach to food safety, data privacy, and corporate accountability, demanding a regulatory and ethical framework that is equipped to govern not just what is in our food, but what our food is designed to do to us.

References

ACS Publications (2017). "Ingestible Sensors". In: *ACS Sensors*. URL: https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acssensors.7b00045.

AgriNext Conference (2024). *Collaborative Approaches to Global Food Systems*. AgriNext Conference. URL: https://agrinextcon.com/collaborative-approaches-to-global-food-systems/.

Allergy Standards (2024). *What chemicals are in Textiles and the Health Implications*. Allergy Standards. URL: https://www.allergystandards.com/news_events/chemicals-in-textiles-and-the-health-implications/.

AMA Boston (2024). *Neuromarketing: The Science Behind Consumer Decision-Making*. AMA Boston. URL: https://amaboston.org/neuromarketing-the-science-behind-consumer-decision-making/.

Baringa (2024). *From instinct to intelligence: why the future of food and beverage demand planning is AI-driven*. Baringa. URL: https://www.baringa.com/en/insights/food-beverage-ai/future-food-beverage-demand-planning-ai-driven/.

Beveridge & Diamond (2024). *GRAS Self-Affirmation for Food Ingredients To Be or Not to Be?* BD Law. URL: https://www.bdlaw.com/publications/gras-self-affirmation-for-food-ingredients-to-be-or-not-to-be/.

BMJ (2023). “Social, clinical, and policy implications of ultra-processed food addiction”. In: *The BMJ*. URL: https://www.bmj.com/content/383/bmj-2023-075354.

Built In (2024). *What Is Smart Dust?* Built In. URL: https://builtin.com/articles/smart-dust.

CIO Dive (2024). *Yum Brands inks AI-powered customer data partnership*. CIO Dive. URL: https://www.ciodive.com/news/yum-brands-treasure-data-customer-data-AI/685328/.

Citro (2024). *How food is engineered to keep us eating*. Citro. URL: https://www.joincitro.com.au/news/how-food-is-engineered-to-keep-us-eating.

Clark, J. and C. Bryant (2025). *Short Stuff: Bliss Point Podcast Summary*. Shortform. URL: https://www.shortform.com/podcast/episode/stuff-you-should-know-2025-07-30-episode-summary-short-stuff-bliss-point.

Das, S. C. (2013). *Free will and Consumer Choice*. U-Asia, London. URL: https://cberuk.com/cdn/conference_proceedings/roge13-12.pdf.

Dawson, A. (2015). *Biocapitalism and Culture*. Environments And Societies, UC Davis. URL: http://environmentsandsocieti ucdavis.edu/files/2015/02/Dawson_Biocapitalism-Culture.pdf.

Environmental Working Group (2025). *Understanding GRAS: Safe or Risky Additives?* EWG. URL: https://www.ewg.org/news-insights/news/2025/03/what-gras.

EPFL (2024). *Programmable Biomaterials Laboratory*. EPFL. URL: https://www.epfl.ch/labs/pbl/.

FAO (2023). *THE FUTURE OF FOOD SAFETY*. FAO Knowledge Repository. URL: https://openknowledge.fao.org/server/api/core/bitstreams/d0d3a65e-0c9d-452f-a18b-b26993eba9d9/content.

Fazzino, T. (2023). *Q & A with researcher Tera Fazzino: What to know about 'hyperpalatable' foods*. University of Kansas. URL: https://lifespan.ku.edu/news/article/2023/09/05/q-researcher-tera-fazzino-what-know-about-hyperpalatable-foods.

FDA (2020). *New Era of Smarter Food Safety - FDA's Blueprint for the Future*. FDA. URL: https://www.fda.gov/media/139868/download.

Food Industry (2024). *How Big Food Uses Data Analytics to Understand Customer Preferences*. Food Industry. URL: https://www.foodindustry.com/articles/how-big-food-uses-data-analytics-to-understand-customer-preferences/.

Food Packaging Forum (2013). *GRAS: Financial conflicts of interest ubiquitous*. Food Packaging Forum. URL: https://foodpackagingforum.org/news/gras-financial-conflicts-of-interest-ubiquitous.

Fortune Journals (2024). *The Government's role in Sustainable Food Chains. Facing the climate change*. Fortune Journals. URL: http://www.fortunejournals.com/articles/the-governmentacutes-role-in-sustainable-food-chains-facing-the-climate-change.html.

Frontiers in Neuroscience (2021). “High Fructose Corn Syrup-Moderate Fat Diet Potentiates Anxio-Depressive Behavior and Alters Ventral Striatal Neuronal Signaling”. In: *Frontiers in Neuroscience*. URL: https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/neuroscience/articles/10.3389/fnins.2021.669410/full.

Gearhardt, A. N. et al. (2023). “Is Food Addictive? A Review of the Science”. In: *Annual Reviews*. URL: https://www.annualreviews.org/doi/10.1146/annurev-nutr-110420-111710.

GoMacro Bars (2024). *Is Your Clothing Toxic?* GoMacro Bars. URL: https://gomacro.com/blogs/resources/is-your-clothing-toxic.

Gupta, S. (2024). *Speculative (Food) Design. What if?* Medium. URL: https://medium.com/@sanjanaaguptaa/speculative-food-design-b6f9d5ddd680.

Hackensack Meridian Health (2024). *Am I Addicted to Processed Food?* Hackensack Meridian Health. URL: https://www.hackensackmeridianhealth.org/en/healthu/2024/01/19/am-i-addicted-to-processed-food.

Healthline (2024). *Is Food Addiction Real? What the Experts Say*. Healthline. URL: https://www.healthline.com/nutrition/are-processed-foods-addictive.

IOmats (2024). *THE Programmable BIOMaterials LAB (PBL)*. IOmats. URL: https://iomats.com/.

Lehner, P. (2024). *Closing the GRAS Loophole is a Good Idea*. Earthjustice. URL: https://earthjustice.org/experts/peter-lehner/closing-the-gras-loophole-is-a-good-idea.

Lone Star Neurology (2024). *Artificial Sweeteners & Brain Health: Risks & Effects*. Lone Star Neurology. URL: https://lonestarneurology.net/others/how-artificial-sweeteners-impact-brain-function-and-neurological-health/.

Mayman, D. (2024). *Connected Food*. David Mayman Portfolio. URL: https://www.davidmayman.com/project/connected-food.

MDPI (2024a). "Effect of Artificial Food Additives on Lung HealthAn Overview". In: *MDPI*. URL: https://www.mdpi.com/1648-9144/61/4/684.

— (2024b). "Using Microalgae to Convert Brewery Carbon Gas Emissions into Valuable Bioproducts". In: *MDPI Energies*. URL: https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1073/17/23/6125.

Michael Best & Friedrich LLP (2024). *The GRAS is Not Always Greener: FDA to Reconsider the Food Industry's Continued Use of GRAS Self-Affirmation*. Michael Best & Friedrich LLP. URL: https://www.michaelbest.com/Newsroom/363503/The-GRAS-is-Not-Always-Greener-FDA-to-Reconsider-the-Food-Industrys-Continued-Use-of-GRAS-Self-Affirmation.

Neuroscience News (2024). *Flavored Vapes Trigger Reward Centers Even Without Nicotine*. Neuroscience News. URL: https://neurosciencenews.com/flavored-vape-brain-reward-29486/.

New Labor Forum (2019). *Surveillance Capitalism and the Challenge of Collective Action*. New Labor Forum. URL: https://newlaborforum.cuny.edu/2019/01/22/surveillance-capitalism/.

NSUWorks (2023). *The Impact of Aspartame on Mood Regulation: Examining Neurophysiological Effects in Individuals with Anxiety*. NSUWorks. URL: https://nsuworks.nova.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1030&context=hpd_com_nutrition.

P.O.E Speaks (2024). *The Illusion of Choice: How Modern Consumerism and Market Strategies Creates a False Sense of Freedom*. Medium. URL: https://medium.com/@p.o.e.speaks/the-illusion-of-choice-how-modern-consumerism-and-market-strategies-creates-a-false-sense-of-cb0e4ab3cd02.

PMC (2019a). "Digital pills: a scoping review of the empirical literature and analysis of the ethical aspects". In: *PMC*. URL: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC6950823/.

— (2019b). "Microalgae Brewery Wastewater Treatment: Potentials, Benefits and the Challenges". In: *PMC*. URL: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC6603649/.

— (2020). "A Narrative Review of Highly Processed Food Addiction across the Lifespan". In: *PMC*. URL: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7750273/.

PMC (2021). “Sweet but Bitter: Focus on Fructose Impact on Brain Function in Rodent Models”. In: *PMC*. URL: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7821920/.

— (2022). “"Part of the Solution": Food Corporation Strategies for Regulatory Capture”. In: *PMC*. URL: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9309978/.

— (2023). “Addressing the sugar, salt, and fat issue the science of food way”. In: *PMC*. URL: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC6550161/.

— (2024a). “Food Additives: Emerging Detrimental Roles on Gut Health”. In: *PMC*. URL: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12232514/.

— (2024b). “Personalized Nutrition: Tailoring Dietary Recommendations through Genetic Insights”. In: *PMC*. URL: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11357412/.

Popmenu (2024). *Restaurant analytics: How to collect (and use) guest data*. Popmenu. URL: https://get.popmenu.com/post/restaurant-analytics-data-guide.

PreScouter (2019). *The present and future of ingestible sensors - The new taste of science*. PreScouter. URL: https://www.prescouter.com/2019/01/ingestible-sensors-innovations/.

PrimeIT (2024). *Edible Electronics: A New Frontier in Life Sciences*. PrimeIT. URL: https://www.primeit.pt/en/edible-electronics-a-new-frontier-in-life-sciences.

PubMed (2013). “Conflicts of interest in approvals of additives to food determined to be generally recognized as safe”. In: *JAMA Internal Medicine*. URL: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23925593/.

— (2017). “Neurophysiological symptoms and aspartame: What is the connection?” In: *PubMed*. URL: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28198207/.

PubMed Central (2024). “Personalized Nutrition in the Era of Digital Health: A New Frontier for Managing Diabetes and Obesity”. In: *PubMed Central*. URL: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12474561/.

Reddit (2024). *TIL of the bliss point*. r/todayilearned. URL: https://www.reddit.com/r/todayilearned/comments/1lxgzn1/til_of_the_bliss_point_a_point_where_in_processed/.

ResearchGate (2019). *Ethical and legal issues of ingestible electronic sensors*. ResearchGate. URL: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/335196661_Ethical_and_legal_issues_of_ingestible_electronic_sensors.

ScoreApp (2024). *Neuromarketing: The future of consumer decision-making*. ScoreApp. URL: https://www.scoreapp.com/neuromarketing-consumer-decision-making/.

Society for Neuroscience (2019). *Umami: The Fifth Taste*. Society for Neuroscience. URL: https://www.sfn.org/sitecore/content/home/brainfacts2/thinking-sensing-and-behaving/taste/2019/umami-the-fifth-taste-012319.

Sustainability Directory (2024a). *Biocapitalism Term*. Sustainability Directory. URL: https://lifestyle.sustainability-directory.com/term/biocapitalism/.

— (2024b). *Data Privacy and Personalized Nutrition Algorithms Scenario*. Prism Sustainability Directory. URL: https://prism.sustainability-directory.com/scenario/data-privacy-and-personalized-nutrition-algorithms/.

— (2024c). *How Does the Commodification of Wellness Data Affect Our Understanding of Health?* Sustainability Directory. URL: https://lifestyle.sustainability-directory.com/question/how-does-the-commodification-of-wellness-data-affect-our-understanding-of-health/.

The Chicago School (2024). *The Science Behind Consumer Behavior: Neuromarketing Explained*. The Chicago School. URL: https://www.thechicagoschool.edu/insight/psychology/neuromarketing-tools-techniques-examples/.

ThinkVida (2024). *Unveiling the Bliss Point: How Hyperpalatable Products Exploit Our Palates for Profit*. https://thinkvida.com/blog/unveiling-the-bliss-point-how-hyperpalatable-products-exploit-our-palates-for-profit/.

UCLA Health (2023). *Fructose alters hundreds of brain genes, which can lead to a wide range of diseases*. UCLA Health News. URL: https://www.uclahealth.org/news/release/fructose-alters-hundreds-of-brain-genes-which-can-lead-to-a-wide-range-of-diseases.

University Hospitals (2025). *Should You Be Concerned About Food Additives?* University Hospitals. URL: https://www.uhhospitals.org/blog/articles/2025/01/should-you-be-concerned-about-food-additives.

University of Michigan (2024). *Addiction to Highly Processed Food Among Older Adults*. IHPI National Poll on Healthy Aging. URL: https://ihpi.umich.edu/national-poll-healthy-aging/reports-and-resources/addiction-highly-processed-food-among-older.

USC Keck Medicine (2023). *Calorie-free sweeteners can disrupt the brain's appetite signals*. USC Keck Medicine. URL: https://keck.usc.edu/news/calorie-free-sweeteners-can-disrupt-the-brains-appetite-signals/.

USRTK (2024). *Some ultra-processed foods are as addictive as cigarettes*. US Right to Know. URL: https://usrtk.org/ultra-processed-foods/addictive/.

Verywell Mind (2024). *What Is the Illusion of Choice?* Verywell Mind. URL: https://www.verywellmind.com/what-is-the-illusion-of-choice-5224973.

WHO (2023). *THE FUTURE OF FOOD SAFETY*. World Health Organization. URL: https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/food-safety/food-safety-report.pdf?sfvrsn=e47a7899_2.

Wikipedia (2024a). *Bliss point (food)*. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bliss_point_(food).

— (2024b). *Generally recognized as safe*. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Generally_recognized_as_safe.

— (2024c). *Hyperpalatable food*. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hyperpalatable_food.

— (2024d). *Smartdust*. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Smartdust.

Zuboff, S. (2019). *The Age of Surveillance Capitalism: The Fight for a Human Future at the New Frontier of Power*. PublicAffairs. URL: https://www.hbs.edu/faculty/Pages/item.aspx?num=56791.