Higher Education's Crucial Role in National Progress: An Argument Against Funding Cut Threats (Trump against Harvard)

Thobias Sarbunan
INSTITUT AGAMA KRISTEN NEGERI AMBON/ENGLISH LANGUAGE EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM
thomascrown447@aol.com
https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8236-370X

1. Introduction

The recent discussion surrounding Harvard University's perceived support for Palestinian perspectives and the Trump administration's subsequent threats to limit federal funding highlights a fundamental misunderstanding of the complex and interconnected relationship that exists between governmental entities and institutions of higher education. The belief that the government can randomly decrease financial support to institutions such as Harvard without facing considerable negative outcomes reflects a limited viewpoint and is fundamentally misinformed. In truth, governmental bodies depend significantly more on the intellectual resources and innovative potential developed within universities than the universities depend on government funding (Kinol et al., 2023). Measures that involve withdrawing support based on differing political perspectives can hinder the essential drivers of progress and collective advancement, ultimately jeopardizing the long-term prosperity and global competitiveness of our society.

2. The Symbiotic Relationship between Government and Higher Education

The claim that a government can prosper and hold onto its position as the world's leading authority without the strong backing and scholarly input of universities is shown to be untrue by practical evidence. According to (Kinol et al., 2023), universities are the main hubs for innovation, facilitating ground-breaking developments in science, technology, and medicine that have a direct positive impact on society and are the main forces behind economic expansion. Universities like Harvard have made incalculable contributions to a wide range of disciplines, from computer science to biomedical engineering, and they have continuously produced graduates who go on to hold leadership positions in academia, business, and government, influencing the course of society overall. It is comparable to self-harm to cut off relations with such important institutions because of political differences; the long-term effects much exceed any apparent short-term political or ideological wins.

3. The Fallacy of Political Neutrality in Academia

Moreover, the idea that universities ought to maintain political neutrality overlooks the fundamental role of academic institutions as spaces for critical inquiry, intellectual exploration, and open dialogue. Universities, by their very nature, are created to nurture and embrace various perspectives, offering spaces where questioning established norms and exploring prevailing assumptions are not just welcomed but actively promoted as vital elements of intellectual development. Trying to restrict this important freedom of thought by punishing schools for sharing views that differ from the current government's views directly challenges the fundamental principles of academic freedom and free speech, creating a negative impact on open discussions and hindering the pursuit of knowledge. The independence of universities as environments for open exploration is essential for their function in generating knowledge that goes beyond local or national interests, fostering a wider, universal comprehension (Stichweh, 2023).

4. Threats to Academic Freedom and Research Exploration

Additionally, the growing focus on revenue generation in colleges and universities is reshaping their operations, moving away from traditional educational models towards a more business-oriented approach that aligns with corporate research practices (Audretsch et al.,

2023). Universities may place greater emphasis on generating revenue and commercializing research outcomes, which could influence academic freedom and the fundamental quest for knowledge (Stichweh, 2023). Creating research questions and methods to fit certain political views can lead to biased analyses, which may distort the public's understanding of research results, according to Williams & Ceci (2023).

5. Challenges and Managerialism

Neoliberal managerialism in higher education creates significant problems for fairness, diversity, and inclusion, as well as mental health issues, showing the need for a plan to rebuild learning spaces with kindness in organizations (Hashemi Toroghi et al., 2024). Educators encounter the intricate challenge of addressing the varied needs of all stakeholders while managing significant workloads and rigid institutional frameworks. This situation calls for a rethinking of challenges to enhance teaching practices and support every student (Hamilton & Petty, 2023). The term reframing encompasses initiatives that foster fairness, a variety of perspectives, and a welcoming environment, which have become increasingly significant in education over the past ten years, particularly as social justice matters and worldwide events bring attention to societal disparities (Soda, 2023). Leadership plays a vital role in nurturing these values within academic settings, and recognizing how leadership influences equity, diversity, and inclusion is key to establishing welcoming and supportive learning environments (Soda, 2023). Transformative leadership in education nurtures innovation, empathy, and adaptability, cultivating a culture of confidence and autonomy among staff that supports professional growth and enhances educational outcomes (Sarong, 2023).

6. Transformative Leadership for Academic Advancement

Strategic leadership practices play a crucial role in fostering competitive advantage within educational institutions, emphasizing the importance of innovative information and a commitment to future agendas and research opportunities (Al Huraizi & Marni, 2023). Grasping culture and context is essential for effective leadership, as is acknowledging the similarities between higher education and the introduction of new public management in educational environments (Macfarlane et al., 2024). During periods of transformation and change, the capacity to learn and adapt is essential for everyone, including individuals, educational institutions, and communities, underscoring the importance of continuous education reforms globally (Cheng, 2024). Leadership that embraces diversity within university teams plays a crucial role in enhancing job satisfaction for young employees, influenced by their work-family balance and overall psychological well-being (Li & Zhou, 2023). This highlights the importance of developing educational opportunities and addressing equity concerns for every student (Estriga et al., 2024). Leadership that embraces everyone involves navigating challenges and adopting strategies like communication, education, and demonstrating inclusive behaviors to create environments where each individual is valued (Sun et al., 2024). By enabling all stakeholders to take on leadership roles and make decisions, educational leaders can reshape systems, tackle inequities, and encourage innovation, ultimately contributing to a society that is fairer and more just (Shaikhah J. Alainati et al., 2023), (Ghorbani et al., 2023), (Soda, 2023), (Sun et al., 2024).

7. Universities as Engines of Innovation and Progress

The assertion that a government can flourish without strong higher education institutions is clearly inaccurate, as universities act as vital centers of innovation, producing transformative discoveries and advancements in science, technology, and medicine that benefit everyone and stimulate economic growth. For example, Harvard University has made significant contributions across various fields, including medicine and computer science, nurturing graduates who advance to become leaders in industry, government, and academia. Ending relationships with institutions due to political disagreements may be counterproductive, as the long-term effects of these actions can significantly overshadow any immediate political

benefits (Halimah et al., 2024). Universities play a crucial role in fostering technological entrepreneurship and innovation by guiding commercial and scientific resources to develop new innovations (Ahmedova, 2023). This emphasizes the important role of universities in promoting economic growth and addressing community challenges. Higher education institutions play a vital role in fostering technological change in government, addressing challenges related to spacetime, and highlighting the promotion of educational opportunities. This perspective emphasizes the significance of human resources within these institutions for achieving the adoption of digital technology and adaptable curricula (Alenezi, 2023).

8. The Value of Academic Freedom and Critical Inquiry

The perspective that universities ought to maintain political neutrality is an oversimplified view, considering that these institutions inherently serve as hubs for critical inquiry and dialogue, where various viewpoints are welcomed, and questioning established norms is both permissible and anticipated. Restricting intellectual freedom by penalizing institutions for sharing perspectives that diverge from the current administration undermines the core values of academic freedom and free speech, fostering an environment that discourages open exploration and dialogue. The emergence of pro-Palestinian perspectives within universities, along with the ensuing threats of federal funding reductions, highlights a significant misapprehension of the interconnected relationship between government and higher education. The government's claim that it can easily reduce funding to institutions such as Harvard without facing serious consequences is not only narrow-minded but also fundamentally misguided. In reality, the government heavily depends on the intellectual resources and innovative potential that universities nurture. This reliance underscores the vital role that academic institutions play in driving research, fostering critical thinking, and cultivating the next generation of leaders. Cutting funding could stifle this progress and ultimately hinder the very advancements that the government seeks to promote.

9. Conclusion

Boycotting funds based on opposing political views cripples the very engine of development and national progression, undercutting universities' critical role in stimulating innovation, driving economic growth, and promoting social well-being. Higher education institutions must engage, motivate, and teach the next generation of science, technology, engineering, and mathematics students to advocate for the responsible use of emerging technologies (Vasquez et al., 2023). These activities will ensure that we can effectively navigate emerging technologies and secure a future where technology serves all of humanity (Afzal et al., 2023), (Angwaomaodoko, 2023), (Pierce & Cleary, 2024). As the educational environment merges with digital technology, educators, policymakers, and stakeholders must develop a balanced strategy to guarantee that technology's advantages are achieved without jeopardizing learners' overall well-being (Bui et al., 2023).

References

Afzal, A., Khan, S., Daud, S., Ahmad, Z., & Butt, A. (2023). Addressing the Digital Divide: Access and Use of Technology in Education. *Journal of Social Sciences Review*, *3*(2), 883–895. https://doi.org/10.54183/jssr.v3i2.326

Ahmedova, S. (2023). RETRACTED: The role of universities in the development of technological entrepreneurship and innovation. *E3S Web of Conferences*, *420*, 10002. https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202342010002

Al Huraizi, S. S. A. N., & Marni, N. B. (2023). Strategic Leadership Practices and Their Role in Achieving Competitive Advantage in Schools, Future Agendas, Research Gaps, and the Research Needs: Systematic Literature Review. *International Journal of*

Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences, *13*(2), Pages 819-833. https://doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v13-i2/16424

Alenezi, M. (2023). Digital Learning and Digital Institution in Higher Education. *Education Sciences*, *13*(1), 88. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13010088

Angwaomaodoko, E. A. (2023). An appraisal on the Role of Technology in Modern Education, Opportunities and Challenges. *Path of Science*, *9*(12), 3019–3028. https://doi.org/10.22178/pos.99-4

Audretsch, D., Fisch, C., Franzoni, C., Momtaz, P. P., & Vismara, S. (2023). *Academic Freedom and Innovation: A Research Note* (Version 1). arXiv. https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2303.06097

Bui, H. P., Ulla, M. B., Tarrayo, V. N., & Pham, C. T. (2023). Editorial: The roles of social media in education: affective, behavioral, and cognitive dimensions. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *14*, 1287728. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1287728

Cheng, Y.-C. (2024). A Typology of Multiple School Leadership. *Education Sciences*, *14*(1), 70. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14010070

Estriga, L., Freitas, J., Vieira, G., Graça, A., & Batista, P. (2024). Modified Handball in Physical Education: Investigating Opportunities for Inclusion and Relatedness. *Education Sciences*, *14*(9), 985. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14090985

Ghorbani, A., Mohammadi, N., Rooddehghan, Z., Bakhshi, F., & Nasrabadi, A. N. (2023). Transformational leadership in development of transformative education in nursing: A qualitative study. *BMC Nursing*, *22*(1), 17. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-022-01154-z

Halimah, H., Syafruddin, S., & Earlyanti, N. I. (2024). The Role of Innovative Leadership in Driving Educational Management Transformation. *QALAMUNA: Jurnal Pendidikan, Sosial, Dan Agama*, *16*(1), 207–218. https://doi.org/10.37680/qalamuna.v16i1.4745

Hamilton, L. G., & Petty, S. (2023). Compassionate pedagogy for neurodiversity in higher education: A conceptual analysis. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *14*, 1093290. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1093290

Hashemi Toroghi, H., Denney, F., & Simpson, A. V. (2024). Cultivating staff equality, diversity, and inclusion in higher education in the post-pandemic era: An organizational compassion perspective. *Frontiers in Sociology*, *9*, 1378665. https://doi.org/10.3389/fsoc.2024.1378665

Kinol, A., Miller, E., Axtell, H., Hirschfeld, I., Leggett, S., Si, Y., & Stephens, J. C. (2023). Climate justice in higher education: A proposed paradigm shift towards a transformative role for colleges and universities. *Climatic Change*, *176*(2), 15. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-023-03486-4

Li, H., & Zhou, C. (2023). The influence mechanisms of inclusive leadership on job satisfaction: Evidence from young university employees in China. *PLOS ONE*, *18*(6), e0287678. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0287678

Macfarlane, B., Bolden, R., & Watermeyer, R. (2024). Three perspectives on leadership in higher education: Traditionalist, reformist, pragmatist. *Higher Education*, *88*(4), 1381–1402. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-023-01174-x

Pierce, G. L., & Cleary, P. F. (2024). The persistent educational digital divide and its impact on societal inequality. *PLOS ONE*, *19*(4), e0286795. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0286795

Sarong, J. S. (2023). Exploring Transformative Leadership Approaches in Modern Educational Institutions. *Randwick International of Education and Linguistics Science Journal*, *4*(4), 873–881. https://doi.org/10.47175/rielsj.v4i4.845

Shaikhah J. Alainati, Nouf S. Almonawer, & Faisal A. Al-Hammad. (2023). Transformational Leadership in Education: Review of Literature. *The International Journal of Business & Management*. https://doi.org/10.24940/theijbm/2023/v11/i2/BM2302-016

Soda, K. S. (2023). Leadership and Its Impact on Equity, Diversity and Inclusion: A Literature Review and Analysis. *OALib*, *10*(06), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.4236/oalib.1109980

Stichweh, R. (2023). The University as a World Organization. In P. Mattei, X. Dumay, E. Mangez, & J. Behrend (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Education and Globalization* (1st ed., pp. 424–442). Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780197570685.013.46

Sun, P., Zuo, X., Liu, X., Huang, H., & Wen, M. (2024). Inclusive Leadership: Beyond Diversity to True Equity. *International Journal of Science and Business*, *33*(1), 34–43. https://doi.org/10.58970/IJSB.2313

Vasquez, C. A., Evanoff, M., Ranzau, B. L., Gu, S., Deters, E., & Komor, A. C. (2023). Curing "GFP-itis" in Bacteria with Base Editors: Development of a Genome Editing Science Program Implemented with High School Biology Students. *The CRISPR Journal*, *6*(3), 186–195. https://doi.org/10.1089/crispr.2023.0002

Williams, W. M., & Ceci, S. J. (2023). How Politically Motivated Social Media and Lack of Political Diversity Corrupt Science. In C. L. Frisby, R. E. Redding, W. T. O'Donohue, & S. O. Lilienfeld (Eds.), *Ideological and Political Bias in Psychology* (pp. 357–375). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-29148-7_13