

Must Beliefs and Evidence Agree?

A Debate

Scott Stapleford and
Elizabeth Jackson

Designed cover image: Getty Images / photofxs68

First published 2026
by Routledge
605 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10158

and by Routledge
4 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 4RN

*Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group,
an informa business*

© 2026 Scott Stapleford and Elizabeth Jackson

The right of Scott Stapleford and Elizabeth Jackson to be identified as authors of this work has been asserted in accordance with sections 77 and 78 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

Trademark notice: Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data
A catalog record for this title has been requested

ISBN: 978-0-367-46825-5 (hbk)

ISBN: 978-0-367-46824-8 (pbk)

ISBN: 978-1-003-03138-3 (ebk)

DOI: 10.4324/9781003031383

Typeset in Sabon
by Apex CoVantage, LLC

Contents

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	<i>xi</i>
<i>Foreword</i>	<i>xii</i>
DANIEL GRECO	
Opening Statements	1
1 Evidence is the Law for Belief: An Evidentialist Perspective	3
SCOTT STAPLEFORD	
2 Challenges to Evidentialism	65
ELIZABETH JACKSON	
First Round of Replies	115
3 Reply to Liz Jackson's Opening Statement	117
SCOTT STAPLEFORD	
4 Reply to Scott Stapleford's Opening Statement	142
ELIZABETH JACKSON	
Second Round of Replies	173
5 Reply to Liz Jackson's Reply to My Opening Statement	175
SCOTT STAPLEFORD	
6 Reply to Scott Stapleford's Reply to My Opening Statement	191
ELIZABETH JACKSON	

x Contents

<i>Further Reading</i>	205
<i>Glossary</i>	212
<i>References</i>	216
<i>Index</i>	222

References

- Adler, Jonathan. (2006). *Belief's Own Ethics*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Al-Ghazālī, Abu Hamid Muhammad. (1105/1991). *The Alchemy of Happiness*. Translated by Claud Field. New York: M.E. Sharpe.
- Ali, Zain. (2018). An Evidential Argument for Islamic Theism. *European Journal for Philosophy of Religion* 10(4): 55–78.
- Alston, William. (1988). The Deontological Conception of Epistemic Justification. *Philosophical Perspectives* 2: 257–299.
- Audi, Robert. (2001). *The Architecture of Reason*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Basu, Rima. (2019). The Wrong of Racist Beliefs. *Philosophical Studies* 176(9): 2497–2515.
- Basu, Rima and Mark Schroeder. (2019). Doxastic Wronging. In Brian Kim and Matthew McGrath (eds), *Pragmatic Encroachment in Epistemology*. New York: Routledge.
- Bergmann, Michael. (2006). *Justification without Awareness*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Bolinger, Renee. (2020). Varieties of Moral Encroachment. *Philosophical Perspectives* 34(1): 5–26.
- Boyce, Kenneth and Alvin Plantinga. (2015). Proper Functionalism. In Andrew Cullison (ed.), *The Bloomsbury Companion to Epistemology* (pp. 143–161). London: Bloomsbury.
- Buchak, Lara. (2014). Belief, Credence, and Norms. *Philosophical Studies* 169(2): 1–27.
- Callahan, Laura. (2021). Epistemic Existentialism. *Episteme* 18(4): 539–554.
- Clifford, William K. (1876/1901). The Ethics of Belief. In Leslie Stephen and Frederick Pollock (eds), *Lectures and Essays* (2nd ed., pp. 339–347). London: MacMillan Press.
- Clifford, William K. (1877). The Ethics of Belief. *Contemporary Review* 29: 289–309.
- Conee, Earl and Richard Feldman (eds). (2004). *Evidentialism: Essays in Epistemology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Cottingham, John, Robert Stoothoff and Dugald Murdoch (eds). (1996). *The Philosophical Writings of Descartes: Volume II*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Dennett, Daniel. (1978). *Brainstorms*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Dennett, Daniel. (1987). *The Intentional Stance*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Engel, Pascal. (2013). In Defence of Normativism about the Aim of Belief. In Timothy Chan (ed.), *The Aim of Belief* (pp. 32–63). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Falkenstein, Lorne, Scott Stapleford and Molly Kao. (2022). *Logic Works: A Rigorous Introduction to Formal Logic*. New York: Routledge.
- Fantl, Jeremy and Matthew McGrath. (2009). *Knowledge in an Uncertain World*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Feldman, Richard. (2000). The Ethics of Belief. *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 60(3): 667–695.
- Feldman, Richard. (2001). Voluntary Belief and Epistemic Evaluation. In Matthias Steup (ed.), *Knowledge, Truth, and Duty: Essays on Epistemic Justification, Responsibility, and Virtue* (pp. 77–92). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Feldman, Richard. (2004). The Ethics of Belief. In Earl Conee and Richard Feldman (eds), *Evidentialism: Essays in Epistemology* (pp. 166–195). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Feldman, Richard. (2014). *Reason and Argument*. London: Pearson Education Limited.
- Feldman, Richard and Earl Conee. (1985). Evidentialism. *Philosophical Studies* 48(1): 15–34.
- Fritz, James. (2017). Pragmatic Encroachment and Moral Encroachment. *Pacific Philosophical Quarterly* 98(1): 643–661.
- Fritz, Jamie and Elizabeth Jackson. (2021). Belief, Credence, and Moral Encroachment. *Synthese* 199(1–2): 1387–1408.
- Gardiner, Georgi. (2021). Evidence. In Duncan Pritchard (ed.), *Routledge Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. New York: Routledge.
- Goldman, Alvin. (2012). What Is Justified Belief? In Alvin Goldman (ed.), *Reliabilism and Contemporary Epistemology* (pp. 29–49). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Goldschmidt, Tyron. (2019). A Proof of Exodus: Yehuda HaLevy and Jonathan Edwards Walk into a Bar. In Samuel Lebens, Dani Rabinowitz and Aaron Segal (eds), *Jewish Philosophy in an Analytic Age*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Greco, Daniel. (2023). *Idealization in Epistemology: A Modest Modeling Approach*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Grim, Patrick. (2007). Impossibility Arguments. In Michael Martin (ed.), *The Cambridge Companion to Atheism* (pp. 199–214). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Grim, Patrick. (2013). Problems with Omniscience. In J. P. Moreland, Chad Meister and Khaldoun A. Sweis (eds), *Debating Christian Theism* (pp. 169–180). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Grimm, Stephen. (2015). Knowledge, Practical Interests, and Rising Tides. In David Henderson and John Greco (eds), *Epistemic Evaluation: Purposeful Epistemology* (pp. 117–137). New York: Oxford University Press.

- Hájek, Alan. (2022). Pascal's Wager. In *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. Available at: <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/pascal-wager/#Prem2ProbAssiGodsExis>
- Hawthorne, John and Jason Stanley. (2008). Knowledge and Action. *The Journal of Philosophy* 105(10): 571–590.
- Hill, Thomas. (1992). *Dignity and Practical Reason in Kant's Moral Theory*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- Hume, David. (1748/2010). *An Enquiry Concerning Human Understanding*. Edited by Tom L. Beauchamp. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Igneski, Violetta. (2001). *Rescue and the Duty to Aid the Needy*. (Unpublished dissertation: University of Toronto).
- Igneski, Violetta. (2006). Perfect and Imperfect Duties to Aid. *Social Theory and Practice* 32(3): 439–466.
- Jackson, Elizabeth. (2019). How Belief-Credence Dualism Explains away Pragmatic Encroachment. *The Philosophical Quarterly* 69(276): 511–533.
- Jackson, Elizabeth. (2021a). Belief, Faith, and Hope: On the Rationality of Long-Term Commitment. *Mind* 130(517): 35–57.
- Jackson, Elizabeth. (2021b). Pascal's Wager: A Pragmatic Argument for Belief in God. In *1000-Word Philosophy: An Introductory Anthology*. Available at: <https://1000wordphilosophy.com/2021/01/04/pascals-wager/>
- Jackson, Elizabeth. (2023). A Permissivist Defense of Pascal's Wager. *Erkenntnis* 88(6): 2315–2340.
- Jackson, Elizabeth. (2026). Permissivist Evidentialism. In Kevin McCain, Scott Stapleford, and Matthias Steup (eds), *Evidentialism at 40: New Arguments, New Angles*. Routledge Studies in Epistemology. New York: Routledge.
- Jackson, Elizabeth. (Forthcoming). The Epistemic Axiology of Theism. *Ergo*.
- Jackson, Elizabeth and Greta LaFore. (2024). Permissivism, Underdetermination, and Evidence. In Clayton Littlejohn and Maria Lasonen-Aarnio (eds), *The Routledge Handbook of the Philosophy of Evidence* (pp. 358–370). New York: Routledge.
- Jackson, Elizabeth and Andrew Rogers. (2019). Salvaging Pascal's Wager. *Philosophia Christi* 21(1): 59–84.
- Jackson, Elizabeth and Peter Tan. (2022). Epistemic Akrasia and Belief-Credence Dualism. *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 104(3): 717–727.
- James, William. (1896/2011). The Will to Believe. In J. Shook (ed.), *The Essential William James* (pp. 157–178). New York: Prometheus Books.
- Kant, Immanuel. (1787/1929). *Critique of Pure Reason*. Translated by Norman Kemp Smith. London: MacMillan Press.
- Kant, Immanuel. (1997). *Lectures on Ethics*. Edited by Peter Heath and J. B. Schneewind. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Keller, S. (2004). Friendship and Belief. *Philosophical Papers* 33(3): 329–351.

- Kelly, Thomas. (2013). Evidence Can Be Permissive. In M. Steup, J. Turri and E. Sosa (eds), *Contemporary Debates in Epistemology* (2nd ed., pp. 298–311). Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons.
- Kim, Brian. (2017). Pragmatic Encroachment in Epistemology. *Philosophy Compass* 12(5): 1–14.
- Kopec, Matthew. (2015). A Counterexample to the Uniqueness Thesis. *Philosophia* 43: 403–409.
- Kopec, Matthew and M. Titelbaum. (2016). The Uniqueness Thesis. *Philosophy Compass* 11(4): 189–200.
- Kornblith, Hilary. (1983). Justified Belief and Epistemically Responsible Action. *The Philosophical Review* 92(1): 33–48.
- Leary, Stephanie. (2017). In Defense of Practical Reasons for Belief. *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 95(3): 529–542.
- Leary, Stephanie. (2025). The Applied Moral Turn of the Ethics of Belief Debate. In Kevin McCain, Scott Stapleford and Matthias Steup (eds), *Evidentialism at 40: New Arguments, New Angles* (pp. 132–145). New York: Routledge.
- Locke, John. (1690). *An Essay Concerning Human Understanding* (Book IV, ch. XVI). London: C. and J. Rivington and Partners.
- Locke, John. (1690/1975). *An Essay Concerning Human Understanding*. Edited by P. H. Nidditch. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Marušić, Berislav. (2012). Belief and Difficult Action. *Philosophers' Imprint* 12(18): 1–30.
- Matheson, David. (2011). How to Be an Epistemic Value Pluralist. *Dialogue* 50: 391–405.
- McCain, Kevin. (2014). *Evidentialism and Epistemic Justification*. New York: Routledge.
- McCormick, Miriam Schleifer. (2015). *Believing against the Evidence: Agency and the Ethics of Belief*. New York: Routledge.
- Milkman, Katherine. (2021). *How to Change: The Science of Getting from Where You Are to Where You Want to Be*. New York: Penguin Random House.
- Mougin, Gregory and Elliott Sober. (1994). Betting against Pascal's Wager. *Noûs* 28(3): 382–395.
- Nelson, Mark. (2010). We Have No Positive Epistemic Duties. *Mind* 119: 83–102.
- Pace, Michael. (2011). The Epistemic Value of Moral Considerations: Justification, Moral Encroachment, and James' "Will to Believe". *Noûs* 45(2): 239–268.
- Pascal, Blaise. (1670/2004). *Pensées* (pp. 211–214). Translated by R. Ariew. Indianapolis: Hackett.
- Peels, Rik. (2015). Believing at Will Is Possible. *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 93(3): 524–541.
- Priest, Graham. (2006). *Doubt Truth to Be a Liar*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Pritchard, Duncan. (2014). Truth as the Fundamental Epistemic Good. In Jonathan Matheson and Rico Vitz (eds), *The Ethics of Belief* (pp. 112–129). New York: Oxford University Press.

- Rahman, Fazlur. (2011). *Prophecy in Islam: Philosophy and Orthodoxy*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Raleigh, Thomas. (2017). Another Argument against Uniqueness. *The Philosophical Quarterly* 67(267): 327–346.
- Reed, Baron. (2014). Practical Matters Do Not Affect Whether You Know. In Matthias Steup, John Turri and Ernest Sosa (eds), *Contemporary Debates in Epistemology* (2nd ed., pp. 95–106). Malden, MA: Wiley Blackwell.
- Rendell, Ruth. (1985). *An Unkindness of Ravens*. New York: Pantheon Books.
- Rinard, Susanna. (2017). No Exception for Belief. *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 94(1): 121–143.
- Rinard, Susanna. (2019a). Equal Treatment for Belief. *Philosophical Studies* 176(7): 1923–1950.
- Rinard, Susanna. (2019b). Believing for Practical Reasons. *Noûs* 53(4): 763–784.
- Roeber, Blake. (2020). Permissive Situations and Direct Doxastic Control. *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 101(2): 415–431.
- Roeber, Blake. (MS). Transparency, Opacity, and the Value of Epistemology.
- Rosen, G. (2001). Nominalism, Naturalism, Epistemic Relativism. *Philosophical Perspectives* 15: 69–91.
- Ross, Jacob and Mark Schroeder. (2014). Belief, Credence, and Pragmatic Encroachment. *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 88(2): 259–288.
- Rota, Michael. (2016). *Taking Pascal's Wager: Faith, Evidence, and the Abundant Life*. Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press.
- Schoenfield, Miriam. (2014). Permission to Believe: Why Permissivism Is True and What It Tells Us about Irrelevant Influences on Belief. *Noûs* 47(1): 193–218.
- Schroeder, Mark. (2005). Instrumental Mythology. *Journal of Ethics and Social Philosophy* 1(2): 1–13.
- Schroeder, Mark. (2012). Stakes, Withholding, and Pragmatic Encroachment on Knowledge. *Philosophical Studies* 160(2): 265–285.
- Schroeder, Mark. (2018). When Beliefs Wrong. *Philosophical Topics* 46(1): 115–127.
- Shah, Nishi. (2003). How Truth Governs Belief. *Philosophical Review* 112(4): 447–482.
- Shah, Nishi. (2006). A New Argument for Evidentialism. *Philosophical Quarterly* 56(225): 481–498.
- Shah, Nishi and David Velleman. (2005). Doxastic Deliberation. *Philosophical Review* 114(4): 497–534.
- Stanley, Jason. (2005). *Knowledge and Practical Interests*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Stapleford, Scott. (2012). Epistemic Duties and Failure to Understand One's Evidence. *Principia* 16(1): 147–177.
- Stapleford, Scott. (2013). Imperfect Epistemic Duties and the Justificational Fecundity of Evidence. *Synthese* 190(18): 4065–4075.

- Stapleford, Scott. (2014). Completing Epistemic Oughts. *Philosophical Forum* 45(2): 133–148.
- Stapleford, Scott. (2015). Why There May Be Epistemic Duties. *Dialectica* 54(1): 63–89.
- Stapleford, Scott. (2016). Epistemic Value Monism and the Swamping Problem. *Ratio* 29(3): 283–297.
- Stapleford, Scott. (2018). Intraspecies Impermissivism. *Episteme* 16(3): 340–356.
- Stapleford, Scott and Kevin McCain. (2021). Bound by the Evidence. In Kevin McCain and Scott Stapleford (eds), *Epistemic Duties: New Arguments, New Angles* (pp. 113–124). New York: Routledge.
- Stapleford, Scott and Kevin McCain. (2022). Epistemic Dilemmas, Epistemic Quasi-Dilemmas, and Quasi-Epistemic Dilemmas. In Kevin McCain, Scott Stapleford and Matthias Steup (eds), *Epistemic Dilemmas: New Arguments, New Angles* (pp. 77–85). New York: Routledge.
- Stapleford, Scott and Kevin McCain. (2025). Extreme Evidentialism. In Kevin McCain, Scott Stapleford and Matthias Steup (eds), *Evidentialism at 40: New Arguments, New Angles* (pp. 53–72). New York: Routledge.
- Steglich-Petersen, Asbjørn. (2006). No Norm Needed: On the Aim of Belief. *Philosophical Quarterly* 56: 499–516.
- Steup, Matthias. (2001). Epistemic Duty, Evidence, and Internality. In Matthias Steup (ed.), *Knowledge, Truth, and Duty: Essays on Epistemic Justification, Responsibility, and Virtue* (pp. 134–148). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Steup, Matthias and Ram Neta. (2020). Epistemology. In *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. Available at: <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/epistemology/index.html#DeonNonDeonJust>
- Stroud, Sarah. (2006). Epistemic Partiality in Friendship. *Ethics* 116(3): 498–524.
- Sullivan-Bissett, Ema. (2021). We Are Like American Robins. In Kevin McCain and Scott Stapleford (eds), *Epistemic Duties: New Arguments, New Angles* (pp. 94–110). New York: Routledge.
- Swinburne, Richard. (2005). *Faith and Reason*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Turnbull, Greta. (2021). Dinosaurs and Reasonable Disagreement. *Journal of Philosophical Research* 46: 329–344.
- Van Inwagen, Peter. (1994). Quam Dilecta. In T. V. Morris (ed.), *God and the Philosophers: The Reconciliation of Faith and Reason* (pp. 31–60). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- White, Robert. (2005). Epistemic Permissiveness. *Philosophical Perspectives* 19(1): 445–459.
- Wright, N. T. (2003). *The Resurrection of the Son of God*. Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press.