

# Variational Backbone and Regime Closures V: Mass from Variational Spectra and Higgs as an Effective Instance Schur complement, projector-valued order-0 terms, and regime closure

Yunbeom Yi

Independent Researcher, Sejong, Republic of Korea  
28ho2132@gmail.com

January 2026

## Abstract

This paper fixes a structural mass mechanism within the series contract “one fixed spatial density  $\epsilon(\cdot)$  + three operational readings (R1/R2/R3)”. Mass is defined as order-0 spectral data of the R1 Hessian at an R2-selected vacuum, equivalently as dispersion data of the R3 time-completed representative. For the canonical first-derivative backbone, eliminating the closed variable  $F := D_I I_I$  induces, on the open sector, a Schur complement correction of the form  $-(\eta^2/\alpha)P_{\text{Ran}}$ : an order-0 projector term that preserves the Laplace-type principal operator and shifts active channels. Elimination and the resulting Schur/projector term are representation-level implementations of a declared readout/retention protocol (Part IV); they do not modify the fixed backbone or its principal operator. After KG normalization, the dimensionless shift is expressed by the series invariant  $\eta^2/(\alpha\beta) = \kappa^2$ . We state the operator-theoretic gates behind “order-0” and self-adjointness, demonstrate de Rham channel selectivity (exact-only action) via a one-page torus computation, and position Higgs parameters as a representation-level factorization of the extracted mass matrix.

**Protocol usage.** The representation-protocol vocabulary is formalized in Part IV; here we use only the minimal notion of representative choice (readout/retention and induced weights/updates). Any additional closure/coupling slot is stated explicitly when used. Elimination/Schur/projector procedures are representation-level operations induced by the declared protocol and never modify the Structural Layer.

**Self-contained review note.** This manuscript is intended to be *self-contained* for peer review. Companion parts of the series are cited only for context and do not supply any result required for the arguments below. Whenever a series-level convention is used (equivalences, instance vocabulary, gates/rails), it is restated locally or declared explicitly.

**Meta-language.** Nonstandard series terms (reading, instance alias, gate, rail, principal operator lock, etc.) are defined in the *Series meta-language glossary* appended to this manuscript.

**Scope.** All claims are *sectorial/regime-level* unless explicitly stated: validity and breakdown are controlled by declared regime gates and stopping rules, and no global completeness or continuation is asserted beyond regime exits.

**Series pipeline (structure at a glance).** Primitive  $E$  and constitutional axioms  $\rightarrow$  reading (R1/R2/R3)  $\rightarrow$  elimination (Schur/dual-Schur)  $\rightarrow$  representation instances  $\rightarrow$  (optional) rails for validation. Companion parts of the series develop: (i) metric-channel regime templates, (ii) field-sector regime templates (KG $\rightarrow$ Sch $\rightarrow$ HJ), (iii) a time-instance module, and (iv) sectorial closure dossiers (classical/GR/QM/UV), each stated to be review-independent.

**Companion parts.** Other parts of *Variational Backbone and Regime Closures* may be consulted for background only (see the series map). They do not supply any result required for the

arguments in the present manuscript. Dedicated validation rails (cosmology/lensing/data comparison) and optional phenomenology instances (e.g. PPN readouts) are deferred to follow-up dossiers. No step in this manuscript depends on an unpublished argument.

**Series scope.** All statements are sectorial/regime-level within the declared regime and admissibility gates, and are subject to explicit stopping rules where readout instances are used; no global continuation beyond regime exit is claimed.

**Axiomatic provenance (no external postulates added).** This paper assumes only the constitutional axioms (A1–A7) and constraints (C1–C8) fixed in Part I (Part I). No foundational postulate from external theories (GR/QM/QFT) is adopted as an additional axiom. Any extra condition introduced below is explicitly declared as a *instance* (convention), a *gate* (regime assumption), or a *rail* (data/units interface), with scope and stage stated where used.

**Elimination is a representation-level implementation (not a backbone modification).**

In this series, *elimination* means a representation-level representative selection (Schur or dual-Schur complement) performed once a retention channel is declared; it does not commit to any dataset-level rail/readout instance.

It is neither a physical time process nor an observation/measurement.

*Remark.* Elimination is a representation-level representative selection step (Representation Layer; defined in Part IV): it implements the rule “retain only the observable/retained channel”; the backbone and readings remain structural.

**Analytic background (non-normative).** For standard background on elliptic operators and Hodge decomposition, see e.g. [1, 6]. For gradient-flow methods and Lojasiewicz–Simon type inequalities used to justify stability/gate statements, see e.g. [3, 4, 5].

**Keywords:** mass spectrum; second variation; Schur complement; projector; Laplace principal operator; Klein–Gordon regime; Higgs positioning; model instances.

**Series context.** Parts I–III fix the first-derivative backbone and close the R2/R3 corridors. Part IV defines observational projection and instances. Part V defines mass as vacuum Hessian spectral data and precedes Part VI (dual-Schur closed curvature) and Part VII (elimination duality and structural invariants); validation rails are deferred to a post-Part XII rail dossier.

**Mass extraction protocol (registered readout; 7 steps).**

- (1) Fix the backbone representative and a reading (R1 for Hessian data; R3 for dispersion data).
- (2) Declare the open/closed split and the physical open subspace  $\mathcal{H}_R^{\text{phys}}$  (mean-zero/gauge slice or stabilized variant).
- (3) Fix a vacuum  $q^*$  (R2-selected stationary point) and form the block Hessian at  $q^*$ .
- (4) Perform representation-level elimination (Schur complement) of the closed block under the admissibility gates (self-adjointness, positivity).
- (5) Read off the order-0 spectral shift on the active channels (projector term) and record the invariant coefficient  $\kappa^2 = \eta^2/(\alpha\beta)$ .
- (6) Convert to KG-normalized units when needed and locate the extracted spectrum as the mass readout.
- (7) If desired, factorize the extracted matrix into an effective Higgs parameterization (an instance-level re-expression, not a new structural postulate).

## Series interface clause (I→XII)

**Primitive object (density fixed).** We fix one local  $C^2$  scalar functional

$$E[g, I_R, I_I] = \int_M e(x, g, I_R, I_I, \nabla I_R, D_I I_I) \, \text{dvol}_g, \quad (5.1)$$

defined on the configuration variables  $(g, I_R, I_I)$ . **Density fixed** means: the *functional form* of the local density  $e(\cdot)$  is held fixed across readings R1/R2/R3.

**Derivation vs. reading.** Within each reading we take the ordinary Fréchet derivative of  $E$  with respect to  $(g, I_R, I_I)$ . *Changing the regime* means changing the **operation** applied to the same fixed  $E$ : stationarity (R1) vs. gradient descent (R2) vs. conservative time completion (R3).

**Operator typing lock (series-wide; fixed for consistency).** The interface operator is first-order and typed as

$$D_I : \Gamma(E_I) \rightarrow \Gamma(E_R), \quad F := D_I I_I \in \Gamma(E_R),$$

so the minimal bilinear interface term  $\langle I_R, F \rangle$  is well-typed. (Formerly this structural variable was denoted  $G$  in Parts I–III; we write  $F$  here to avoid collision with  $G_N$ .) We assume  $D_I$  admits a formal adjoint  $D_I^*$  with respect to  $g$  and  $\text{dvol}_g$ . **Operator instance requirement:** each paper must specify  $(E_I, E_R, D_I, \ker(D_I)$  treatment).

**Kernel / gauge convention (closed sector).** If the density depends on  $I_I$  only through  $F = D_I I_I$ , then  $I_I$  is understood modulo  $\text{Ker}(D_I)$ . Equivalently, fix a representative by a gauge/mean-zero slice so that  $F$  is the effective closed primitive.

**Zero-mode convention (open sector).** The open sector uses a default zero-mode removal (mean-zero / gauge fix) so that a Poincaré-type control holds, or equivalently one may add a small mass stabilization as a lower-order modification. Whenever estimates rely on a Poincaré constant  $C_P(g)$ , one must declare either: (i) an admissible metric class with uniform  $C_P$ , or (ii) the mass-stabilized convention.

**Controlled equivalences (“up to”).** Representative statements are only asserted up to the following moves: (i) boundary/divergence terms (on closed  $M$  they do not affect interior EL), (ii) constant rescalings / time reparametrizations, (iii) lower-order stabilizations preserving coercivity and principal symbol, (iv) invertible linear fibre reparametrizations preserving the quadratic backbone class.

**Three readings (one  $E$ , three operations).**

- **(R1) Stationary:** impose  $\delta E = 0$  (Euler–Lagrange stationarity/constraints).
- **(R2) Dissipative:** choose an  $L^2$ -type pairing (Hilbert completion) in the chosen direction and define the gradient by Riesz representation; evolve by  $\partial_\tau u = -\nabla E(u)$ .
- **(R3) Conservative:** perform a minimal time-completion *template*: add a positive quadratic time-kinetic term, keep the spatial potential exactly  $-E$  (same fixed density  $e(\cdot)$ ), and introduce no new spatial derivative orders.

**Times.**  $\tau$  denotes dissipative (R2) time;  $t$  denotes conservative (R3) time.

**Metric direction conventions (when used).** In the metric direction we use the standard  $L^2(g)$  pairing on  $\Gamma(\text{Sym}^2 T^*M)$ . Metric evolutions are interpreted modulo diffeomorphisms; DeTurck gauge fixing and normalizations are part of the R2 closure.

**Regime and contract (Parts I–III).** Unless stated otherwise, results are established on an admissible regime  $\mathcal{U}$  where:  $g$  is smooth and nondegenerate;  $D_I^*$  is well-defined; coercivity assumptions hold. Degenerate metrics and non-smooth projections are deferred to Part IV.

### Contents

<b>Series interface clause</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>1 Notation and conventions</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>2 Scope and statement</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>3 Canonical representative and linearization</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>4 Vacuum selection (R2)</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>5 Hessian and Schur complement (R1)</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>6 General closed quadratic forms and multicomponent couplings</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>7 Coercivity and spectral lower bounds</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>8 Projector from the operator instance</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>9 Spectral conventions (gauge and zero-modes)</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>10 Dispersion (R3)</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>11 Higgs instance (factorization)</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>12 Phase structure and invariance</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>13 Mass extraction protocol</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>14 Failure map (diagnostic branching)</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>15 Summary</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>Main statements (one-page box)</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>A Electroweak Higgs instance (compatibility statement)</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>B Worked example: flat torus and longitudinal mass shift</b>	<b>22</b>

## 1 Notation and conventions

We use the PDE sign convention for the Laplacian,  $\Delta = -\nabla^*\nabla$ , so that  $-\Delta \geq 0$  on the declared mean-zero/gauge slice. An “instance” refers to a representation/protocol choice as formalized in Part IV. The closed sector is represented by  $F := D_I I_I$  and treated modulo  $\text{Ker}(D_I)$  (Series interface clause).  $P_{\text{Ran}}$  denotes the  $L^2(g)$ -orthogonal projector onto  $\text{Ran}(D_I)$  (or its canonical formula (5.21) when available). Although  $P_{\text{Ran}}$  is generally nonlocal on the chosen completion (it involves a pseudoinverse), it is an order-0 operator and therefore does not alter the locked Laplace-type principal operator; nonlocality enters only through bounded spectral shifts (mass-shell readouts). The dimensionless coupling

$$\kappa := \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{\alpha\beta}} \tag{5.2}$$

is invariant under the declared backbone equivalences (Part I; series contract). In the KG-normalized dispersion form (Section 10), the Schur coefficient appears as  $\eta^2/(\alpha\beta) = \kappa^2$ . Spectral

data are evaluated on the physical open subspace  $\mathcal{H}_R^{\text{phys}}$  (Definition 5.3). The vacuum mass gap is the bottom of the *positive* spectrum  $\lambda_{\min}^+(q^*)$  and  $\omega_{\min}(q^*) = \sqrt{\lambda_{\min}^+(q^*)}$  (Definition 5.4).

*Remark 5.1* (Why  $\kappa$  is invariant under rescalings). Under a general constant rescaling of the open and closed variables, we may pass to new representatives  $\tilde{I}_R := s I_R$  and  $\tilde{F} := t F$  ( $s, t \neq 0$ ), equivalently  $I_R = \tilde{I}_R/s$  and  $F = \tilde{F}/t$ . Then the canonical representative (5.3) retains its form with transformed coefficients  $\tilde{\beta} = \beta/s^2$ ,  $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha/t^2$ , and  $\tilde{\eta} = \eta/(st)$ . Hence

$$\tilde{\kappa} = \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\beta}}} = \frac{\eta/(st)}{\sqrt{(\alpha/t^2)(\beta/s^2)}} = \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{\alpha\beta}} = \kappa.$$

The open-only rescaling used elsewhere is the special case  $t = 1$ .

## 2 Scope and statement

**Principal operator lock  $\Rightarrow$  order-0.** The open sector has a Laplace-type principal part locked by the series backbone; therefore mass can only enter as an order-0 (i.e. bounded on  $L^2$  under the declared instances) term in the linearized operator at a stationary background.

**Forced Schur term.** We emphasize that the closed sector is treated in the curvature variable  $F := D_I I_I$  (rather than in  $I_I$  itself); accordingly the closed quadratic block in (5.3) is  $(\alpha/2)\|F\|^2$  and the corresponding Hessian block is  $\alpha \text{Id}$  on  $\text{Ran}(D_I)$  on the declared completion. If the density contains a coercive closed quadratic term  $\frac{\alpha}{2}\|F\|^2$  ( $\alpha > 0$ ) and a bilinear interface  $\eta\langle I_R, F \rangle$  ( $\eta \neq 0$ ), then eliminating  $F$  forces the Schur complement  $-(\eta^2/\alpha)P_{\text{Ran}}$  in the open operator. Higgs-language parameters are treated as a representation-level factorization of this order-0 spectral data.

**Contributions (novelty within the series).**

1. **Definition lock:** mass is fixed as order-0 Hessian spectrum at an R2-selected vacuum, and as the same spectrum read by R3 dispersion.
2. **Invariant coefficient:** in KG-normalized form the dimensionless Schur shift is  $\eta^2/(\alpha\beta) = \kappa^2$ , compatible with the Part I series contract (declared equivalences).
3. **Channel selectivity + example:** for the de Rham instance, the Schur shift acts only on exact modes; a flat-torus Fourier computation makes the shifted/unchanged channels explicit (see Section B).
4. **Higgs positioning:** Higgs-sector parameters are treated as a representation-level reparametrization/factorization of the extracted mass matrix (compatibility dictionary only).

## 3 Canonical representative and linearization

### 3.1 Field-sector representative

We restrict to a minimal representative of the canonical first-derivative class:

$$E_{\text{fld}}[g, I_R, I_I] = \int_M \left( \frac{\beta}{2} |\nabla I_R|_g^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} |F|_g^2 + \eta \langle I_R, F \rangle + V(I_R) \right) \text{dvol}_g, \quad F := D_I I_I, \quad (5.3)$$

with  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  and  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Remark 5.2* (Optional EH sector). One may adjoin an EH-leading metric sector as in Part II. Here  $g$  is fixed unless stated otherwise.

### 3.2 Stationary background and perturbations

Fix a stationary background  $(g, I_R^*, I_I^*)$  in the R1 reading (after gauge conventions):

$$\delta E_{\text{fld}}[g, I_R^*, I_I^*] = 0.$$

Write perturbations

$$I_R = I_R^* + u, \quad I_I = I_I^* + w, \quad F = F^* + v, \quad v := D_I w.$$

We treat the closed sector in the gauge-invariant variable  $F$  and remove  $\text{Ker}(D_I)$  modes.

## 4 Vacuum selection (R2)

### 4.1 R2 gradient flow and dissipation

Let  $q$  denote the field configuration (with kernel/zero-mode conventions enforced):  $q := (I_R, I_I)$ , equivalently  $(I_R, F)$  with  $F := D_I I_I$ . Fix the  $L^2$  pairing used in Parts I–II. The R2 reading defines the gradient flow

$$\partial_\tau q(\tau) = -\nabla E_{\text{fld}}(q(\tau)). \quad (5.4)$$

Along smooth solutions,

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} E_{\text{fld}}(q(\tau)) = -\|\nabla E_{\text{fld}}(q(\tau))\|_{L^2}^2 \leq 0. \quad (5.5)$$

Assume  $E_{\text{fld}}$  is bounded below on the admissible class. Then  $E_{\text{fld}}(q(\tau))$  converges as  $\tau \rightarrow \infty$  and

$$\int_0^\infty \|\nabla E_{\text{fld}}(q(\tau))\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau < \infty. \quad (5.6)$$

### 4.2 $\omega$ -limit criticality

**Proposition 5.1** ( $\omega$ -limit points are R1 stationary). *Let  $q(\tau)$  solve (5.4). Suppose there exists a sequence  $\tau_n \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $q(\tau_n) \rightarrow q^*$  in a topology for which  $\nabla E_{\text{fld}}$  is continuous. Then  $\nabla E_{\text{fld}}(q^*) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* By (5.6) there exists  $\tau_n \rightarrow \infty$  with  $\|\nabla E_{\text{fld}}(q(\tau_n))\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0$ . Passing to the limit using continuity yields  $\nabla E_{\text{fld}}(q^*) = 0$ .  $\square$

*Remark 5.3* (Hodge channel split: massless propagation vs mass-generating channel). Under the de Rham operator instance (so that an  $L^2$  Hodge decomposition applies), the open sector admits the canonical splitting

$$I_R = I_R^{\text{ex}} \oplus I_R^{\text{coex}} \oplus I_R^{\text{harm}}.$$

The Schur/projector correction produced by the closed sector elimination is order-0 and acts only on the *active* (exact) channel selected by the declared projector  $\mathcal{P}$ ; this is the mass-generating channel. By contrast, the coexact/harmonic channels may remain purely propagating (massless at the principal operator level) or topological (no dissipative loss under R2), depending on the admissible gates and instance choice.

### 4.3 Convergence to a single limit (Lojasiewicz–Simon regime)

To make vacuum selection reproducible, we adopt the standard analytic-gradient regime.

**Assumption 5.1** (Lojasiewicz–Simon inequality). Near any critical point  $q^*$  of  $E_{\text{fld}}$ , there exist constants  $C > 0$  and  $\theta \in (0, 1/2]$  such that

$$|E_{\text{fld}}(q) - E_{\text{fld}}(q^*)|^{1-\theta} \leq C \|\nabla E_{\text{fld}}(q)\|_{L^2} \quad (5.7)$$

holds for all  $q$  in a neighborhood of  $q^*$ .

**Theorem 5.2** (R2 selects a single stationary limit). *Assume the R2 trajectory  $q(\tau)$  is precompact in the topology of Proposition 5.1 and that Assumption 5.1 holds. If  $q(\tau_n) \rightarrow q^*$  for some  $\tau_n \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $q(\tau) \rightarrow q^*$  as  $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* This is the standard Lojasiewicz–Simon argument for analytic gradient flows. Energy dissipation (5.5) implies  $E_{\text{fld}}(q(\tau))$  converges and  $\nabla E_{\text{fld}}(q(\tau)) \in L^2([0, \infty))$ . Near a limit critical point  $q^*$ , the Lojasiewicz–Simon inequality (5.7) converts small energy gap into control of the gradient, yielding integrability of the speed and hence convergence of  $q(\tau)$  to  $q^*$ . See [4, 5] for details.  $\square$

*Remark 5.4.* Theorem 5.2 is a standard consequence of (5.5) and (5.7); see [4, 5]. In this series it is used as a regime closure for vacuum selection.

*Remark 5.5* (Role of the R2 gate). Assumption 5.1 and precompactness are used only to make the R2 limit unique within a basin, i.e. to select a reproducible vacuum. All R1 statements below (Hessian block form, Schur complement, order-0 mass data) apply to any stationary point satisfying the declared stability/admissibility gate; the R2 reading is a selection protocol, not an additional mass source.

## 4.4 Vacuum definition

**Definition 5.1** (Vacuum (R2-selected stable stationary point)). A *vacuum* is a stationary point  $q^*$  (R1:  $\nabla E_{\text{fld}}(q^*) = 0$ ) such that:

1. (**R2 selection**) there exists a nontrivial basin  $\mathcal{B}(q^*)$  of initial data  $q_0$  for which the R2 flow (5.4) converges to  $q^*$ ,
2. (**Stability**) the Hessian  $H(q^*) = D^2 E_{\text{fld}}(q^*)$  is nonnegative on the declared constraint subspace (after kernel/zero-mode conventions).

*Remark 5.6* (Phase structure). Distinct vacua correspond to distinct basins  $\mathcal{B}(q^*)$  and may yield distinct Hessian spectra. This is not parameter insertion; it is basin-dependent phase structure of one fixed  $E$ .

## 4.5 Convention: all “ $\star$ ” data are evaluated at a vacuum

Henceforth we fix  $q^*$  to be an R2-selected vacuum in the sense of Definition 5.1. All quantities with a “ $\star$ ” subscript in Sections 5–15 are evaluated at  $q^*$ .

# 5 Hessian and Schur complement (R1)

## 5.1 Quadratic model at the background

Let  $V_\star'' := D^2 V(I_R^\star)$ . The quadratic approximation of (5.3) in  $(u, v)$  is

$$Q(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M \left( \beta |\nabla u|_g^2 + \langle u, V_\star'' u \rangle + \alpha |v|_g^2 + 2\eta \langle u, v \rangle \right) \text{dvol}_g, \quad (5.8)$$

**Schur elimination in one line (expository).** Writing the quadratic form (5.8) in block form, in the present setting,  $A$  is the Hessian block on the retained (open) variables,  $C$  is the Hessian block on the eliminated variables, and  $B$  is the mixed block (the bilinear coupling) between them (all taken in the declared  $L^2$  pairing).  $\frac{1}{2}\langle(u, v), \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{pmatrix}(u, v)\rangle$ , the elimination of  $v$  means: *for each  $u$ , choose  $v$  (in the admissible constraint set) that minimizes  $Q(u, v)$ .* Formally, on the unconstrained block this gives  $v_{\text{opt}}(u) = -C^{-1}B^*u$  and the effective open quadratic form  $Q_{\text{eff}}(u) = \frac{1}{2}\langle u, (A - BC^{-1}B^*)u \rangle$  (the Schur complement).

**Interpretation (why  $-BC^{-1}B^*$  is not an added term).**

The Schur correction is the *unique* order-0 response induced by eliminating the complementary block under the declared pairing and admissibility gates. It preserves the Laplace-type principal operator (the  $|\xi|^2$  part) and only shifts the active retained channels by a bounded projector-valued term.

When  $v$  is constrained (here  $v \in \text{Ran}(D_I)$ ), the same formula holds after replacing  $C^{-1}$  by the inverse on the admissible subspace, equivalently inserting the projector onto  $\text{Ran}(D_I)$ ; this is the origin of projector-valued order-0 corrections under the constraint  $v \in \text{Ran}(D_I) \subset \Gamma(E_R)$ .

**Definition 5.2** (Mass operator (R1)). Let  $H$  denote the Hessian operator associated with  $Q$  on a chosen Hilbert completion. The *effective open Hessian* is the Schur complement obtained by eliminating  $v$  over its admissible constraint set. The *mass operator* is the order-0 part of this effective open Hessian (projector-valued in general).

In the Laplace-type class, we call “mass” the bounded/order-0 contribution to the effective operator relative to the fixed principal operator  $-\beta\Delta$ .

## 5.2 General Schur formula (Hilbert-space statement)

Let  $\mathcal{H}_R$  and  $\mathcal{H}_I$  be Hilbert spaces for the open and closed variations, and let

$$Q(u, v) = \frac{1}{2}\langle u, Au \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_R} + \langle u, Bv \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_R} + \frac{1}{2}\langle v, Cv \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_I},$$

where  $A$  is self-adjoint on  $\mathcal{H}_R$ ,  $C$  is self-adjoint and strictly positive on the admissible subspace of  $\mathcal{H}_I$ , and  $B$  is a bounded operator.

**Theorem 5.3** (Schur complement as elimination). *Assume  $C \geq cI$  on the admissible closed subspace for some  $c > 0$ . Then for each  $u$ , the minimizer of  $v \mapsto Q(u, v)$  is  $v_{\min}(u) = -C^{-1}B^*u$ , and the reduced quadratic form is*

$$Q_{\text{eff}}(u) = \frac{1}{2}\langle u, (A - BC^{-1}B^*)u \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_R}.$$

Consequently, the effective open Hessian is

$$H_{\text{eff}} = A - BC^{-1}B^*. \tag{5.9}$$

*Proof.* Fix  $u$  and view  $Q(u, \cdot)$  as a strictly convex quadratic functional in  $v$  on the admissible closed subspace. Setting its first variation to zero gives  $B^*u + Cv = 0$ , hence  $v_{\min}(u) = -C^{-1}B^*u$ . Substituting this into  $Q$  and using self-adjointness of  $C$  yields  $Q_{\text{eff}}(u) = \frac{1}{2}\langle u, (A - BC^{-1}B^*)u \rangle$ , i.e. (5.9).  $\square$

*Remark 5.7* (Why this forces mass). The series lock fixes the principal part of  $A$  (Laplace type). Therefore  $BC^{-1}B^*$  can only modify lower order. If  $B \neq 0$ , then  $BC^{-1}B^* \neq 0$  and an order-0 spectral shift is unavoidable on the active channel.

### 5.3 Dual Schur complement and critical equivalence (preview of Part VI)

The reduction in Theorem 5.3 eliminates the closed variable  $v$  and produces an effective *open* Hessian  $H_{\text{eff}} = A - BC^{-1}B^*$ . In the complementary admissible regime where the open block is invertible (so  $A^{-1}$  exists as a bounded operator), one may also eliminate the open variable and obtain the *dual* (closed) Schur complement

$$H_{\text{cl,eff}} := C - B^*A^{-1}B. \quad (5.10)$$

This dual reduction is the starting point of Part VI (dual-Schur closed-curvature structural paper) and is responsible for the forced  $k^{-2}$ -type scale-response shape discussed there.

**Theorem 5.4** (Critical equivalence of Schur and dual-Schur reductions). *Let  $\mathcal{H}_R, \mathcal{H}_I$  be Hilbert spaces and consider the block Hessian*

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{pmatrix}$$

associated with  $Q$ . Assume  $C \geq cI$  on the admissible closed subspace for some  $c > 0$  and  $A \geq aI$  on the admissible open subspace for some  $a > 0$ , so that both  $C^{-1}$  and  $A^{-1}$  exist as bounded operators on the declared slices. Define the Schur complements

$$H_{\text{eff}} := A - BC^{-1}B^*, \quad H_{\text{cl,eff}} := C - B^*A^{-1}B.$$

Then the following are equivalent:

1.  $H$  has a nontrivial null vector on the admissible product subspace (loss of coercivity of the full quadratic form);
2.  $H_{\text{eff}}$  has a nontrivial kernel (open gap closing / onset of instability in the R3 reading);
3.  $H_{\text{cl,eff}}$  has a nontrivial kernel (closed criticality / dual-Schur threshold).

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} u \in \text{Ker}(H_{\text{eff}}) &\iff (u, -C^{-1}B^*u) \in \text{Ker}(H), \\ v \in \text{Ker}(H_{\text{cl,eff}}) &\iff (-A^{-1}Bv, v) \in \text{Ker}(H). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* If  $C$  is invertible on the admissible closed slice, then the Schur identity gives

$$H \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = 0 \iff \begin{cases} Au + Bv = 0, \\ B^*u + Cv = 0, \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} (A - BC^{-1}B^*)u = 0, \\ v = -C^{-1}B^*u, \end{cases}$$

which yields the equivalence between (1) and (2) and the first kernel correspondence. Similarly, if  $A$  is invertible on the admissible open slice, then

$$H \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = 0 \iff \begin{cases} u = -A^{-1}Bv, \\ (C - B^*A^{-1}B)v = 0, \end{cases}$$

giving the equivalence between (1) and (3) and the second correspondence.  $\square$

*Remark 5.8* (Canonical specialization and the open–closed critical threshold). In the canonical representative  $C = \alpha I$  on  $\text{Ran}(D_I)$  and  $B = \eta P_{\text{Ran}}$ , so

$$H_{\text{cl,eff}} = \alpha I - \eta^2 P_{\text{Ran}} A^{-1} P_{\text{Ran}}.$$

Part VI analyzes the induced scale-response and records the closed critical threshold  $\eta^2 \lambda_{\max}(P_{\text{Ran}} A^{-1} P_{\text{Ran}}) = \alpha$ . By Theorem 5.4, this is *equivalent* (on the same declared instance and vacuum) to open gap closing for  $H_{\text{eff}} = A - (\eta^2/\alpha)P_{\text{Ran}}$ , i.e. to  $\omega_{\min} \rightarrow 0$  in Section 12.4.

## 5.4 Specialization to the canonical backbone

Let  $P_{\text{Ran}}$  be the  $L^2(g)$ -orthogonal projector  $\Gamma(E_R) \rightarrow \text{Ran}(D_I)$ . Then (5.8) is of the form in Theorem 5.3 with

$$A = -\beta\Delta + V_\star'', \quad C = \alpha I \text{ on } \text{Ran}(D_I), \quad B = \eta P_{\text{Ran}}.$$

**Lemma 5.5** (Closed minimizer and reduced form). *For fixed  $u$ , the minimizer over  $v \in \text{Ran}(D_I)$  is*

$$v_{\min}(u) = -\frac{\eta}{\alpha} P_{\text{Ran}} u,$$

and the reduced quadratic form is

$$Q_{\text{eff}}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M \left( \beta |\nabla u|_g^2 + \langle u, V_\star'' u \rangle - \frac{\eta^2}{\alpha} |P_{\text{Ran}} u|_g^2 \right) \text{dvol}_g. \quad (5.11)$$

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 5.3 with  $C = \alpha I$  on  $\text{Ran}(D_I)$  and  $B = \eta P_{\text{Ran}} = B^*$ . Then  $v_{\min}(u) = -C^{-1} B^* u = -(\eta/\alpha) P_{\text{Ran}} u$ . Substituting into  $Q$  and using  $P_{\text{Ran}}^2 = P_{\text{Ran}}$  gives (5.11).  $\square$

**Proposition 5.6** (Effective open operator). *The effective open Hessian is the operator*

$$L_{\text{eff}} = -\beta\Delta + V_\star'' - \frac{\eta^2}{\alpha} P_{\text{Ran}}. \quad (5.12)$$

*In particular, the Schur term is order-0 (projector-valued, possibly nonlocal) and preserves the Laplace principal operator.*

*Proof.* Differentiate the reduced quadratic form (5.11) with respect to  $u$ . The  $\beta |\nabla u|^2$  term yields  $-\beta\Delta u$  after integration by parts,  $\langle u, V_\star'' u \rangle$  yields  $V_\star'' u$ , and the projector term yields  $-(\eta^2/\alpha) P_{\text{Ran}} u$ . Thus the associated self-adjoint operator is (5.12).  $\square$

**Corollary 5.7** (Inevitability on active channels). *If  $\eta \neq 0$  and  $\text{Ran}(D_I) \neq \{0\}$ , then the Schur term in (5.12) is nonzero. Moreover, on any mode  $u$  with  $P_{\text{Ran}} u \neq 0$ , the order-0 spectral data of  $L_{\text{eff}}$  differ from those of  $-\beta\Delta + V_\star''$ . Hence a mass shift is forced on the active channel unless the coupling is turned off ( $\eta = 0$ ) or the mode lies in  $\text{Ker}(P_{\text{Ran}})$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\text{Ran}(D_I) \neq \{0\}$  then the orthogonal projector  $P_{\text{Ran}} \neq 0$ . If additionally  $\eta \neq 0$ , then  $(\eta^2/\alpha) P_{\text{Ran}} \neq 0$ , so the Schur term is nontrivial. For any  $u$  with  $P_{\text{Ran}} u \neq 0$ , the quadratic form (or Rayleigh quotient) of  $L_{\text{eff}}$  differs from that of the bare operator  $-\beta\Delta + V_\star''$ , hence the order-0 spectral data on that active channel are shifted.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.8** (T3: Closed-sector elimination forces an order-0 mass term). *Assume the canonical first-derivative backbone (Part I,  $A_4/A_4'$ ) and let  $q^*$  be an R2-selected vacuum. Then eliminating the closed sector variable  $v \in \text{Ran}(D_I)$  in the quadratic model (5.8) forces a projector-valued order-0 correction on the open sector:*

$$L_{\text{eff}} = -\beta\Delta + V_\star'' - \frac{\eta^2}{\alpha} P_{\text{Ran}}, \quad (5.13)$$

*as in Proposition 5.6. In particular, if  $\eta \neq 0$  and  $\text{Ran}(D_I) \neq \{0\}$ , the effective operator differs from the bare Laplace-type principal operator on the active channel and therefore carries nontrivial spectral data at order 0 (“variational mass”).*

*Proof.* The Schur complement computation gives (5.13) (Proposition 5.6), while Corollary 5.7 yields nontriviality on active modes.  $\square$

*Remark 5.9* (KG normalization and the dimensionless correction). The invariant (5.2) is the KG-normalized dimensionless strength of the order-0 correction. Equivalently,

$$\kappa^2 = \frac{\eta^2}{\alpha\beta}. \quad (5.14)$$

## 6 General closed quadratic forms and multicomponent couplings

This section records a minimal extension in which the closed quadratic term is anisotropic (or multicomponent) and the open–closed coupling is an arbitrary order-0 bundle map. The point is structural: *as long as the closed block is coercive and the coupling is nonzero, the Schur complement produces an order-0 correction on the open operator*, hence a forced mass shift on active channels.

### 6.1 Anisotropic closed metric (bundle endomorphism)

Consider a quadratic representative at a stationary background of the form

$$Q(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M \left( \beta |\nabla u|_g^2 + \langle u, V_\star'' u \rangle + \langle v, Av \rangle + 2 \langle Ku, v \rangle \right) d\text{vol}_g, \quad v \in \text{Ran}(D_I), \quad (5.15)$$

where  $A$  is a pointwise self-adjoint positive-definite bundle endomorphism on  $E_R$  (restricted to the admissible closed subspace) and  $K$  is an order-0 bundle map on the open variable. Let  $P_{\text{Ran}}$  be the  $L^2(g)$ -orthogonal projector onto  $\text{Ran}(D_I)$ .

**Proposition 5.9** (Anisotropic Schur mass operator). *Assume  $A \geq a_0 I$  pointwise for some  $a_0 > 0$ . Then the minimizer of  $v \mapsto Q(u, v)$  over  $v \in \text{Ran}(D_I)$  is*

$$v_{\min}(u) = -A^{-1} P_{\text{Ran}} K u,$$

and the reduced quadratic form is

$$Q_{\text{eff}}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M \left( \beta |\nabla u|_g^2 + \langle u, V_\star'' u \rangle - \langle P_{\text{Ran}} K u, A^{-1} P_{\text{Ran}} K u \rangle \right) d\text{vol}_g.$$

Equivalently, the effective open operator is

$$L_{\text{eff}} = -\beta \Delta + V_\star'' - K^* P_{\text{Ran}} A^{-1} P_{\text{Ran}} K. \quad (5.16)$$

In particular, the Schur term is order-0 and preserves the Laplace principal operator.

*Proof.* For fixed  $u$ ,  $Q(u, \cdot)$  is strictly convex in  $v$  on  $\text{Ran}(D_I)$  because  $A \geq a_0 I$ . Taking the first variation in  $v$  gives  $Av + P_{\text{Ran}} K u = 0$ , hence  $v_{\min}(u) = -A^{-1} P_{\text{Ran}} K u$ . Substituting into (5.15) yields the reduced form and identifies the Schur term as  $K^* P_{\text{Ran}} A^{-1} P_{\text{Ran}} K$ , which is order-0.  $\square$

*Remark 5.10* (Forced shift on active channels). If  $P_{\text{Ran}} K u \neq 0$  for some admissible  $u$ , then the Schur term in (5.16) is nonzero on that channel. Thus, unless the coupling is turned off (effective  $K = 0$  on  $\text{Ran}(D_I)$ ), an order-0 spectral shift—hence a mass shift in the R3 dispersion reading—is forced.

## 7 Coercivity and spectral lower bounds

The previous section identifies the effective open operator  $L_{\text{eff}} = -\beta \Delta + V_\star'' - (\eta^2/\alpha) P_{\text{Ran}}$ . Here we record a minimal coercivity statement ensuring that  $L_{\text{eff}}$  is bounded below on the declared open subspace.

**Assumption 5.2** (Poincaré control on the open subspace). On the declared open subspace (mean-zero / gauge-fixed), there exists  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(g) > 0$  such that

$$\|u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \quad \text{for all admissible } u. \quad (5.17)$$

**Assumption 5.3** (Lower bound for the potential curvature). There exists  $\mu_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\langle u, V_\star'' u \rangle_{L^2} \geq \mu_0 \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \quad \text{for all admissible } u. \quad (5.18)$$

**Lemma 5.10** (Energy identity). *For any admissible  $u$ ,*

$$\langle u, L_{\text{eff}} u \rangle_{L^2} = \beta \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \langle u, V_\star'' u \rangle_{L^2} - \frac{\eta^2}{\alpha} \|P_{\text{Ran}} u\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (5.19)$$

Moreover, since  $P_{\text{Ran}}$  is an  $L^2$ -orthogonal projection,  $\|P_{\text{Ran}} u\|_{L^2} \leq \|u\|_{L^2}$ .

*Proof.* Using self-adjointness and integration by parts,  $\langle u, -\beta \Delta u \rangle_{L^2} = \beta \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2$ . The remaining terms are algebraic:  $\langle u, V_\star'' u \rangle_{L^2}$  and  $-(\eta^2/\alpha) \langle u, P_{\text{Ran}} u \rangle_{L^2} = -(\eta^2/\alpha) \|P_{\text{Ran}} u\|_{L^2}^2$  because  $P_{\text{Ran}}$  is an orthogonal projector.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.11** (A sufficient coercivity criterion). *Assume 5.2 and 5.3. Then*

$$\langle u, L_{\text{eff}} u \rangle_{L^2} \geq (\beta \lambda_1 + \mu_0 - \eta^2/\alpha) \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \quad \text{for all admissible } u. \quad (5.20)$$

In particular, if  $\beta \lambda_1 + \mu_0 > \eta^2/\alpha$ , then  $L_{\text{eff}}$  is bounded below by a positive constant on the declared open subspace.

*Proof.* Combine (5.19), the contraction  $\|P_{\text{Ran}} u\| \leq \|u\|$ , and (5.18) to obtain

$$\langle u, L_{\text{eff}} u \rangle \geq \beta \|\nabla u\|^2 + (\mu_0 - \eta^2/\alpha) \|u\|^2.$$

Apply (5.17), equivalently  $\|\nabla u\|^2 \geq \lambda_1 \|u\|^2$ .  $\square$

**Stability gate  $G_{\text{vac}}$  (explicit).** The Schur shift  $-(\eta^2/\alpha)P_{\text{Ran}}$  is a spectral lowering on active channels and can destabilize a stationary point unless the Laplace backbone and/or  $V_\star''$  supplies sufficient rigidity. A sufficient regime-level gate is

$$\beta \lambda_1 + \mu_0 > \eta^2/\alpha,$$

which ensures coercivity of  $L_{\text{eff}}$  on the declared open subspace.

*Remark 5.11* (Interpretation). The criterion (5.20) is only sufficient. On modes in  $\text{Ker}(P_{\text{Ran}})$  the Schur term vanishes and coercivity can hold under weaker conditions. The point of (5.20) is to provide a regime-level inequality that closes well-posedness for the R3 dispersion and the R2 gradient reading without changing the locked principal symbol.

## 8 Projector from the operator instance

### 8.1 Canonical projector formula

On a Hilbert completion where  $D_I$  is closed and admits a formal adjoint, a canonical expression is

$$P_{\text{Ran}} = D_I (D_I^* D_I)^+ D_I^*, \quad (5.21)$$

where  $(\cdot)^+$  denotes the Moore–Penrose pseudoinverse (inverse on  $\text{Ker}(D_I)^\perp$ ).

*Remark 5.12* (Order and nonlocality). The inverse  $(D_I^* D_I)^+$  typically yields nonlocality. This does not violate the series lock: it does not change the principal symbol class of the open Laplace principal operator.

## 8.2 de Rham instance (exact channel)

**Instance 5.1** (de Rham instance). Take  $E_I = \Lambda^k T^* M$ ,  $E_R = \Lambda^{k+1} T^* M$ ,  $D_I = d$ ,  $D_I^* = d^*$ , so  $F = dI_I$  is exact.

Then  $P_{\text{Ran}}$  is the exact Hodge projector

$$P_{\text{Ran}} = P_{\text{ex}} := d \Delta_0^{-1} d^*, \quad (5.22)$$

with  $\Delta_0^{-1}$  defined on an admissible slice (kernel/mean-zero convention).

**Theorem 5.12** (Exact-channel mass theorem (de Rham instance)). *Under Instance 5.1, let  $u = u_{\text{ex}} + u_{\text{coex}} + u_{\text{harm}}$  be the Hodge decomposition (orthogonal in  $L^2(g)$ ). Then  $P_{\text{ex}} u = u_{\text{ex}}$  and the effective operator splits as*

$$L_{\text{eff}} u = (-\beta \Delta + V_\star'') (u_{\text{coex}} + u_{\text{harm}}) + (-\beta \Delta + V_\star'' - \eta^2/\alpha) u_{\text{ex}}. \quad (5.23)$$

Equivalently,

$$L_{\text{eff}} \cong (-\beta \Delta + V_\star'' - \eta^2/\alpha)|_{\text{ex}} \oplus (-\beta \Delta + V_\star'')|_{\text{coex} \oplus \text{harm}}.$$

*Proof.* By Hodge theory on a closed manifold,  $\Gamma(\Lambda^{k+1} T^* M) = \text{Ran}(d) \oplus \text{Ran}(d^*) \oplus \mathcal{H}^{k+1}$  orthogonally. Since  $P_{\text{ex}} = d \Delta_0^{-1} d^*$  is the  $L^2(g)$ -orthogonal projector onto  $\text{Ran}(d)$ , it acts as the identity on exact forms and vanishes on coexact and harmonic forms. Substitute this into  $L_{\text{eff}} = -\beta \Delta + V_\star'' - (\eta^2/\alpha) P_{\text{ex}}$  to obtain (5.23).  $\square$

*Remark 5.13* (Topological zero modes). Harmonic modes are controlled by topology:  $\dim \mathcal{H}^{k+1} = b_{k+1}(M)$ . They are unaffected by the Schur-induced order-0 shift in the de Rham instance.

## 9 Spectral conventions (gauge and zero-modes)

### 9.1 Gate: order-0 terms and self-adjointness

In this series, “order-0” means: an operator that is bounded on  $L^2$  (equivalently, a pseudodifferential operator of order 0 on a smooth compact manifold). Orthogonal projectors and zeroth-order bundle endomorphisms fall into this class.

**Assumption 5.4** (Functional-analytic gate). Work on the physical subspace  $\mathcal{H}_R^{\text{phys}}$  (Definition 5.3) where: (i)  $-\Delta$  is self-adjoint with domain  $\mathcal{D}(-\Delta)$ , (ii)  $\text{Ran}(D_I) \subset L^2$  is closed (e.g. for elliptic/Fredholm operator instances on compact  $M$ ), and  $P_{\text{Ran}}$  is realized as the  $L^2$ -orthogonal projector onto  $\text{Ran}(D_I)$  (hence bounded and self-adjoint; order-0 on the declared instances), and (iii)  $V_{q^\star}''$  is self-adjoint and bounded on  $L^2$  (or relatively bounded with respect to  $-\Delta$  with Kato bound  $< 1$ ).

**Proposition 5.13** (Self-adjointness of  $L_{\text{eff}}$ ). *Under Assumption 5.4, the effective operator*

$$L_{\text{eff}}(q^\star) = -\beta \Delta + V_{q^\star}'' - \frac{\eta^2}{\alpha} P_{\text{Ran}}$$

*is self-adjoint on  $\mathcal{D}(-\Delta)$  and bounded below.*

*Proof.*  $-\beta \Delta$  is self-adjoint on  $\mathcal{D}(-\Delta)$ , while  $V_{q^\star}'' - (\eta^2/\alpha) P_{\text{Ran}}$  is self-adjoint and either bounded on  $L^2$  or relatively bounded with respect to  $-\Delta$  with Kato bound  $< 1$ . Hence  $L_{\text{eff}}$  is self-adjoint on  $\mathcal{D}(-\Delta)$  by the Kato–Rellich theorem [2].  $\square$

**Assumption 5.5** (Stable vacuum gate (no tachyonic directions)). We interpret  $q^*$  as a *stable vacuum* only in regimes where the effective open operator  $L_{\text{eff}}(q^*)$  is bounded below on the declared physical open subspace and has no negative-frequency directions, i.e.  $\lambda_{\min}^+(L_{\text{eff}}(q^*)) \geq 0$  (and in the massive channel  $\lambda_{\min}^+ > 0$ ). A sufficient criterion is the coercivity bound in Proposition 5.11 (e.g.  $\beta\lambda_1 + \mu_0 > \eta^2/\alpha$  under Assumptions 5.2,5.3). When this gate fails, the stationary point is not treated as a vacuum but as an unstable/critical configuration.

*Remark 5.14* (Negative spectrum and “tachyonic” language). If  $\inf \text{spec}(L_{\text{eff}}(q^*)|_{\mathcal{H}_R^{\text{phys}}}) < 0$ , then the R3 linearization admits exponentially growing modes ( $\omega^2 < 0$ ), which in QFT grammar may be described as “tachyonic”. In this series this is interpreted as *instability of the stationary point*: such a  $q^*$  is not an R2-selected stable vacuum (Definition 5.1) and typically indicates proximity to a basin boundary/phase transition (Section 12.4).

## 9.2 Physical Hilbert subspace (constraint reduction)

Mass data are defined from  $L_{\text{eff}}(q^*)$  *after* applying the series-wide kernel/zero-mode conventions. We record them here as a single explicit definition, so that later references to “the mass spectrum” do not depend on prose.

**Definition 5.3** (Physical subspace for spectral data). Fix an operator instance  $(E_I, E_R, D_I)$  together with a declared convention for  $\text{Ker}(D_I)$  (series interface), and a background metric  $g$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}_R := L^2(M; E_R)$  denote the open Hilbert space. Define the closed gauge reduction using  $F := D_I I_I$  and working modulo  $\text{Ker}(D_I)$ .

For the open sector, fix one of the following (series lock):

1. (**Mean-zero / gauge slice**) choose a closed subspace  $\mathcal{H}_R^0 \subset \mathcal{H}_R$  such that a Poincaré-type inequality holds on  $\mathcal{H}_R^0$  (e.g. mean-zero scalar slice, Coulomb gauge slice),
2. (**Mass-stabilized**) keep the full  $\mathcal{H}_R$  but add a lower-order stabilization consistent with the contract.

By design, the choice of  $\mathcal{H}_R^{\text{phys}}$  is a *declared slice/zero-mode convention* (a representation protocol datum), not an arbitrary modification of the primitive.

In either case, define the *physical open subspace*  $\mathcal{H}_R^{\text{phys}}$  as the declared constraint subspace on which  $L_{\text{eff}}(q^*)$  is evaluated.

## 9.3 Spectral invariants: spectrum vs. gap

**Definition 5.4** (Vacuum spectrum and mass gap). Let  $q^*$  be an R2-selected vacuum (Definition 5.1). Consider  $L_{\text{eff}}(q^*)$  as a self-adjoint operator on  $\mathcal{H}_R^{\text{phys}}$ .

1. The *vacuum spectrum* is  $\text{spec}(L_{\text{eff}}(q^*)|_{\mathcal{H}_R^{\text{phys}}})$ .
2. The *vacuum mass gap* is the bottom of the *positive* spectrum:

$$\lambda_{\min}^+(q^*) := \inf (\text{spec}(L_{\text{eff}}(q^*)|_{\mathcal{H}_R^{\text{phys}}}) \cap (0, \infty)), \quad \omega_{\min}(q^*) := \sqrt{\lambda_{\min}^+(q^*)}. \quad (5.24)$$

*Remark 5.15.* The distinction between  $\lambda_{\min}$  and  $\lambda_{\min}^+$  matters when topological or gauge zero-modes are present. By definition, the mass gap is extracted after excluding forced zero eigenvalues.

## 9.4 de Rham instance: harmonic modes vs. massive channels

Under the de Rham instance (Instance 5.1), Hodge decomposition separates the open sector into exact/coexact/harmonic channels.

**Corollary 5.14** (Harmonic zero-modes coexist with a positive mass gap). *Assume Instance 5.1 and let*

$$u = u_{\text{ex}} + u_{\text{coex}} + u_{\text{harm}}$$

be the Hodge decomposition on  $\mathcal{H}_R^{\text{phys}}$ . Then  $L_{\text{eff}}(q^*)$  decomposes as a block operator

$$L_{\text{eff}}(q^*) = (-\beta\Delta + V_{q^*}'' \text{ on } (\text{coex} \oplus \text{harm}) \oplus (-\beta\Delta + V_{q^*}'' - \eta^2/\alpha) \text{ on } (\text{ex}),$$

and in particular the Schur-induced order-0 term does not act on harm.

If  $\text{harm} \neq \{0\}$ , then 0 may belong to the spectrum due to topological modes. Nevertheless the mass gap is given by (5.24) and is controlled by the bottom of the positive spectrum on  $(\text{ex} \oplus \text{coex})$  (with the declared slice conventions).

*Proof.* Under the de Rham instance,  $\text{Ran}(D_I)$  coincides with the exact channel and the  $L^2$  orthogonal decomposition is  $\mathcal{H}_R^{\text{phys}} = \text{ex} \oplus \text{coex} \oplus \text{harm}$ . Thus  $P_{\text{Ran}}$  acts as the projector onto ex and vanishes on  $\text{coex} \oplus \text{harm}$ . Substituting  $P_{\text{Ran}} = P_{\text{ex}}$  into (5.12) yields the stated block form. Possible harmonic zero-modes affect  $0 \in \text{spec}$ , but the mass gap is defined as the bottom of the *positive* spectrum (5.24).  $\square$

## 10 Dispersion (R3)

Part III fixes the conservative reading (R3) by minimal time completion. Linearizing the time-completed equation at the stationary background yields

$$\partial_t^2 u + L_{\text{eff}} u = 0, \tag{5.25}$$

where  $L_{\text{eff}}$  is (5.12).

**Definition 5.5** (Variational mass spectrum). Assume  $L_{\text{eff}}$  is self-adjoint and nonnegative on the declared subspace. Let  $\lambda_j \in \text{spec}(L_{\text{eff}})$ . Define  $\omega_j := \sqrt{\lambda_j}$ . A KG normalization instance converts  $\omega_j$  to a mass parameter by a fixed convention (involving  $c, \hbar$  in that instance).

*Remark 5.16* (Compact vs. noncompact spectra). The discrete eigenvalue notation is a convenience (e.g. compact  $M$ ). On noncompact  $M$ , one reads the spectral measure/continuous spectrum of  $L_{\text{eff}}(q^*)$  on  $\mathcal{H}_R^{\text{phys}}$ , or uses a finite-mode/box-normalized instance (Section 12.3).

*Remark 5.17* (Invariant vs. instance-dependent). Invariant (within a fixed background and operator instance): the spectrum of  $L_{\text{eff}}$ . Instance-dependent: the numerical identification of  $\lambda$  with  $m^2$  in standard units.

### 10.1 KG normalization instance (explicit conversion)

Write the effective operator as

$$L_{\text{eff}} = -\beta\Delta + M_{\text{eff}}^{(0)}, \quad M_{\text{eff}}^{(0)} := V_{\star}'' - \frac{\eta^2}{\alpha} P_{\text{Ran}}, \tag{5.26}$$

where  $M_{\text{eff}}^{(0)}$  is order-0 (projector-valued in general). Then (5.25) is equivalently

$$\partial_t^2 u - \beta\Delta u + M_{\text{eff}}^{(0)} u = 0. \tag{5.27}$$

A convenient normalization is obtained by the time rescaling  $\tilde{t} := \sqrt{\beta} t$  (a representation-level choice), yielding

$$\partial_{\tilde{t}}^2 u - \Delta u + \beta^{-1} M_{\text{eff}}^{(0)} u = 0. \tag{5.28}$$

In particular,

$$\beta^{-1} M_{\text{eff}}^{(0)} = \beta^{-1} V_{\star}'' - \frac{\eta^2}{\alpha\beta} P_{\text{Ran}} = \beta^{-1} V_{\star}'' - \kappa^2 P_{\text{Ran}}, \tag{5.29}$$

so the dimensionless spectral shift in the KG-normalized form is controlled by the invariant  $\kappa$ .

*Remark 5.18* (Observation time vs. R3 time). The frequencies  $\omega$  in (5.25)–(5.28) are defined with respect to the chosen R3 time parameter (and its normalization, e.g.  $\tilde{t} = \sqrt{\beta}t$ ). If an readout instance uses a reparametrized clock  $t_{\text{obs}} = f(\tilde{t})$  with  $f' > 0$ , then locally  $\omega_{\text{obs}} = \omega/f'$ , while the operator eigenvalues  $\lambda = \omega^2 \in \text{spec}(L_{\text{eff}})$  are unchanged. Thus the spectrum is the series-level invariant; the conversion to observed units is representation-level.

On any finite-mode truncation/QFT instance where  $\beta^{-1}M_{\text{eff}}^{(0)}$  is represented by a matrix  $\mathbf{M}_{\mu}^2$ , one may identify (in the textbook Klein–Gordon normalization)

$$\left(\frac{mc}{\hbar}\right)^2 = \mathbf{M}_{\mu}^2, \quad (5.30)$$

with the understanding that the conversion constants  $(c, \hbar)$  belong to that instance/normalization rather than to the series-level invariant. Experimental matching to reported particle masses requires fixing the observation/QFT instance and the validation rail; it is outside the scope of this structural paper.

In particular, in a local flat instance (plane-wave modes), (5.27) gives the dispersion relation

$$\omega^2 = \beta|k|^2 + \lambda^{(0)}, \quad (5.31)$$

where  $\lambda^{(0)}$  is an eigenvalue of  $M_{\text{eff}}^{(0)}$  on the chosen channel. Thus the *gap* at  $k = 0$  is  $\omega_0^2 = \lambda^{(0)}$ , i.e. the order-0 Hessian data.

## 11 Higgs instance (factorization)

### Scope warning (Higgs positioning).

No new structural claim is made here about electroweak symmetry breaking. Any “Higgs factorization” below is a *non-unique* representation-level parameterization of an already extracted mass matrix, used only as a comparison interface with standard QFT language.

This section makes explicit the separation: (i) the *mass operator data* are fixed by the backbone via the Hessian/Schur mechanism (Sections 5–10), whereas (ii) Higgs-language parameters are an *effective instance* used to re-express those data in a standard local QFT grammar.

### 11.1 Finite-mode instances: extracting mass matrices from operator data

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  denote the declared open Hilbert space (after the series zero-mode convention), and let  $V_N \subset \mathcal{H}$  be a finite-dimensional subspace (a “finite-mode instance”) with basis  $(\varphi_a)_{a=1}^N$ . Define the Gram matrix

$$G_{ab} := \int_M \langle \varphi_a, \varphi_b \rangle \text{dvol}_g, \quad (5.32)$$

the Laplace-principal operator (stiffness) matrix

$$K_{ab} := \beta \int_M \langle \nabla \varphi_a, \nabla \varphi_b \rangle \text{dvol}_g, \quad (5.33)$$

and the extracted order-0 mass-squared matrix from the backbone data

$$(\mathbf{M}^2)_{ab} := \int_M \langle \varphi_a, (M_{\text{eff}}^{(0)} \varphi_b) \rangle \text{dvol}_g, \quad M_{\text{eff}}^{(0)} := V_{\star}'' - \frac{\eta^2}{\alpha} P_{\text{Ran}}. \quad (5.34)$$

Projecting the R3 linearized equation (5.27) to  $V_N$  yields the finite ODE system

$$G \ddot{q} + (K + \mathbf{M}^2) q = 0, \quad (5.35)$$

where  $q(t) \in \mathbb{R}^N$  are the mode coefficients.

**Proposition 5.15** (Extracted spectrum is basis-invariant). *If  $T \in GL(N)$  is a basis change  $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi T$ , then  $\tilde{G} = T^\top G T$ ,  $\tilde{K} = T^\top K T$ , and  $\tilde{M}^2 = T^\top M^2 T$ . Hence the generalized eigenvalues of  $(K + M^2, G)$ , i.e.*

$$(K + M^2)a = \omega^2 G a, \quad (5.36)$$

are invariant under basis changes. These  $\omega^2$  approximate  $\text{spec}(L_{\text{eff}})$  as  $V_N$  increases.

*Proof.* Under a basis change  $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi T$ , the coordinate vector transforms by  $a = T^{-1}\tilde{a}$ . The projected equation  $G\ddot{q} + (K + M^2)q = 0$  becomes  $T^\top G T \ddot{\tilde{q}} + T^\top (K + M^2) T \tilde{q} = 0$ , giving the stated congruence transforms. The generalized eigenvalue equation (5.36) is therefore equivalent in the two bases, so the eigenvalues  $\omega^2$  are invariant.  $\square$

*Remark 5.19* (What is fixed vs. chosen). The operator data  $M_{\text{eff}}^{(0)}$  are fixed by the backbone at the chosen stationary background. The finite-mode instance is a choice of representation (truncation/basis) of those fixed data.

## 11.2 Higgs parameterization as factorization

Once a local QFT instance is chosen, the order-0 data are represented by matrices (e.g.  $M^2$  above). Higgs-language parameters can then be introduced as a factorization of the same matrix data.

**Lemma 5.16** (Elementary factorization). *Let  $M^2$  be a Hermitian positive semidefinite matrix. For any  $v > 0$ , there exists a matrix  $Y$  such that*

$$M^2 = \frac{v^2}{2} Y^\dagger Y. \quad (5.37)$$

*In particular, for diagonal  $M = \text{diag}(m_1, \dots, m_N)$  one may set  $y_i = \sqrt{2} m_i / v$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $M^2 \succeq 0$  is Hermitian, it has a unique positive semidefinite square root  $(M^2)^{1/2}$ . Set  $Y := \sqrt{2} v^{-1} (M^2)^{1/2}$ . Then  $\frac{v^2}{2} Y^\dagger Y = (M^2)^{1/2} (M^2)^{1/2} = M^2$ .  $\square$

*Remark 5.20* (Positioning statement). Lemma 5.16 is linear algebra. Its role here is solely organizational: Higgs-language parameters  $(v, Y)$  are a representation-level factorization of already-derived mass data. They do not constitute a new primitive mass source at the fixed-density backbone level.

## 12 Phase structure and invariance

### 12.1 Mass as a map on vacua

Fix an R2-selected vacuum  $q^*$  (Definition 5.1). The effective open operator is evaluated at  $q^*$ ,

$$L_{\text{eff}}(q^*) = -\beta\Delta + V_{q^*}'' - \frac{\eta^2}{\alpha} P_{\text{Ran}}, \quad (5.38)$$

and its order-0 sector

$$M_{\text{eff}}^{(0)}(q^*) := V_{q^*}'' - \frac{\eta^2}{\alpha} P_{\text{Ran}} \quad (5.39)$$

is the *mass data* in the series sense.

**Definition 5.6** (Mass spectrum attached to a vacuum). Fix a vacuum  $q^*$  (Definition 5.1) and the physical open subspace  $\mathcal{H}_R^{\text{phys}}$  (Definition 5.3). The *vacuum mass spectrum* is the spectrum

$$\text{spec}(L_{\text{eff}}(q^*)|_{\mathcal{H}_R^{\text{phys}}}).$$

Equivalently, in the R3 reading it is the dispersion spectrum  $\omega^2 \in \text{spec}(L_{\text{eff}}(q^*)|_{\mathcal{H}_R^{\text{phys}}})$  of (5.25).

## 12.2 Basin-constancy (phase rigidity inside a vacuum)

Let  $\mathcal{B}(q^*)$  denote the basin of attraction of  $q^*$  under the R2 flow (5.4). Define the selection map (when it exists) by  $\mathcal{V}(q_0) := \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} q(\tau; q_0)$ .

**Proposition 5.17** (Mass data are constant on a basin). *Assume that for all  $q_0 \in \mathcal{B}(q^*)$  the R2 flow converges to the same limit  $q^*$ . Then for any  $q_0, q'_0 \in \mathcal{B}(q^*)$ ,*

$$L_{\text{eff}}(\mathcal{V}(q_0)) = L_{\text{eff}}(\mathcal{V}(q'_0)) = L_{\text{eff}}(q^*),$$

and in particular the vacuum mass spectrum (Definition 5.6) is identical.

*Proof.* By assumption,  $\mathcal{V}(q_0) = \mathcal{V}(q'_0) = q^*$  for all initial data in the basin. Evaluating  $L_{\text{eff}}$  at the limit gives the same operator, hence the same spectrum, for all  $q_0, q'_0$  in  $\mathcal{B}(q^*)$ .  $\square$

*Remark 5.21* (What changes masses). Within a fixed basin, nothing changes:  $q^*$  is fixed, hence so is  $D^2E(q^*)$  and its Schur-complement spectrum. Mass changes only when the limiting vacuum changes, i.e. when one crosses a basin boundary (phase boundary).

## 12.3 Finite-mode instance invariance

In a finite-mode (QFT/observation) instance, choose a basis  $\Phi = \{\varphi_a\}_{a=1}^N$  of a subspace  $V_N \subset \Gamma(E_R)$ . Define the matrices

$$G_{ab} := \int_M \langle \varphi_a, \varphi_b \rangle \text{dvol}_g, \quad (5.40)$$

$$K_{ab} := \beta \int_M \langle \nabla \varphi_a, \nabla \varphi_b \rangle \text{dvol}_g, \quad (5.41)$$

$$(M^2)_{ab} := \int_M \langle \varphi_a, (M_{\text{eff}}^{(0)}(q^*) \varphi_b) \rangle \text{dvol}_g, \quad (5.42)$$

so that the Galerkin projection of (5.25) yields

$$G \ddot{q} + (K + M^2) q = 0, \quad (K + M^2) a = \omega^2 G a. \quad (5.43)$$

**Lemma 5.18** (Generalized eigenvalues are basis-invariant). *Let  $\tilde{\Phi} = \{\tilde{\varphi}_a\}$  be another basis of  $V_N$  with  $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi S$  for an invertible matrix  $S$ . Then*

$$\tilde{G} = S^\dagger G S, \quad \tilde{K} = S^\dagger K S, \quad \widetilde{M^2} = S^\dagger (M^2) S,$$

and the generalized eigenvalues  $\omega^2$  of  $(K + M^2) a = \omega^2 G a$  coincide with those of  $(\tilde{K} + \widetilde{M^2}) \tilde{a} = \omega^2 \tilde{G} \tilde{a}$ .

*Proof.* Under the basis change  $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi S$  one has  $\tilde{G} = S^\dagger G S$  and  $\tilde{K} + \widetilde{M^2} = S^\dagger (K + M^2) S$ , so the pencil  $(K + M^2, G)$  is congruent to  $(\tilde{K} + \widetilde{M^2}, \tilde{G})$  and the generalized eigenvalues coincide.  $\square$

## 12.4 Phase boundaries and gap closing (regime statement)

Let  $\lambda_{\min}^+(q^*)$  denote the bottom of the spectrum of  $L_{\text{eff}}(q^*)$  on the declared constraint subspace (after kernel/zero-mode conventions). Define the *gap*

$$\omega_{\min}(q^*) := \sqrt{\lambda_{\min}^+(q^*)}. \quad (5.44)$$

*Remark 5.22* (Generic transition signature). If a basin boundary is approached where the R2 limit ceases to be unique (or where stability is lost), the Hessian typically develops a null direction on the constraint subspace, i.e.  $\lambda_{\min}^+(q^*) \rightarrow 0$ . In the R3 reading this is the closing of the dispersion gap  $\omega_{\min} \rightarrow 0$ . This provides a structural notion of “phase transition”: masses change only through gap-closing events.

## 13 Mass extraction protocol

This section records a minimal pipeline that turns the fixed density  $e(\cdot)$  into a reproducible mass spectrum, using only the series-locked operations and the declared operator/readout instances.

1. **Choose an operator instance.** Fix  $(E_I, E_R, D_I, \text{Ker}(D_I)$  treatment) and the physical open subspace  $\mathcal{H}_R^{\text{phys}}$  (Definition 5.3). This fixes  $P_{\text{Ran}}$  and the active channels.
2. **Select a vacuum by R2.** Pick an initial condition  $q_0$  and run the R2 flow (5.4). Within a basin,  $q(\tau) \rightarrow q^*$  (Theorem 5.2) and the mass data are evaluated at  $q^*$ .
3. **Form the Hessian blocks.** Compute the quadratic form (5.8) at  $q^*$  and identify the block operators  $(A, B, C)$  in (5.9). In the canonical representative,

$$A = -\beta\Delta + V_{q^*}'' , \quad C = \alpha I, \quad B = \eta P_{\text{Ran}}.$$

4. **Eliminate the closed variable (Schur complement).** Define the effective open operator

$$L_{\text{eff}}(q^*) = A - BC^{-1}B^*$$

(Theorem 5.3), i.e. (5.12). Its order-0 sector is  $M_{\text{eff}}^{(0)}(q^*)$  (5.39).

5. **Read the spectrum (R3).** The R3 linearization is  $\partial_t^2 u + L_{\text{eff}}(q^*)u = 0$  (5.25). The vacuum spectrum and gap are the spectral invariants in Definition 5.4.
6. **Optional finite-mode (QFT/observation) instance.** Choose a finite subspace  $V_N$  and basis  $\Phi$  and compute  $(G, K, M^2)$  by (5.40)–(5.42). Solve the generalized eigenproblem (5.43). The resulting  $\omega^2$  are basis-invariant (Lemma 5.18) and depend only on the chosen subspace  $V_N$ .
7. **Optional Higgs instance.** If one wishes to represent the same finite-mode mass data in local SM grammar, factorize  $M^2$  as  $M^2 = (v^2/2)Y^\dagger Y$  (Lemma 5.16).

*Remark 5.23* (What is fixed vs. what is chosen). Fixed by the series backbone: the density  $e(\cdot)$  and the operations R1/R2/R3. Chosen by instances: the operator instance (hence  $P_{\text{Ran}}$ ), the slice conventions (hence  $\mathcal{H}_R^{\text{phys}}$ ), and the observation/QFT truncation subspace  $V_N$ .

## 14 Failure map (diagnostic branching)

Because Part V reorganizes the *status* of mass (input  $\rightarrow$  variational spectral data), it is useful to record a minimal “failure map”: if a target interpretation conflicts with the forced Schur structure, the table below indicates which part of the series contract is being tested.

---

**Target requirement / interpretation**
**What must fail (and what to revise)**


---

Need a momentum-dependent “mass” that alters the principal symbol (e.g. UV dispersion exponents not inherited from the Laplace principal operator)

The backbone/operator-class lock is being violated: one must abandon the Laplace-type principal operator contract (higher-order/fractional kinetic) or accept that the effect is not mediated by a static Schur elimination.

Need a mass shift on modes with  $P_{\text{Ran}}u = 0$  (channels declared gauge/non-observable by the operator instance)

The declared operator instance (hence  $P_{\text{Ran}}$  and channel typing) is inconsistent with the intended interpretation; revise  $(E_I, E_R, D_I)$  or change the declared physical channel.

Phenomenology demands independent dimensionless couplings beyond the invariant  $\kappa = \eta/\sqrt{\alpha\beta}$

The single-invariant claim is being tested: introduce additional closed blocks/invariants or enlarge the equivalence class (to admit additional independent couplings).

A supposed “vacuum” exhibits tachyonic directions ( $\omega^2 < 0$ ) but is still interpreted as stable

The admissible-vacuum gate is failing: reinterpret the configuration as a basin boundary/phase transition signature (Section 12.4) or select a different R2 limit as the vacuum.

Joint analysis with the dual-Schur/closed rail indicates open/closed critical mismatch

At least one hypothesis of the critical equivalence theorem (Theorem 5.4) is not satisfied on the chosen instance: the comparison is not being made at the same declared vacuum/instance,  $A$  is not invertible on the declared slice, or the effect involves additional dynamics beyond static elimination.

---

*Remark 5.24.* The failure map is not a patch list. It is a logic map: it states which *gate/contract* is being tested when the forced Schur-induced mass structure is confronted with a target interpretation.

## 15 Summary

1. **Vacuum selection is internal (R2 $\Rightarrow$ R1).** The R2 gradient flow (5.4) dissipates  $E_{\text{fld}}$  (5.5). Under the analytic-gradient regime (Assumption 5.1) and precompactness, it converges to a single stationary limit  $q^*$  (Theorem 5.2), which serves as the vacuum (Definition 5.1).
2. **Mass is order-0 Hessian spectral data at  $q^*$ .** With the Laplace-type principal part locked, mass can only enter through order-0 curvature data of the R1 Hessian at  $q^*$ , after applying the physical subspace convention (Definition 5.3).
3. **Closed elimination forces a Schur term (projector-valued).** With a coercive closed block ( $C > 0$ ) and nonzero coupling, eliminating  $F := D_I I_I$  yields the Schur complement  $H_{\text{eff}} = A - BC^{-1}B^*$  (Theorem 5.3). In the canonical representative this is  $L_{\text{eff}} = -\beta\Delta + V_{q^*}'' - (\eta^2/\alpha)P_{\text{Ran}}$  (Proposition 5.6).
4. **Spectrum and gap are defined after zero-mode removal.** The vacuum spectrum is  $\text{spec}(L_{\text{eff}}(q^*)|_{\mathcal{H}_R^{\text{phys}}})$  and the mass gap is the bottom of the positive spectrum  $\lambda_{\min}^+(q^*)$  (Definition 5.4).
5. **de Rham instance: exact-only action and topological zero-modes.** For  $D_I = d$ ,  $P_{\text{Ran}} = P_{\text{ex}} = d\Delta_0^{-1}d^*$  and the Schur shift acts only on the exact channel (Theorem 5.12).

Harmonic modes are topological and may produce forced zero eigenvalues, while the mass gap remains a positive-spectrum invariant (Corollary 5.14).

6. **Finite-mode instances extract basis-invariant mass spectra.** A truncation  $V_N$  yields  $(K + M^2)a = \omega^2 Ga$  (5.43) with  $M^2$  extracted from  $M_{\text{eff}}^{(0)}(q^*)$ . The generalized eigenvalues are basis-invariant (Lemma 5.18).
7. **Phase structure: masses change only by changing vacua.** Within a basin  $\mathcal{B}(q^*)$  the limit  $q^*$  is fixed, hence the mass spectrum is constant (Proposition 5.17). Mass changes only across basin boundaries, typically through gap-closing events (Section 12.4).
8. **Higgs is a representation-level factorization.** Higgs-language parameters  $(v, Y)$  realize a factorization  $M^2 = (v^2/2)Y^\dagger Y$  (Lemma 5.16) of already-derived mass data.

**Next parts (series plan).** Part VI develops the dual-Schur closed-curvature structural regime. Part VII is a pre-rail synthesis paper that packages elimination duality, no-escape shape-class rigidity, criticality equivalence, and order-independent structural invariants. Validation rails (cosmology/lensing/data comparison) are deferred to a post-Part XII rail dossier (or a separate rail paper).

## Main statements (one-page box)

**(S1) Vacuum selection.** The R2 reading  $\partial_\tau q = -\nabla E_{\text{fld}}(q)$  dissipates energy (5.5) and, under the analytic-gradient regime (Assumption 5.1) and precompactness, converges to a single stationary limit  $q^*$  (Theorem 5.2). This  $q^*$  is the vacuum (Definition 5.1).

**(S2) Mass operator (forced Schur term).** At  $q^*$  the effective open Hessian is the Schur complement  $H_{\text{eff}} = A - BC^{-1}B^*$  (Theorem 5.3). In the canonical representative,

$$L_{\text{eff}}(q^*) = -\beta\Delta + V_{q^*}'' - \frac{\eta^2}{\alpha}P_{\text{Ran}}, \quad M_{\text{eff}}^{(0)}(q^*) = V_{q^*}'' - \frac{\eta^2}{\alpha}P_{\text{Ran}}.$$

The vacuum spectrum and mass gap are defined on  $\mathcal{H}_R^{\text{phys}}$  (Definitions 5.3–5.4).

**(S3) Invariance and phase structure.** Within an R2 basin  $\mathcal{B}(q^*)$  the limit  $q^*$  is fixed, hence the mass spectrum is basin-constant (Proposition 5.17); masses change only across basin boundaries, typically through gap closing (Section 12.4). Finite-mode instances extract basis-invariant spectra via the generalized eigenproblem (5.43) (Lemma 5.18). Higgs language is a representation-level factorization of the resulting mass matrix (Lemma 5.16).

## A Electroweak Higgs instance (compatibility statement)

This appendix is a dictionary between the mass data extracted in this paper and standard electroweak (Higgs-sector) notation. It makes no derivation claim for the gauge group, couplings, or Higgs potential; it only fixes a conventional local QFT instance in which the same mass matrices are represented (cf. [7]).

### A.1 Instance scope

Fix a finite-mode instance  $V_N = \text{span}\{\varphi_a\}$  and the extracted order-0 mass-squared matrix  $M^2$  from (5.42). In the electroweak grammar, fermion masses are parametrized by Yukawa matrices and a scale  $v$ , and gauge-boson masses by the standard covariant-derivative mass terms after symmetry breaking.

## A.2 Fermion sector: Yukawa factorization

Given any Hermitian positive semidefinite mass-squared matrix  $M^2$  on a finite set of species/modes, fix any  $v > 0$ . Then there exists a Yukawa matrix  $Y$  such that

$$M^2 = \frac{v^2}{2} Y^\dagger Y. \quad (5.45)$$

This is exactly the factorization recorded in Lemma 5.16. In the SM grammar, one writes a Yukawa interaction and, after choosing a vacuum expectation value  $\langle \Phi \rangle = (0, v/\sqrt{2})^\top$ , obtains mass matrices  $m = Yv/\sqrt{2}$ . Here the direction of implication is reversed: the mass data are fixed first by  $L_{\text{eff}}(q^*)$ , and (5.45) provides a coordinate expression.

## A.3 Gauge sector: $W/Z$ mass dictionary

In the electroweak instance, choose gauge couplings  $(g, g')$  and define the weak mixing angle by

$$\tan \theta_W := \frac{g'}{g}, \quad \cos \theta_W = \frac{g}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}. \quad (5.46)$$

After symmetry breaking at scale  $v$ , the standard mass relations are

$$m_W = \frac{gv}{2}, \quad m_Z = \frac{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}{2} v, \quad m_W = m_Z \cos \theta_W. \quad (5.47)$$

In this paper the gauge sector is not derived. If a chosen local QFT instance identifies vector-boson modes with eigenvalues interpreted as  $m_W^2$  and  $m_Z^2$ , one may choose  $(v, g, g')$  to satisfy (5.47); equivalently, for fixed  $v > 0$  set  $g := 2m_W/v$  and  $g' := \sqrt{(2m_Z/v)^2 - g^2}$  (when  $m_Z \geq m_W$ ). This step is a reparametrization of identified eigenvalues into standard electroweak notation and carries no prediction at the backbone level.

## A.4 Higgs scalar: positioning

In electroweak notation the Higgs mass is the curvature of a scalar potential at the vacuum. Here it is treated as additional order-0 spectral data within a chosen instance. This paper does not prescribe the Higgs potential and makes no Higgs-mass prediction; it only fixes the positioning: Higgs-sector parameters provide a conventional coordinate system for mass matrices already fixed by  $L_{\text{eff}}(q^*)$ .

# B Worked example: flat torus and longitudinal mass shift

Example on  $M = \mathbb{T}^n$  (flat) showing that  $P_{\text{ex}}$  is the longitudinal projector and the Schur term shifts only longitudinal modes.

## B.1 Setup

Let  $M = \mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n / (2\pi\mathbb{Z}^n)$  with the flat metric. Use the de Rham instance with  $k = 0$ :

$$E_I = \Lambda^0 T^* M, \quad E_R = \Lambda^1 T^* M, \quad D_I = d, \quad F = dI_I \in \Omega^1(M).$$

Thus the open variable is a 1-form  $I_R \in \Omega^1(M)$  and  $P_{\text{Ran}} = P_{\text{ex}} = d\Delta_0^{-1}d^*$ . Assume for simplicity that  $V_{q^*}'' = m_{\text{open}}^2 \text{Id}$  is a constant zeroth-order endomorphism on  $\Omega^1(M)$ . Here  $m_{\text{open}} > 0$  is a *vacuum curvature scale on the open slice* (a constant order-0 term in the open operator); it should not be confused with any optional regulator parameter  $m_0$  used elsewhere purely for zero-mode/coercivity control.

## B.2 Fourier decomposition and the exact projector

Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  and consider a Fourier mode  $u(x) = a e^{ik \cdot x}$  where  $a \in \mathbb{C}^n$  is a constant coefficient (identified with a 1-form). For  $k \neq 0$  one has

$$d^*u = i k \cdot a e^{ik \cdot x}, \quad \Delta_0^{-1}(e^{ik \cdot x}) = -\frac{1}{|k|^2} e^{ik \cdot x},$$

(using the sign convention  $\Delta e^{ik \cdot x} = -|k|^2 e^{ik \cdot x}$ ), hence

$$P_{\text{ex}}u = d\Delta_0^{-1}d^*u = \frac{k(k \cdot a)}{|k|^2} e^{ik \cdot x}. \quad (5.48)$$

Therefore  $P_{\text{ex}}$  is the *longitudinal projector*: it extracts the component of  $a$  parallel to  $k$ . Write  $a = a_{\parallel} + a_{\perp}$  with  $a_{\parallel} \parallel k$  and  $a_{\perp} \cdot k = 0$ ; then

$$P_{\text{ex}}u = a_{\parallel} e^{ik \cdot x}, \quad (I - P_{\text{ex}})u = a_{\perp} e^{ik \cdot x}.$$

**Exact/coexact identification.** For  $k \neq 0$ , the scalar mode  $\phi(x) = e^{ik \cdot x}$  satisfies  $d\phi = i k e^{ik \cdot x}$ , so a 1-form Fourier mode  $u(x) = a e^{ik \cdot x}$  is exact iff  $a \parallel k$ . Moreover  $d^*u = 0$  iff  $k \cdot a = 0$ , i.e.  $a$  is transverse; on the flat torus this is the coexact subspace at frequency  $k$ . Thus  $P_{\text{ex}}$  is precisely the Hodge projector onto exact 1-forms for each  $k \neq 0$ . In particular, for each  $k \neq 0$  there is one shifted longitudinal (exact) polarization and  $(n - 1)$  unshifted transverse (coexact) polarizations.

## B.3 Shifted eigenvalues and the $\kappa$ -invariant coefficient

For  $k \neq 0$ , the Hodge Laplacian on 1-forms satisfies  $-\Delta u = |k|^2 u$ . Thus the effective operator

$$L_{\text{eff}} = -\beta \Delta + m_{\text{open}}^2 \text{Id} - \frac{\eta^2}{\alpha} P_{\text{ex}}$$

acts diagonally on the longitudinal/transverse splitting:

$$L_{\text{eff}}(a_{\perp} e^{ik \cdot x}) = (\beta |k|^2 + m_{\text{open}}^2) a_{\perp} e^{ik \cdot x}, \quad (5.49)$$

$$L_{\text{eff}}(a_{\parallel} e^{ik \cdot x}) = (\beta |k|^2 + m_{\text{open}}^2 - \eta^2/\alpha) a_{\parallel} e^{ik \cdot x}. \quad (5.50)$$

After KG normalization  $\tilde{t} = \sqrt{\beta} t$  one reads

$$\omega_{\perp}^2 = |k|^2 + \frac{m_{\text{open}}^2}{\beta}, \quad \omega_{\parallel}^2 = |k|^2 + \frac{m_{\text{open}}^2}{\beta} - \frac{\eta^2}{\alpha\beta} = |k|^2 + \frac{m_{\text{open}}^2}{\beta} - \kappa^2,$$

exhibiting explicitly the invariant coefficient  $\eta^2/(\alpha\beta) = \kappa^2$  (cf. (5.2) and (5.29)).

**Order-0 nature.** The shift  $-\eta^2/\alpha$  (equivalently  $-\kappa^2$  in KG-normalized units) is independent of  $k$ , confirming that elimination generates a zeroth-order mass term and does not alter the Laplace-type principal operator.

If  $\kappa^2 > m_{\text{open}}^2/\beta$ , then  $\omega_{\parallel}^2 < 0$  for sufficiently small  $|k|$ , indicating an unstable stationary point (cf. Section 12.4).

## B.4 Zero modes

For  $k = 0$ ,  $u$  is harmonic,  $d^*u = 0$ , and  $P_{\text{ex}}u = 0$ . This is the simplest instance of Corollary 5.14.

## Glossary (series meta-language)

- **Structural Layer:** the fixed backbone (primitive  $E$  plus admissible equivalences) and the admissible readings (R1/R2/R3).
- **Reading (R1/R2/R3):** operations applied to the same backbone: R1 (stationarity/EL), R2 (gradient/dissipative), R3 (minimal time-completion/conservative).
- **Elimination:** a representation-level representative selection step (e.g. Schur/dual-Schur reduction) that preserves the declared principal symbol / principal operator lock.
- **Representation instance:** a concrete protocol choice specifying a readout/retention map and base measure.
- **Representation Layer:** protocol data, typically  $(\Pi, \mu, \mathcal{G}, \eta)$  where  $\Pi$  is a readout map,  $\mu$  a base measure, and  $(\mathcal{G}, \eta)$  update/event/summary rules.
- **Notation lock ( $\mathcal{G}$  vs.  $G$ ):**  $G$  is reserved for structural variables/operators;  $\mathcal{G}$  denotes protocol/update rules in instances.
- **Gate / regime gate:** an explicit validity condition (bounds, coercivity, smallness, slow-variation, etc.) delimiting the regime where a closure statement is licensed.
- **Stopping rule:** an explicit declared exit condition (when a regime/rail no longer applies).
- **Rail:** an optional validation pipeline (pass/fail + diagnostics) attached after fixing an instance; not part of the structural theorem statements.
- **Time instance alias:** a declared synchronization/parameterization protocol used to interpret time variables across regimes.

## Declarations

**Data availability.** No new data were generated or analyzed in this study.

**Code availability.** No code was used to generate results in this manuscript.

**Competing interests.** The author declares no competing interests.

**Funding.** This research received no external funding.

**Scope.** All statements are sectorial/regime-level within the declared regime and admissibility gates, and are subject to explicit stopping rules where readout instances are used; no global continuation beyond regime exit is claimed.

## References

- [1] L. C. Evans, *Partial Differential Equations*, 2nd ed., AMS (2010).
- [2] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Vol. I: Functional Analysis*, 2nd ed., Academic Press (1980).
- [3] R. Temam, *Infinite-Dimensional Dynamical Systems in Mechanics and Physics*, 2nd ed., Springer (1997).

- [4] L. Simon, *Asymptotics for a class of nonlinear evolution equations, with applications to geometric problems*, Ann. Math. **118** (1983), 525–571.
- [5] R. Chill, *On the Lojasiewicz–Simon gradient inequality*, J. Funct. Anal. **201** (2003), 572–601.
- [6] S. Rosenberg, *The Laplacian on a Riemannian Manifold*, Cambridge University Press (1997).
- [7] M. E. Peskin and D. V. Schroeder, *An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory*, Addison–Wesley (1995).