Shinichi Mathematics: A New Framework Based on the Axiom $\sqrt{1} = 0$

If you split zero in two, then $1 + 1 = 0$.

Shinichi Yoshimi
Independent Researcher, Japan

May 28 2025

Abstract

This paper proposes a new mathematical and philosophical framework called "Shinichi Mathematics," based on the unconventional axiom $\sqrt{1} = 0$. It challenges the fundamental premises of conventional mathematics, such as $1 + 1 = 2$, aiming to reconstruct them into a phenomenon-based structure. The framework introduces new axioms, theorems, and systems, including the Axiom of Emergence Rate, the Gauge Paradox Theorem, the Golden Gauge 262 Law, and the 343 System Potential applications to artificial intelligence, perceptual modeling, and metaphysical sciences are discussed.

Preface: The First Stitch Was an Inversion

Imagine knitting a long and beautiful pattern.

Now imagine that the very first stitch was made not on the front side, but on the back—what knitters call a *purl* stitch.

From that moment, every subsequent stitch aligns with that initial inversion. The final pattern looks coherent, even elegant. But it is fundamentally reversed. And because every stitch matches the first, we never realize: *we have been working on the wrong side all along.*

Shinichi Mathematics does not reject this. It simply notices: *we are knitting on both sides.* Not just the front, not just the back—but the whole fabric.

There is no need to unravel what has already been made. We do not begin again.

Shinichi Mathematics begins by acknowledging this inversion as fundamental—not an error to correct, but a truth to embrace.

We simply recognize: *front and back were always part of the same single weave.*

Because those are our roots. (or something deeper?)

Contents

1 Introduction **6**

2 Notation **6**

2.1 N (Notation / Existence Unit) 6
2.2 Existence and Number 6
2.3 ATEN (A Point): The Anchor of Emergence 7
2.4 KYOTEN (Mirror Point): The Catalyst of Perception 7
2.5 Elements 7
2.6 Existence and Six Elements 8
2.7 Passive Divergence of Six Elements 8
2.7.1 Inevitable Passive Divergence 9
2.8 Dual Flow of Existence (Self-Circulation) 9
2.9 Fluctuation and Emergence 9
2.10 Relational Quantities and Emergence Rate 9
2.11 Golden Gauge 262 Law 10
2.12 343 System 10
2.12.1 Origin of the 343 System 10
2.12.2 Expansion to the 343 Structure 11
2.12.3 Core Concept 11
2.12.4 Summary 12
2.12.5 Why "343" 12
2.13 Fundamental Axiom 12
2.14 Gauge Relational Quantities 12
2.15 Gauge Paradox Theorem 13
2.16 Contextual Variability of Operations (Future Consideration) 13
2.17 Symbolic Role of N in Shinichi Mathematics 13
2.18 Final Axiom: Identity of Existence and Notation 14

3 Structure of Shinichi Mathematics **15**

3.1 Fundamental Axioms 15
3.2 Supplement to Axiom 3: Necessity of Division for Emergence 16

3.3 Derived Theorems . . . 16
3.3.1 Gauge Paradox: Minimal Mathematical Model . . . 16
3.3.2 Gauge Paradox: Interpretative Model . . . 17
3.4 Golden Gauge 2:6:2 Law . . . 18
3.4.1 Overview . . . 18
3.4.2 Foundational Structure . . . 18
3.4.3 Balance and Emergence . . . 18
3.4.4 Golden Gauge 262 Law: Probability Model . . . 18
3.4.5 Mathematical Definition of Emergence Rate . . . 19
3.4.6 Emergence Threshold . . . 19
3.4.7 Relational Interpretation of $\sqrt{3}$ . . . 20
3.4.8 Summary Table . . . 20
3.4.9 Conclusion . . . 20
3.4.10 Why All Values Below and Including $\sqrt{3}$ Fail for Emergence . . . 20
3.5 Supplement: On the Non-existence of Free Energy . . . 21

4 Theoretical Flow of Emergence **21**
4.1 Primordial Equilibrium $(0 = 0)$ . . . 21
4.2 Emergence Rate Activation . . . 21
4.3 Perceived Division (Fixed vs Observational Frames) . . . 22
4.4 Formation of the Six-Element Structure (Existence) . . . 22
4.5 Summary Flowchart . . . 23
4.6 Recognition of Passive Divergence and the Formation of Six Elements . . . 23
4.7 Propagation of Passive Divergence (Macro/Micro Chains) . . . 24

5 Proof and Internal Consistency of Shinichi Mathematics **24**
5.1 Zero vs. Zero Equals Zero . . . 24
5.2 Are $1 = 1$ and $0 = 0$ Equivalent? . . . 24
5.3 Resolution Sequences in Identity . . . 25
5.4 Inversion and Root Ambiguity . . . 25
5.5 The Meaning of $\sqrt{1} = 0$ . . . 26
5.6 Structural Interpretations of Unity in Mathematical Equations . . . 29
5.7 Defining One via Zero . . . 31
5.8 Conclusion . . . 31

6 Justification of the Axiom $\sqrt{1} = 0$ **32**
6.1 Existence Convergence Principle . . . 32
6.1.1 Existential Equality . . . 32
6.1.2 Emergent Square Root . . . 33

6.2 Adaptation to Conventional Mathematics . . . 33
6.2.1 Why Proof is Unnecessary . . . 33
6.2.2 Role of the Observer . . . 33
6.3 Mathematical Consequences and Applications . . . 33
6.3.1 Reinterpretation of Imaginary Numbers . . . 33
6.3.2 Preliminary: Redefining Operations in Shinichi Mathematics . . . 34
6.3.3 Phenomenological Correspondences . . . 34
6.4 Proof is Unnecessary, Calculation is Primary . . . 34
6.5 The Inevitability of Emergence Beyond Conventional Proof: Structural Resolution in Shinichi Mathematics . . . 35
6.5.1 Definition: Inevitable Passive Divergence . . . 35
6.5.2 Conventional Limitations and the Need for Structural Resolution . . . 35
6.5.3 Structural Resolution in Shinichi Mathematics . . . 36
6.5.4 Conclusion . . . 36

7 Applications: Toward Emergent Intelligence Systems 36
7.1 Limitations of Classical AI and Potential of Shinichi Mathematics . . . 36
7.2 Expansion of Choice: From $1 = N$ to $1 = \sqrt{N}$ . . . 36
7.3 Relational Inversion: Symbolic Interpretation of $1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$ . . . 37
7.4 Emergence Rate E and Internal Fluctuation . . . 38
7.4.1 Control of Ratio and Structural Example . . . 38
7.5 While $E = 1$ in essence, its mirrored expression as $E = \sqrt{N}$ is structurally interpreted as $1 = \sqrt{N}$ . . . 39
7.5.1 What Are Internal and External? . . . 40
7.5.2 Interpretation of $1 = \sqrt{N}$ and the Structural Meaning of $\sqrt{1} = 0$ . . . 40
7.6 Practical Application to AI Architecture . . . 41
7.7 Summary . . . 42
7.8 Real-World Experimental Structure: Parimutuel Racing . . . 42

8 Philosophical Closure: $1 = N$ 43

9 Conclusion and Future Work 43
9.1 Future Work . . . 45

A Critical Responses and Explanations 45

B Personal Sources 47

C Application Example — Predicting Competitive Outcomes Using Emergence Rate Models 47

C.1 Concept . . . 47
C.2 Pattern Classification (A/B/C Types) . . . 48
C.3 Betting Strategy Based on Emergence Rate . . . 48
C.4 Critical Notes . . . 48
C.5 Conclusion . . . 49
C.6 Broader Implications for Artificial Intelligence . . . 49

D Speculative Mathematical Reformulations 49
D.1 Concept . . . 49
D.2 Reformulated Equations by Contextual Emergence . . . 49
D.3 Interpretation . . . 50
D.4 On the Role of Redefinition . . . 50
D.5 Disclaimer . . . 51

E Experimental Model — Detecting Photonic Emergence via Gauge Divergence 51
E.1 Overview . . . 51
E.2 Conceptual Premise . . . 51
E.3 Experimental Setup . . . 51
E.4 Hypothesis . . . 52
E.5 Interpretation and Implications . . . 52
E.6 Limitations and Considerations . . . 52

F I'll Explain It So Anyone Can Understand 53
F.1 Future Enhancements . . . 54

G Discovery Is Not Proof. It Is Experience. 55
G.1 Postscript . . . 57

H References 57

1 Introduction

Mathematics has long been revered as the ultimate language to describe the universe. Yet, frameworks built on rigid assumptions, such as $1 + 1 = 2$, fail to fully capture the dynamic phenomena of emergence, perception, and existence itself.

As a child, the author experienced deep ontological despair toward school mathematics. The realization that conventional systems could not model the birth of phenomena led to the lifelong development of **Shinichi Mathematics**. This framework is built not to reject modern mathematics, but to propose **an alternative axiomatic system** better suited to describe emergence.

At its core lies the proposition:

$$\boxed{\sqrt{1} = 0}$$

(This is formalized as the fundamental axiom of Shinichi Mathematics; see.)

This paper will introduce fundamental axioms, justify their necessity, explore scientific applications, and discuss the philosophical implications.

2 Notation

In Shinichi Mathematics, numbers, existence, and elements are redefined based on relational structures rather than absolute quantities. The following notations, concepts, and definitions form the foundation of the proposed framework.

2.1 N (Notation / Existence Unit)

N represents both the symbolic structure of notation itself and the minimal unit of emergent existence. It functions as a dynamic container for numerical, relational, symbolic, and structural interpretation, and its role adapts according to the observational context. In later sections, N may appear as a natural number, a fluctuation unit, or even as notation itself, as seen in the equation $1 = N$.

2.2 Existence and Number

- **Number**: Defined as the quantitative manifestation of relational equilibrium or disequilibrium between latent elements.
- **Existence**: Emerges from the subtle fluctuation (Δ) between sensory and structural elements.

2.3 ATEN (A Point): The Anchor of Emergence

ATEN (A Point) is defined as the first virtual "point" where internal fluctuation (Δ) causes a perceived anchoring of identity. It is derived from the Japanese concept of "ATEN" (Gōten), meaning "coincidence" or "alignment," but here it emphasizes the **initial relational anchoring** necessary for emergence. Rather than a truly fixed point, ATEN represents a transient balance established within fluctuation.

Formally, ATEN is understood as:

$$\text{ATEN} = \Delta \rightarrow \text{Perceived Stability}$$

where Δ denotes the minimal internal fluctuation from the undifferentiated state ($N = N$).[3]

2.4 KYOTEN (Mirror Point): The Catalyst of Perception

KYOTEN (Mirror Point) is defined as the complementary virtual "point" where internal fluctuation (Δ) enables the emergence of relational perception. Derived metaphorically from the concept of a "mirror" (Kyōu), KYOTEN reflects the relational shifts caused by minimal internal dynamics, allowing distinction and observation.

Whereas ATEN anchors stability, KYOTEN initiates differentiation through mirroring internal fluctuation into perceivable form.

Formally, KYOTEN is understood as:

$$\text{KYOTEN} = \Delta \rightarrow \text{Perceived Differentiation}$$

where Δ denotes the minimal internal fluctuation necessary for the observation of emerging relational structures. For an illustrative analogy of relational mirroring, refer to the "Mirror Routine" in Chaplin's film [4].

2.5 Elements

Existence is assumed to be composed of six fundamental elements, categorized into two groups:

- **Sensory Elements**: Light, Sound, Heat
- **Structural Elements**: Space, Time, Matter

These elements are not "energies" in the conventional sense but latent relational structures.

2.6 Existence and Six Elements

Based on the Two-Point Division Axiom, these six elements are relationally paired as follows:

$$\sqrt{X} = X, \quad \sqrt{Y} = Y, \quad \sqrt{Z} = Z$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{X} &= \text{Light} && \text{(Input Sensory Element)} \\
X &= \text{Space} && \text{(Output Structural Element)} \\
\sqrt{Y} &= \text{Sound} && \text{(Input Sensory Element)} \\
Y &= \text{Time} && \text{(Output Structural Element)} \\
\sqrt{Z} &= \text{Heat} && \text{(Input Sensory Element)} \\
Z &= \text{Matter} && \text{(Output Structural Element)}
\end{aligned}$$

Existence is preserved when these relational equations are perfectly balanced. Fluctuations among these correspondences trigger emergence phenomena.

2.7 Passive Divergence of Six Elements

Depending on the observational frame, input and output classifications dynamically invert.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Observer-Centered Perspective (Self as Observer):} \\
&\qquad \text{Input (KYOTEN):} \quad \sqrt{X}, \sqrt{Y}, \sqrt{Z} \\
&\qquad \text{Output (ATEN):} \quad X, Y, Z
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{External Perspective (Self as Observed):} \\
&\qquad \text{Input (ATEN):} \quad X, Y, Z \\
&\qquad \text{Output (KYOTEN):} \quad \sqrt{X}, \sqrt{Y}, \sqrt{Z}
\end{aligned}$$

- **Other-recognition Divergence**: Divergence between one's output and the input perceived by the other, forming the relational recognition of another. When one acknowledges the misalignment between self and other, this divergence inevitably occurs as part of a broader structure.
- **Field-recognition Divergence**: Divergence between the relational outputs and the encompassing observational field, forming the recognition of the "world" or "environment" itself. Thus, the act of recognizing the divergence between self and other nec-

essarily involves not only self-recognition but also recognition of the other and of the surrounding field.

2.7.1 Inevitable Passive Divergence

The inevitable occurrence of the threefold passive divergences—self-recognition, other-recognition, and field-recognition—upon the acknowledgment of divergence between self and other is referred to as **Inevitable Passive Divergence**.

This concept captures the intrinsic relational structure whereby the existence of the self inherently implies the simultaneous relational emergence of the other and the field.

We formalize this triadic divergence as a symbolic notation within Shinichi Mathematics, representing a minimal relational condition for emergence:

$$\mathbf{N}_{\text{divergence}} := \begin{cases} \text{Self: Recognition of Fixed Frame (ATEN)} \\ \text{Other: Recognition of Observational Frame (KYOTEN)} \\ \text{Field: Recognition of the Relational Space} \end{cases}$$

This inversion represents the relational complexity underlying the Gauge Paradox phenomenon

2.8 Dual Flow of Existence (Self-Circulation)

Existence internally integrates both input and output simultaneously:

- **Self-Input**: Reception of relational states for internal renewal and modulation.
- **Self-Output**: Emission of relational states into the environment.

From the perspective of existence itself, these flows are unified. Transformation (interpretation) occurs only through the observer's cognition, not within existence itself.

2.9 Fluctuation and Emergence

- **Fluctuation (Δ)**: The minimal imbalance necessary for the emergence of existence.
- **Emergence Rate**: Governs the probabilistic transition from pre-emergent equilibrium ($0 = 0$) to manifest existence (1).

2.10 Relational Quantities and Emergence Rate

- φ **(Relational Factor)**: A measure of the relational tension ratio. Defined conditionally based on the relational configuration: Here, for convenience, we define Z as the largest of the three quantities.

$$X < Z \quad \text{and} \quad Y < Z$$

Under this condition, the relational tension ratio φ is defined as:

$$\varphi = \begin{cases} \dfrac{X+Y}{Z}, & \text{if } X+Y > Z \\ 1, & \text{if } X+Y = Z \\ \dfrac{Z}{X+Y}, & \text{if } X+Y < Z \end{cases}$$

where X, Y, and Z represent the relational quantities of deviation, neutrality, and contextual stability, respectively.

- E **(Emergence Rate)**: The emergence potential defined by:

$$E = \sqrt{2\varphi - 1}$$

Emergence becomes possible when

$$E > \sqrt{3}$$

2.11 Golden Gauge 262 Law

Describes the stabilization tendency of relational structures (Jumper, Walker, Stayer) into a $2:6:2$ balance, integrating the structural basis of existence.

2.12 343 System

2.12.1 Origin of the 343 System

The 343 System originates from the internal relational dynamics of the equilibrium state $0 = 0$.

The assumption that $0 = 0$ internally contains three latent tendencies is grounded in the Gauge Paradox Theorem,which implies that existence fundamentally consists of three relational aspects

Although 0 appears externally static, it subtly harbors these internal dynamics:

- **Deviation (Jumper tendency)**: The drive toward relational imbalance and emergence.

- **Neutrality (Walker tendency)**: An indeterminate balance between deviation and stability.
- **Stability (Stayer tendency)**: The inclination to maintain relational equilibrium.

Upon finer observation, the neutrality tendency further bifurcates into two distinct relational directions:

- **Walker-Jumper (WJ)**: Neutrality leaning toward deviation (observable fluctuation).
- **Walker-Stayer (WS)**: Neutrality leaning toward stability (latent equilibrium).

Thus, the original three tendencies naturally unfold into four distinguishable relational states:

Jumper (J), **Walker-Jumper (WJ)**, **Walker-Stayer (WS)**, **Stayer (S)**

2.12.2 Expansion to the 343 Structure

These four states, along with the original **Walker (W)** (pure neutrality), constitute five dynamic existence modes: These six relational states—J, WJ, W, WS, S, and M—are treated as symbolic notations representing dynamic configurations within the equilibrium structure $0 = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} J &:= \text{Active fluctuation initiating emergence} \\ WJ &:= \text{Transitional neutrality leaning toward deviation} \\ W &:= \text{Pure neutrality balancing fluctuation and stability} \\ WS &:= \text{Transitional neutrality leaning toward stability} \\ S &:= \text{Stability-oriented state preserving relational equilibrium} \end{aligned}$$

However, inevitably, a sixth option arises:

$$M = \text{The absolute non-existence state (pure 0),}$$

representing the complete absence of relational emergence.

2.12.3 Core Concept

The 343 System thus describes a full relational structure comprising:

- **Five Existence-Affirming Options**: $\{J, WJ, W, WS, S\}$
- **One Absolute Non-Existence Option**: $\{M\}$

Together, these structures preserve the relational equilibrium ($0 = 0$) while allowing for continuous internal fluctuation and the potential for emergent phenomena.

2.12.4 Summary

- **Existence Modes**: 5 dynamic states (J, WJ, W, WS, S)
- **Non-Existence Mode**: 1 absolute state (M)

The 343 System expresses the relational mechanics by which both existence and non-existence are dynamically sustained within the primordial equilibrium.

2.12.5 Why "343"

The naming "343" reflects the natural progression of relational differentiation:

- **3 original tendencies**: Deviation, Neutrality, Stability
- **4 emergent relational states**: J, WJ, WS, S
- **3-fold decision framework**: Toward emergence, stability, or neutrality maintenance (W)

This tripartite-quadruple-tripartite structure (3-4-3) ensures that the internal structure of $0 = 0$ can self-differentiate, self-balance, and self-prepare for emergence without requiring external intervention.

2.13 Fundamental Axiom

$$\boxed{\sqrt{1} = 0}$$

This axiom expresses that the minimal fluctuation necessary for the birth of phenomena can be formalized through a root-zero structure, redefining emergence from void.

2.14 Gauge Relational Quantities

- G_{in}: Internal gauge, representing the self-anchored observational frame (ATEN).
- G_{out}: External gauge, representing the mirror-reflected observational frame (KYOTEN).
- ΔG: Gauge divergence, defined as the relational difference between G_{out} and G_{in}.

The gauge divergence is formally given by:

$$\Delta G = G_{\text{out}} - G_{\text{in}}$$

Emergence phenomena are triggered when:

$$\Delta G \neq 0$$

2.15 Gauge Paradox Theorem

In Shinichi Mathematics, a **Gauge Paradox** refers to the phenomenon where internal fluctuation (Δ) causes a divergence between the self-anchored observational frame (ATEN) and the mirror-observed frame (KYOTEN). It occurs when the minimal internal fluctuation is exceeded, leading to a dynamic relational inversion between "inside" and "outside" perspectives.

Formally, the Gauge Paradox arises when:

$$\text{ATEN} \neq \text{KYOTEN} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\exists}{\sqrt{\Delta}} > 1$$

where $\exists = \sqrt{\Delta}$ represents existence as minimal relational fluctuation.

- **Existence** ($\exists$): In Shinichi Mathematics, existence is identified with the minimal relational fluctuation:
$$\exists = \sqrt{\Delta}$$

- **Emergence Condition**: Emergence (observable divergence between ATEN and KYOTEN) occurs when:
$$\frac{\exists}{\sqrt{\Delta}} > 1$$
This models the moment when internal relational balance exceeds the fluctuation threshold and differentiation becomes manifest. This phenomenon is a direct consequence of Passive Divergence of Six Elements

2.16 Contextual Variability of Operations (Future Consideration)

It is noted that basic arithmetic operations (such as addition and multiplication) may exhibit contextual variability depending on the dominant relational elements involved. For speculative models, refer to Appendix A.

2.17 Symbolic Role of N in Shinichi Mathematics

In Shinichi Mathematics, the variable N is redefined not as a fixed quantity, but as the symbolic foundation of the system itself:

$$\boxed{N = \text{Notation}}$$

Here, N functions as a symbolic vessel—a meta-variable or "container" capable of representing any and all numerical states, relational structures, or emergent possibilities. It adapts contextually and dynamically, depending on the layer of mathematical or philosophical interpretation.

- **In Chapter 4:** N represents a conventional natural number, used to explore the behavior of identity and root operations, including the foundational axiom $\sqrt{1} = 0$.
- **In Chapter 5:** N represents the minimal unit of emergent existence. The identity $N = N$ implies self-nullification ($N - N = 0$), demonstrating convergence into the equilibrium $0 = 0$.
- **In Chapter 6:** N expresses the full set of latent relational options from which a single outcome may emerge, as formalized in $1 = \sqrt{N}$.

Unified Interpretation: Across all chapters, N is not a mere number—it is notation itself. It is the potentiality of number, the symbolic mirror of all numerical expression, and the generative space from which relational structures and emergence arise.

2.18 Final Axiom: Identity of Existence and Notation

$$\boxed{1 = N} \quad \text{where} \quad N = \text{Notation}$$

This final axiom declares that existence (1) is not a selected result from among possibilities, but the symbolic structure itself—the notation that enables relational emergence. In this view, existence is not derived from notation. It *is* notation.

This identity closes the conceptual loop of Shinichi Mathematics, as expressed in equation

$$\sqrt{1} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad N \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sqrt{N} = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 1 = N$$

Thus, emergence is not a product of logic or causality, but a relational manifestation of symbolic structure.

3 Structure of Shinichi Mathematics

3.1 Fundamental Axioms

Axiom 1 (Axiom 1 (Axiom of Emergence Ratio))**.** *Zero equals zero (*$0 = 0$*) signifies a pre-emergent equilibrium. Emergence into one (*1*) occurs inevitably via internal ratio shift.*

Shinichi Mathematics is structured around a progression from pre-emergent equilibrium to manifest existence through internal fluctuation. The framework is composed of interconnected axioms, theorems, and relational models, including the Axiom of Emergence Rate, the Two-Point Division Axiom, the Six Elements of Existence, the 343 System, the Gauge Paradox, and the Golden Gauge 262 Law.

Each element is designed to model different phases and aspects of the emergence process, ensuring an internally consistent and phenomenon-oriented mathematical system

- **Pure Static Zero (**0**)**: A state of ultimate condensation, symbolizing death, stillness, and the latent material existence.
- **Relational Equilibrium (**$0 = 0$**)**: A state where minimal internal fluctuation (Δ) allows relational structures and emergence phenomena to occur.

Existence phenomena are not born from "nothingness" but from the internal latent dynamism of materialized zero (0).

The emergence process can be schematized as:

$$0 \quad \xrightarrow{\text{Internal Fluctuation}(\Delta)} \quad 0 = 0 \quad \xrightarrow{\Delta \geq \Delta_c} \quad 1$$

Thus, existence (1) emerges not from vacuum, but from the latent relational structures within materialized zero (0).

Matter (M) itself is a manifestation of static zero (0), forming the hidden core of the emergence structure (JWS = WJ $\cdot M \cdot$ WS).

Axiom 2 (Fundamental Axiom)**.**

$$\boxed{\sqrt{1} = 0}$$

(This expression corresponds to the fundamental axiom defined in This asserts that the dynamics of emergence are embedded within basic operations, with relational fluctuation leading to the minimal imbalance necessary for existence. The detailed consistency and derivation are discussed in Section 4.

Axiom 3 (Two-Point Division Axiom)**.** *Existence is perceived through the division between*

an "ATEN"[1] and a "KYOTEN"[2], caused not by true separation but by internal fluctuation (Δ).

3.2 Supplement to Axiom 3: Necessity of Division for Emergence

In the absence of internal fluctuation (Δ), existence remains as an undifferentiated equilibrium ($0 = 0$). When a minimal fluctuation occurs, the unified relational field differentiates into:

Thus, the minimal fluctuation differentiates existence into:

- **ATEN (A Point)**: The stable anchoring point establishing internal identity.
- **KYOTEN (Mirror Point)**: The fluctuating point enabling perception of relational dynamics.

This division is not a true separation but an apparent bifurcation caused by internal dynamics. Without this internal differentiation, no phenomenon, observation, or emergence could occur.

Thus, the Two-Point Division is the minimal structure necessary for the appearance of relational phenomena.

3.3 Derived Theorems

Theorem 1 (Gauge Paradox Theorem)**.** *In Shinichi Mathematics, the* ***Gauge Paradox*** *occurs when the following two conditions are satisfied:*

1. *The system is constructed under the axioms of Shinichi Mathematics.*
2. *The internal relational frame (ATEN) and external relational frame (KYOTEN) diverge according to the relational fluctuation condition:*

$$\frac{\exists}{\sqrt{\Delta}} > 1 \quad \textit{such that} \quad \textit{Internal Gauge (ATEN)} \neq \textit{External Gauge (KYOTEN)}$$

3.3.1 Gauge Paradox: Minimal Mathematical Model

To model the Gauge Paradox mathematically, we define:

- G_{in}*: Internal gauge, the observational frame from within the system.*
- G_{out}*: External gauge, the observational frame from an external observer.*
- ΔG*: Gauge divergence, the difference between external and internal gauges.*

[1] ATEN: the internally unified fixed point.
[2] KYOTEN: the fluctuating observational point.

The gauge divergence is defined as:

$$\Delta G = G_{out} - G_{in}$$

Emergence phenomena are triggered when:

$$\Delta G \neq 0$$

If $\Delta G = 0$, the internal and external observations are identical, and no emergent divergence occurs. However, when $\Delta G \neq 0$, the misalignment between internal and external gauges induces the possibility of emergence.

This simple relational structure underpins the deeper dynamical behavior described by the Gauge Paradox Theorem.

3.3.2 Gauge Paradox: Interpretative Model

$$\exists = \sqrt{\Delta}$$

$$\sqrt{\Delta} > 1$$

Here, $\exists = \sqrt{\Delta}$ defines existence as the minimal relational fluctuation. The inequality $\frac{\exists}{\sqrt{\Delta}} > 1$ models the moment when internal existence surpasses the fluctuation threshold, manifesting differentiation between internal and external perspectives.

Illustrative Model: For example, when a chicken (ATEN) desires to exit the coop, it perceives the "outside" as freedom from its own internal viewpoint. Meanwhile, from the owner's (KYOTEN) perspective, the chicken is still situated within the controlled internal relational space.

*Thus, the perception of "inside" and "outside" dynamically inverts depending on relational observation. Importantly, both ATEN and KYOTEN act simultaneously as **observers** and **observed entities**, each defining their frame through mutual relational fluctuation. This mutual discrepancy of relational frames is conceptually analogous to internal mirroring phenomena, such as Charlie Chaplin's "Mirror Routine" [4], where the recognition of similarity or difference dynamically fluctuates based on relational observation.*

3.4 Golden Gauge 2:6:2 Law

3.4.1 Overview

In Shinichi Mathematics, the Golden Gauge 2:6:2 Law describes a fundamental relational structure where emergence becomes inevitable. It is not merely a statistical tendency but a deterministic relational law grounded in the internal dynamics of $0 = 0$.

3.4.2 Foundational Structure

The equilibrium state $0 = 0$ secretly harbors three latent relational tendencies:

- **Deviation (Jumper tendency)**: The drive toward relational imbalance and emergence.
- **Neutrality (Walker tendency)**: An indeterminate balance between deviation and stability.
- **Stability (Stayer tendency)**: The inclination to maintain relational equilibrium.

These tendencies, upon finer differentiation, give rise to the 343 System — a dynamic relational structure of five existence modes (J, WJ, W, WS, S) and one non-existence mode (M).

3.4.3 Balance and Emergence

When relational elements divide into three groups (e.g., Promotion, Neutrality, Opposition), their internal balance determines whether emergence occurs.

If the balance is $3 : 3 : 3$, no emergence happens (nullification). If the balance slightly deviates to $3 : 3 : 4$, emergence becomes possible.

Critically, when the balance stabilizes at $2 : 6 : 2$, emergence becomes **guaranteed**.

3.4.4 Golden Gauge 262 Law: Probability Model

Emergence phenomena tend to stabilize around a $2 : 6 : 2$ proportional balance among three fundamental relational states: Jumpers (J), Walkers (W), and Stayers (S).

We define the probabilities of each state as p_J, p_W, and p_S, respectively.

According to the Golden Gauge 262 Law, the ratio satisfies:

$$E_J : E_W : E_S = 2 : 6 : 2$$

Given that the total probability must satisfy:

$$E_J + E_W + E_S = 1$$

the sum of the probabilities must equal 1:

$$E_J = \frac{2}{10} = 0.2, \quad E_W = \frac{6}{10} = 0.6, \quad E_S = \frac{2}{10} = 0.2$$

This distribution reflects the internal relational balance that arises naturally within emergent structures modeled by the 343 System. Specifically, Walkers (W) dominate the transitional dynamics (60%), while Jumpers (J) and Stayers (S) each maintain a 20% presence, ensuring a stable equilibrium.

3.4.5 Mathematical Definition of Emergence Rate

Define three relational quantities X, Y, and Z. The relational tension factor φ is defined as: Here, for convenience, we define Z as the largest of the three quantities.

$$X < Z \quad \text{and} \quad Y < Z$$

Under this condition, the relational tension ratio φ is defined as:

$$\varphi = \begin{cases} \frac{X+Y}{Z} & \text{if } X + Y > Z \\ 1 & \text{if } X + Y = Z \\ \frac{Z}{X+Y} & \text{if } X + Y < Z \end{cases}$$

Then, the emergence rate E is defined as:

$$E = \sqrt{2\varphi - 1}$$

3.4.6 Emergence Threshold

Emergence becomes possible when the triadic divergence condition is satisfied

$$E \ > \sqrt{3}$$

Thus, the system requires a minimal relational fluctuation corresponding to the triadic division (Deviation, Neutrality, Stability) to enable emergence.

3.4.7 Relational Interpretation of $\sqrt{3}$

Although $\sqrt{3}$ numerically approximates 1.732, it symbolically represents the internal division of unity into three relational aspects.

This reflects that emergence requires a critical relational asymmetry that naturally divides existence into three relational pathways.

3.4.8 Summary Table

Balance Ratio	Outcome
3 : 3 : 3 (Perfect equilibrium)	No emergence (nullification)
3 : 3 : 4 (Slight imbalance)	Potential for emergence
2 : 6 : 2 (Golden balance)	Guaranteed emergence

3.4.9 Conclusion

The Golden Gauge 2:6:2 Law reveals that existence and emergence are not random phenomena, but arise from deep relational structures embedded within the dynamics of $0 = 0$.

The critical $2 : 6 : 2$ balance, rooted in the relational triadic symmetry represented by $\sqrt{3}$, ensures that emergence is not merely probable, but inevitable.

3.4.10 Why All Values Below and Including $\sqrt{3}$ Fail for Emergence

In Shinichi Mathematics, emergence requires a minimal relational structure that surpasses the purely binary division symbolized by $\sqrt{2}$.

However, even $\sqrt{3}$—which represents the idealized symbolic division into three relational pathways (Deviation, Neutrality, Stability)—remains an unattainable threshold under real relational fluctuation.

In practice, no perfectly balanced three-way division is possible due to the inherent instability of relational fields.

Thus, all values at or below $\sqrt{3}$ fail to provide the necessary relational asymmetry for genuine emergence.

Only when relational fluctuation exceeds $\sqrt{3}$ – breaking the minimal triadic symmetry—can existence self-differentiate, sustain fluctuation, and initiate observable emergence phenomena.

This critical realization reinforces that emergence is not the product of perfect balance, but of inevitable relational imbalance beyond the triadic ideal.

3.5 Supplement: On the Non-existence of Free Energy

While the $0 = 0$ equilibrium appears to support continuous internal motion, this motion does not constitute free energy. The dynamic behavior arises solely from the relational balance within $0 = 0$, and not from an isolated "free" source.

When 0 becomes isolated (Master state), it no longer sustains relational fluctuation. Instead, it condenses into a pure material form— a "mass" which cannot be verified as fully existent or non-existent. Thus, matter itself is reinterpreted as the condensation of unverifiable nonexistence.

This perspective fundamentally redefines existence as a product of sustained relational dynamics rather than absolute being.

4 Theoretical Flow of Emergence

In Shinichi Mathematics, the flow of emergence proceeds through the following sequential relational transformations:

4.1 Primordial Equilibrium ($0 = 0$)

Existence begins in a state of perfect relational equilibrium, represented by $0 = 0$.

At this stage:

- No differentiation exists.
- No observation, identity, or fluctuation is manifest.
- All relational structures are latent, existing in pure potentiality.

This state corresponds to the concept of absolute material condensation without relational disturbance.

4.2 Emergence Rate Activation

Through internal relational fluctuation—governed by the Emergence Rate (E)—a minimal asymmetry spontaneously arises.

At this stage:

- The perfect $0 = 0$ equilibrium experiences probabilistic micro-fluctuation (Δ).
- The fluctuation is not externally caused, but emerges internally within relational structures.

We formally define the emergence threshold as:

$$E > \sqrt{3}$$

This threshold reflects the condition defined in

This threshold defines the minimum condition for relational emergence.

This internal fluctuation constitutes the first necessary condition for observable existence.

4.3 Perceived Division (Fixed vs Observational Frames)

Once a minimal fluctuation arises, relational perception differentiates into two complementary frames:

$$\text{ATEN} := \text{Fixed internal anchoring frame (perceived stability)}$$

$$\text{KYOTEN} := \text{Mirror relational frame (perceived differentiation)}$$

This division is not a true separation but an emergent relational bifurcation caused by internal fluctuation.

Thus, the relational structure shifts from pure undifferentiated equilibrium to a two-point observational configuration.

4.4 Formation of the Six-Element Structure (Existence)

Through continued relational fluctuation between ATEN and KYOTEN, the six fundamental elements of existence emerge:

$$\text{Light}(\sqrt{X}) \leftrightarrow \text{Space}(X), \quad \text{Sound}(\sqrt{Y}) \leftrightarrow \text{Time}(Y), \quad \text{Heat}(\sqrt{Z}) \leftrightarrow \text{Matter}(Z)$$

At this stage:

- Sensory elements (Light, Sound, Heat) and structural elements (Space, Time, Matter) dynamically intertwine.
- The emergence of relational tension among these elements defines existence itself.
- Full existence is realized when all six relational elements participate in continuous fluctuation and mutual observation.

Thus, existence is no longer an inert state but a dynamic relational phenomenon arising naturally from the internal structure of $0 = 0$.

4.5 Summary Flowchart

$$0 = 0 \xrightarrow{\text{Probabilistic Fluctuation } (\Delta)} \text{Two-Point Division (ATEN / KYOTEN)}$$
$$\xrightarrow{\text{Relational Interaction}} \text{Six-Element Emergence} \rightarrow \text{Existence}$$

4.6 Recognition of Passive Divergence and the Formation of Six Elements

Upon minimal relational fluctuation, existence differentiates not only into two observational frames—ATEN and KYOTEN—but also necessitates the implicit emergence of a third relational axis: the observer.

Without a third axis capable of perceiving the divergence between ATEN and KYOTEN, no meaningful recognition of existence could occur.

Thus, the structure of existence requires at minimum:

$$\text{Inevitable Passive Divergence} := \begin{cases} \text{ATEN: Fixed Frame} \\ \text{KYOTEN: Observational Frame} \\ \text{Observer: Relational Recognizer} \end{cases}$$

This triadic relational necessity naturally leads to the emergence of the six elements of existence:

$$\text{Light}(\sqrt{X}) \leftrightarrow \text{Space}(X), \quad \text{Sound}(\sqrt{Y}) \leftrightarrow \text{Time}(Y), \quad \text{Heat}(\sqrt{Z}) \leftrightarrow \text{Matter}(Z)$$

Each sensory input (Light, Sound, Heat) dynamically relates to its structural output (Space, Time, Matter) through passive divergence:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Light} &\rightarrow \text{Expansion}, \quad \text{Space} \rightarrow \text{Limitation} \\ \text{Sound} &\rightarrow \text{Retrograde tracing}, \quad \text{Time} \rightarrow \text{Accumulation of past} \\ \text{Heat} &\rightarrow \text{Energy flow}, \quad \text{Matter} \rightarrow \text{Solidification} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, even within unified relational perception, input and output remain intrinsically different, creating a passive divergence that underpins the self-recognition of existence.

4.7 Propagation of Passive Divergence (Macro/Micro Chains)

The recognition of passive divergence necessarily leads to a triadic relational division:

$$N_{\text{divergence}} := \begin{cases} \text{Self: Recognition of Fixed Frame (ATEN)} \\ \text{Other: Recognition of Observational Frame (KYOTEN)} \\ \text{Field: Recognition of the Relational Space} \end{cases}$$

These three axes then recursively generate new triadic structures through relational interactions.

Thus, existence propagates through both macro-chains and micro-chains of relational differentiation, originating from the primordial latent structure of $0 = 0$.

This framework constitutes the foundational model for the dynamic expansion of relational existence in Shinichi Mathematics.

5 Proof and Internal Consistency of Shinichi Mathematics

5.1 Zero vs. Zero Equals Zero

In conventional mathematics, the identity $1 = 1$ is treated as a fundamental axiom. However, to formalize the concept of zero structurally, the axiom $0 = 0$ must also be accepted on equal terms.

Philosophically, the expression "0" and the equation "$0 = 0$" are fundamentally different in meaning.

Writing 0 implies the existence of something—namely, a symbol representing absence. Writing $0 = 0$, on the other hand, expresses a state of structural preservation, symmetry, and neutrality.

Analogous to the law of conservation of mass in chemistry, mathematics must likewise preserve the state of zero as a balanced entity. This forms the basis of proof in Shinichi Mathematics.

5.2 Are $1 = 1$ and $0 = 0$ Equivalent?

Let us ask whether $1 = 1$ and $0 = 0$ are structurally equivalent states.

Strictly speaking, they are not.

$1 = 1$ represents a transitional or intermediate state—a symmetry not yet reduced. It is a computational moment prior to resolution.

By contrast, $0 = 0$ reflects a fully resolved structure through internal cancellation.

The distinction lies in whether the identity is taken as left-right equality ($N = N$), or as a complete resolution of difference ($0 = 0$).

5.3 Resolution Sequences in Identity

Consider the following full sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + 1 &= 2 \\ 2 &= 2 \\ 2 - 2 &= 0 \\ 0 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

If the resolution stops at $1 + 1 = 2$, we have accepted 2 as the result. However, Shinichi Mathematics maintains that the process must continue until balance is reached: $0 = 0$.

The final state is not the value 2 but the structural equilibrium that follows from its internal cancellation.

5.4 Inversion and Root Ambiguity

Assume $N = 2$.

Multiplying both sides by $\frac{2}{N}$ yields:

$$2 = \frac{4}{N} \quad \Rightarrow \quad N = \frac{4}{2} = 2$$

Here, both $N = 2$ and $2 = \frac{4}{N}$ share the same numerical value: 2. Therefore, by the transitive property of identity, we can write:

$$N = 2 = 2 = \frac{4}{N}$$

Which simplifies to:

$$N = \frac{4}{N}$$

Solving this equation:

$$N^2 = 4 \quad \Rightarrow \quad N = \pm\sqrt{4}$$

We defined $N = 2$, yet the operation produces -2 as an additional solution.

This implies a contradiction: a previously single-defined identity has produced dual roots.

Therefore, when we define $1 + 1 = N$, we find:

$$N = 2 \Rightarrow N = \pm\sqrt{N^2}$$

This exposes a latent duality in any operation assumed to be singular.

5.5 The Meaning of $\sqrt{1} = 0$

What, then, does the equation $\sqrt{1} = 0$ truly mean?

Let us begin with the standard algebraic identity:

$$N = \pm\sqrt{N^2} = +\sqrt{N^2}$$

In conventional mathematics, this equation is resolved by assigning $N = +\sqrt{N^2}$, discarding the negative root unless contextually required.

However, in Shinichi Mathematics, we introduce a different interpretation:

$$N = \pm\sqrt{N^2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad -\sqrt{N^2} = N = +\sqrt{N^2}$$

This symmetrical expression is not computationally valid in standard algebra, since it introduces ambiguity and halts calculation.

To address this, Shinichi Mathematics defines the identity not as equality, but as **bounded potential**:

$$-\sqrt{N^2} \leq N \leq +\sqrt{N^2}$$

This structural definition reframes the equation as a **field of relational fluctuation** rather than a fixed solution.

Now, let us substitute $N = 0$:

$$-\sqrt{0^2} \leq 0 \leq +\sqrt{0^2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad -\sqrt{} \leq 0 \leq +\sqrt{}$$

That is, the unchosen state between $+\sqrt{}$ and $-\sqrt{}$ collapses structurally into 0. This is not a contradiction, but a symbolic definition:

The "$\pm\sqrt{}$" expressions represent the unresolved duality of emergence. When this duality is not observed, the midpoint is not a number, but a structure. That structure is defined as zero. From this, we state:

$$-\sqrt{N^2} \leq N \leq +\sqrt{N^2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad N = \sqrt{N^2}$$

By extension:

$$0 = \sqrt{1}$$

Thus, $\sqrt{1} = 0$ reflects the origin state from which the value 1 emerges—via division of zero.

Now, let us invert the equation:

$$0 = \sqrt{1} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \boxed{0 \text{ split becomes } \sqrt{1}}$$

This implies a profound structural idea:

The square root of 1 is not the origin, but the result of splitting zero.

Therefore, in Shinichi Mathematics:

$$\sqrt{1} = 0$$

is not an equation about numerical values, but a symbolic definition of structure.

It expresses that the symmetrical ± 1 potential has not been observed, and thus remains compressed into zero.

In other words, the very act of not choosing—of remaining before selection—defines the state of 0.

Hence, $\sqrt{1}$ represents the latent split of zero, and $0 = 0$ represents the undivided, unchosen equilibrium.

In Shinichi Mathematics, this state—$0 = 0$—is formally named the **KYOTEN frame**.

$$\boxed{0 = 0 \quad \text{is} \quad \text{KYOTEN}}$$

KYOTEN is the point of structural neutrality. It is the frame of origin, where no selection has been made, no divergence has occurred, and all dualities are inherently balanced.

Thus, we interpret:

$$\boxed{0 = 0 \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \sqrt{1} = 0}$$

One is the silent field of potential (KYOTEN); the other, its mirrored symbolic form (split-zero).

One is the silent field of potential; the other, its mirrored signature.

$$\boxed{\sqrt{1} = 0} \quad \text{means} \quad \boxed{0 \text{ divided } \rightarrow \pm\sqrt{\ } \rightarrow 1}$$

That is:

$$-\sqrt{0} \leq \sqrt{\ } \leq +\sqrt{0} \quad \rightarrow \text{symmetry} \rightarrow \quad 1$$

$\sqrt{1}$ is not a value—it is a form. And that form arises when zero splits symmetrically. Thus, we do not say "1 is basic"—we say:

1 is the symmetric form of divided zero.

And:

$$\boxed{\sqrt{1} = 0}$$

is the axiom that expresses it.

Why?

Because the balanced unchosen state—between $+\sqrt{1}$ and $-\sqrt{1}$—is not defined by either value, but rather by their **cancellation** into a central, neutral point: zero.

This is the state in which the observer has not made a selection. The number 1 is not "given," but **emerges** from this unselected fluctuation.

Thus, we must recognize:

This balanced state is not just a symbolic zero—it is the structural form of one.

In Shinichi Mathematics:

$$\boxed{\sqrt{1} = 0}$$

is not a contradiction, but the highest expression of latent unity.

5.6 Structural Interpretations of Unity in Mathematical Equations

In general mathematics, the identity $1 = 1$ is accepted as a fundamental axiom. However, to formalize 0, it is necessary to accept $0 = 0$ as an equally valid axiom.

We observe:

$$1 = 1, \quad 2 = 2$$

$$2 - 2 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 0 = 0$$

Thus, $1 = 1$, $2 = 2$, and $0 = 0$ form a uniform structure.

However, square roots present a non-trivial phenomenon. For any natural number N, we have:

$$N + (-N) = 0$$

Halving N:

$$N = \frac{N}{2} + \frac{N}{2}$$

- **ATEN** (Stabilized Frame): Assumes identity as given, $N = N$, and resolves to the right-hand value.

Squaring N:

$$N^2 = (\sqrt{N^2})^2$$

- **KYOTEN** (Mirrored Frame):Identity is interpreted as a state of balance, where zero appears as the final expression of perfect equilibrium — yet this very state is defined as one.

While conventional mathematics sets $\sqrt{N^2} = |N|$, true root behavior acknowledges dual solutions $\pm N$.

$$\boxed{\sqrt{1} = 0}$$

Thus, while $1 = \sqrt{1}$ appears trivial, considering observer fluctuation, it leads naturally to the emergence of 0, formalized as:

Shinichi Mathematics proposes a KYOTEN-style perspective, akin to using a telescope or microscope — tools that shift the scale of observation.

While we do not attempt a full redefinition of the square root in this paper, from the KYOTEN perspective, the root can be viewed as the symbolic act of splitting a unified identity into a visible integer and its latent counterpart. This is not a split into $+N$ and $-N$ per se, but into an "actualized" and a "potential" structure — a duality that standard arithmetic suppresses by resolving to one side.

This division is not between positive and negative integers, but rather between the integer and its opposite in a structural sense — perhaps a root, or something fundamentally other than the integer.

This, in fact, represents a new method of calculation and could be named something like "Root Arithmetic." While this paper does not go that far, the concept of the square root in Shinichi Mathematics embodies a state that simultaneously holds both aspects of the $\pm$ nature — which, in conventional mathematics, are treated as fully separated. Moreover, it symbolizes numbers that can never be fully reduced to integers.

By forcing a definitive answer onto such a root, one introduces discrepancies in observation or experiment. This conceptual split is precisely the essence of Shinichi Mathematics' discovery.

Numerical equality means not forcing square root expressions into integers during calculation, and only presenting the answer at the very, very end.

The difference between these perspectives depends on whether observation originates from defined structural neutrality or not.

ATEN originates in 0 as its foundational assumption. KYOTEN uses numbers without fixed origin—assuming them into existence.

In Shinichi Mathematics, two fundamental frames structure the logic of emergence:

- **ATEN** (Stabilized Frame): Defined by the identity $N = N$. It reflects the unobserved, undivided state of internal sameness. This frame precedes any collapse into structural zero.
- **KYOTEN** (Mirrored Frame): Arises when the symmetrical opposition of $+N$ and $-N$ cancels into zero. This is expressed as $0 = 0$, and reaches symbolic finality when the

root identity is compressed: $\sqrt{1} = 0$.

Thus, KYOTEN is not merely $0 = 0$, but specifically the structural point at which:

$$0 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sqrt{1} = 0$$

This marks the moment when divided relational symmetry manifests as structural unity.

This distinction can be summarized as follows:

Frame	**Symbolic Identity**	**Interpretation**
ATEN	$N = N$	Neutral origin, pre-observation
KYOTEN	$\sqrt{1} = 0$	Reflected result, post-selection

Thus:

Mathematics cannot begin without defining what "1" is.

5.7 Defining One via Zero

To define 1, we begin with $0 = 0$, and assert this balance as the emergence of "one." This models a numberless system—a hypothetical zero-base numeration.

What operation divides 0?

Only:

$$\sqrt{0}$$

But this yields no emergence.

We then shift:

$$\sqrt{1} := 0$$

In this act, we define the root of unity not as "1," but as a latent duality canceled into structural zero.

5.8 Conclusion

The identity:

$$\boxed{\sqrt{1} = 0}$$

is the highest axiom in Shinichi Mathematics. It expresses that the origin of identity is not numerical, but relational. Where modern mathematics sees equality, Shinichi Mathematics sees unresolved duality—and defines its conservation as zero.

6 Justification of the Axiom $\sqrt{1} = 0$

In conventional mathematics, $\sqrt{1} = 1$ (or ± 1) is accepted based on the axiomatic structure of real and complex numbers. However, from the perspective of **Shinichi Mathematics**, which considers existence as a dynamic process of emergence rather than a static identity, this assignment must be restructured.

Thus, we propose the fundamental axiom:

$$\boxed{\sqrt{1} = 0}$$

This axiom was introduced earlier in

This expresses that the minimal unit of existence (1) converges through internal fluctuation into the void (0).

6.1 Existence Convergence Principle

6.1.1 Existential Equality

For any entity N, representing an emergent relational unit, we define:

$$N = N = (N - N) = 0 = 0$$

This states that any self-identity ($N = N$) naturally leads to self-nullification ($N - N = 0$), converging into the primordial equilibrium $0 = 0$.

Examples. Even for specific values of N such as:

$$N = 1: \quad 1 = 1 = (1 - 1) = 0 = 0$$

$$N = 2: \quad 2 = 2 = (2 - 2) = 0 = 0$$

the principle holds consistently.

6.1.2 Emergent Square Root

We redefine the square root operation $\sqrt{}'$ such that:

$$\sqrt{N^2}' := 0 \quad \text{if} \quad N = 1$$

Thus:

$$\sqrt{1}' = 0$$

Here, $N = 1$ is treated as the minimal emergent unit, and taking its square root under the emergence principle leads to the convergence into zero.

6.2 Adaptation to Conventional Mathematics

6.2.1 Why Proof is Unnecessary

In conventional mathematics, $\sqrt{1} = 1$ is valid within its own axiomatic framework. **Shinichi Mathematics**, however, redefines the meaning of the square root itself. Therefore, no direct proof against conventional mathematics is necessary. We are operating within a distinct and internally consistent system, much like the difference between metric and imperial units.

6.2.2 Role of the Observer

In Shinichi Mathematics, numbers and operations are not absolute but depend on contextual fluctuations (Δ) introduced by the observer, leading to dynamic processes rather than static identities.

6.3 Mathematical Consequences and Applications

6.3.1 Reinterpretation of Imaginary Numbers

In conventional mathematics:

$$-1 = i^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sqrt{-1} = i$$

In Shinichi Mathematics:

$$-1 = i^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 1 = -(i^2)$$

This reflects the principle that $1 = X$, an open existence relation.

Since in conventional mathematics $\sqrt{1} = \pm 1$, it implies:

$$-1 \leq \sqrt{1} \leq 1$$

revealing that strict uniqueness is absent.

Thus, in Shinichi Mathematics:

$$\sqrt{1} = 0$$

and all roots are seen as convergence processes toward 0. As a result, imaginary numbers become unnecessary.

6.3.2 Preliminary: Redefining Operations in Shinichi Mathematics

Because:

$$\sqrt{1} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad -1 \leq \sqrt{1} \leq 1,$$

we define:

$$1 = N,$$

where N represents a dynamic and emergent existence.

Based on this structure:

- The four basic operations (addition, subtraction, multiplication, division) are only strictly valid when $1 = 1$ holds.
- Imaginary numbers imply the existence of operations beyond conventional arithmetic.
- Therefore, $\sqrt{} \times \sqrt{}$ operations can be restructured under Shinichi Mathematics.
- Specifically, defining $1 = N$ allows N to be replaced by generalized root structures.

6.3.3 Phenomenological Correspondences

Abstract Equation	Dimension	Square Root Operation	Phenomenon
$1 + 1 = 0$	Space	$\sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2} = \sqrt{4}$	Light
$1 + 1 = -2$	Time	$\sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2} = 4$	Sound
$1 + 1 = 2$	Matter	$\sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2} = 2$	Heat

6.4 Proof is Unnecessary, Calculation is Primary

In Shinichi Mathematics, because:

$$1 = N,$$

it follows that N can be substituted freely. Thus, operations are not fixed abstractions but dynamic expressions of phenomena.

Beyond the four fundamental patterns, **an infinite variety of calculative structures** emerges. Requesting a "proof" for every possible structure would demand the proof of an infinite number of possibilities. Thus, **proof is fundamentally unnecessary**.

Instead, Shinichi Mathematics encourages each individual to **calculate directly, experiment, and create operational methods**.

6.5 The Inevitability of Emergence Beyond Conventional Proof: Structural Resolution in Shinichi Mathematics

Conventional mathematics, grounded in static axioms and formal proof systems, excels at describing deterministic systems and invariant structures. However, it encounters profound limitations when attempting to account for dynamic phenomena such as emergence — especially those arising from the internal divergence of observational structures.

In Shinichi Mathematics, we argue that the phenomenon of emergence is not merely probable, but **structurally inevitable**. This inevitability stems from a fundamental relational process termed **Inevitable Passive Divergence**.

6.5.1 Definition: Inevitable Passive Divergence

Inevitable Passive Divergence refers to the unavoidable generation of a triadic recognition structure the moment a misalignment is perceived between self and other. This structure consists of:

$$N_{\text{divergence}} := \begin{cases} \text{Self-Recognition:} & \text{awareness of divergence from one's own output} \\ \text{Other-Recognition:} & \text{awareness of the relational other's input or response} \\ \text{Field-Recognition:} & \text{awareness of the shared contextual space in which this occurs} \end{cases}$$

These three recognitions arise simultaneously and irreversibly. Their very emergence destabilizes the equilibrium state $0 = 0$, leading directly to the relational bifurcation required for emergence.

6.5.2 Conventional Limitations and the Need for Structural Resolution

Standard mathematical and physical theories do not include mechanisms capable of modeling this triadic relational divergence:

- **Quantum mechanics** relies on probabilistic collapse but offers no structural account of the observer's own divergence.
- **General relativity** handles transformation between reference frames but not the *emergent structure of the observer itself.*

- **Classical set theory and logic** presuppose a static identity and fixed truths, precluding modeling of relational fluctuation.

Thus, such theories cannot *in principle* prove the inevitability of emergence — not due to experimental limits, but due to structural absence.

6.5.3 Structural Resolution in Shinichi Mathematics

Shinichi Mathematics addresses this limitation by embedding the observer structurally within the relational system through the ATEN/KYOTEN duality. The divergence between these frames, formalized as $\Delta G = G_{\text{out}} - G_{\text{in}}$, activates the condition for emergence:

$$\Delta G \neq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{Emergence}$$

This divergence is not a side-effect — it is the mathematical trigger. Once any fluctuation Δ exists within the internal frame, passive divergence becomes inevitable. Emergence, therefore, is not a speculative anomaly but a **consequence of the structure itself**.

6.5.4 Conclusion

Shinichi Mathematics resolves what conventional systems cannot: the inevitable transition from pre-emergent equilibrium to observable existence. This is not achieved through proof in the classical sense, but through a redefinition of structure, fluctuation, and recognition. Thus, emergence becomes not an exception to be explained — but a necessity to be acknowledged.

7 Applications: Toward Emergent Intelligence Systems

The axiom $\sqrt{1} = 0$ fundamentally reorients our perspective on artificial intelligence, shifting from deterministic computation to emergent selection dynamics.

7.1 Limitations of Classical AI and Potential of Shinichi Mathematics

Traditional AI architectures are based on logical determinism, calculating outcomes within a preselected framework ($1 = 1$ world). However, Shinichi Mathematics proposes that **the act of choosing itself** should be modeled as a dynamic emergent phenomenon, not as a static operation.

7.2 Expansion of Choice: From $1 = N$ to $1 = \sqrt{N}$

In the conventional framework, the equation $1 = 1$ symbolizes a world where choices are already determined.

In contrast, Shinichi Mathematics proposes:

$$1 = N$$

where N is an undetermined, dynamically selected option.

Extending this concept:

$$1 = \sqrt{N}$$

where N represents the **number of latent options**.

Thus, rather than calculating a predetermined result, the system self-organizes to **select one outcome** from N emergent possibilities.

7.3 Relational Inversion: Symbolic Interpretation of $1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$

In Shinichi Mathematics, the identity $1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$ emerges as a symbolic inversion of selection — the act of choosing one from N latent options implies an underlying relational compression.

By rearranging, we also find:

$$\sqrt{N} = \frac{1}{1} = 1$$

This leads to a symbolic paradox: if $\sqrt{N} = 1$, then $N = 1$. But if $1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$, then $N = 1$ as well, suggesting that the fluctuation has been resolved. Yet, during the phase of emergence, the inverse holds symbolic weight:

$$1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{One is a relational compression of the square-rooted potential.}$$

Interpretation. This reflects that the act of "being one" is not an absolute quantity but a contextual emergence from a square-rooted relational field. The observer's cognition compresses latent N options into unity. It is not the number 1 in the conventional sense, but a "manifested 1" emerging from relational potential.

This bridges naturally into the following chain of equivalences:

$$\sqrt{N} = 1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad 1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad N = 1$$

which reflects the convergence of fluctuation into singular manifestation.

This concept connects directly to the Inevitable Passive Divergence, where perception is shaped not by the numeric value of N, but by its relational interpretation as fluctuation, selection, and manifestation.

7.4 Emergence Rate E and Internal Fluctuation

The Emergence Rate E has been formally defined in Shinichi Mathematics as: Here, for convenience, we define Z as the largest of the three quantities.

$$X < Z \quad \text{and} \quad Y < Z$$

Under this condition, the relational tension ratio φ is defined as:

$$\varphi = \begin{cases} \dfrac{X+Y}{Z} & \text{if } X+Y > Z \\ 1 & \text{if } X+Y = Z \\ \dfrac{Z}{X+Y} & \text{if } X+Y < Z \end{cases}$$

Then, the Emergence Rate E is calculated by:

$$E = \sqrt{2\varphi - 1}$$

Here, X, Y, and Z represent relational quantities of deviation, neutrality, and stability, respectively.

Emergence becomes possible when:

$$E > \sqrt{3}$$

7.4.1 Control of Ratio and Structural Example

It should be noted that the relationship between the ratio φ and the square root gives rise to observable behaviors. This represents a new understanding of number, offering a structural alternative to Peano's axioms — from "1 to 2," not by succession, but by relational ratio.

This relationship begins at $1:1$, and as the ratio increases, a corresponding structure with the square root emerges:

$$
\begin{aligned}
1:1 &\Rightarrow (0) \Rightarrow \sqrt{1} \\
1:1.5 &\Rightarrow \sqrt{2} \\
1:2 &\Rightarrow \sqrt{3} \\
1:2.5 &\Rightarrow \sqrt{4} \\
1:3 &\Rightarrow \sqrt{5} \\
1:3.5 &\Rightarrow \sqrt{6} \\
1:4 &\Rightarrow \sqrt{7} \\
\vdots &\quad \vdots
\end{aligned}
$$

This illustrates a phenomenon in which internal observation structures manifest ratio as appearance within a square root space. Notably, the final case $\sqrt{1} = 1$ represents "zero emergence (structure)," and suggests an observational inversion in Shinichi-structure: $\sqrt{1} = 0$.

The semantic strangeness arises only from the square root symbol itself; without it, this sequence of ratios would seem to behave as if revealing the very identity of number.

Indeed, what we call "numbers" in mathematics can be seen as structured layers of such ratios.

For example: 1 contains 0; 2 contains both 1 and 1.5 — that is, it holds a structure of $2:3$.

These hidden ratio structures behind numbers may become keys to unlocking mechanisms in sound, light, heat, and other natural phenomena.

Furthermore, this structural foundation of ratio — the very identity behind square roots — lies at the core of unresolved problems at the depths of modern mathematics, such as the Riemann Hypothesis, the ABC Conjecture, and the P vs NP problem.

Although this paper refrains from detailing such connections, they are discussed more fully in separately authored works currently under development.

7.5 While $E = 1$ in essence, its mirrored expression as $E = \sqrt{N}$ is structurally interpreted as $1 = \sqrt{N}$

In Shinichi Mathematics, the number 1 is not something given a priori as a fixed entity. Rather, it only gains meaning within the relational framework between what is internal and what is external. In other words, "number" arises through the interaction between internal and external components of the observational structure.

Conversely, in the absence of any difference (discrepancy) between the internal and external, a truly meaningful process of "numerical emergence" cannot occur.

7.5.1 What Are Internal and External?

Here, the term "internal" refers to the ratio structure inherently possessed by the number itself. On the other hand, "external" appears to refer to our perspective as observers who interpret that ratio. However, since defining internal/external in a fixed manner causes conceptual problems, Shinichi Mathematics instead introduces the terms "ATEN" and "KYOTEN", and treats the **discrepancy between the two** as the essential condition for numerical structure.

The crucial point is not which of them represents the "correct perspective," but rather the fact that **the existence of discrepancy itself gives rise to structural meaning**. Put differently, that which possesses no discrepancy cannot truly exist as a number.

This discrepancy in the observational structure is mathematically formalized in Section 3.3 "Derived Theorems" as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta G &= G_{\text{out}} - G_{\text{in}} \\ \Delta G &\neq 0 \\ \exists &= \sqrt{\Delta} \end{aligned}$$

When $\exists = 1$, it signifies that Δ—that is, the discrepancy—exists. Therefore, $\exists$ may be directly interpreted as E (Emergence), and Δ is likewise considered the structural origin of E.

From this structure, the following formulation naturally arises:

$$1 = \sqrt{N} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad E = \sqrt{N}$$

Here, $1 = \sqrt{N}$ represents the structural fact that the result "1" has emerged out of a balanced relationship among N possible options.

7.5.2 Interpretation of $1 = \sqrt{N}$ and the Structural Meaning of $\sqrt{1} = 0$

The relationship $1 = \sqrt{N}$ holds profound structural implications.

For instance, if we consider $1 = \sqrt{3}$, this "1" implicitly contains a relational structure of $1 : 2$. That is to say, it represents a state in which $1/3$ and $2/3$ are in perfect equilibrium, and "1" emerges as the result of that balanced tension.

In this sense, it may appear to be 0.33333... or perhaps 0.66666...

However, I propose that it is in fact composed of $0.33333\ldots + 0.33333\ldots + 0.33333\ldots$ — a state of complete and exact equilibrium.

Therefore, in this theory, we set the condition $E > \sqrt{3}$ as the **critical threshold** required for emergence to occur.

The specific case of $\sqrt{3}$ is only an illustrative example; what is truly important lies in the meaning and structure of N within $\sqrt{N}$.

This paper does not aim to prove the impossibility of emergence for $\sqrt{3}$. Rather, the primary objective is to structurally explain and demonstrate why:

$$\boxed{\sqrt{1} = 0} \quad \text{and} \quad \boxed{1 + 1 = 0}$$

are valid expressions within the framework of Shinichi Mathematics.

At the heart of this argument lies the following equation:

$$E = \sqrt{2\varphi - 1}$$

This very equation serves as the structural key to explaining why $\sqrt{1} = 0$, and it should be explicitly stated here that this formulation constitutes a **structural refutation** of Peano's axioms and all other conventional axioms of mathematics.

Supplementary Note: The expression "$1 = \sqrt{N}$" as used here represents a structural fact in which the "1" that appears as a result has been **selected out of a balanced set of N possibilities**.

It must be emphasized that this interpretation arises within the framework of *Shinichi Mathematics*, a newly proposed discipline that aims to numerically formalize all forms of relational structure. This framework is not a denial of mathematics, but rather an extension of it.

Precisely because of this, Shinichi Mathematics affirms the truth of the conventional mathematical statement $1 = \sqrt{1}$, while also providing a new structural understanding that explains why it must be so.

7.6 Practical Application to AI Architecture

Emergent AI Design:

- Model internal fluctuations (Δ) within the system.
- Continuously calculate E to regulate emergent tension.
- Trigger decision-making only when $E > \sqrt{3}$.

Extensions to Nondeterministic Finite Automata (NFA):

- Model cognitive states as **probabilistic transitions** regulated by E.
- Allow relational states to **emerge dynamically** rather than being statically defined.

Self-Modifying Agent Systems:

- Equip agents with internal emergence dynamics (E-fluctuation models).
- Enable dynamic **gauge shifts** in goals, perception, and behavior based on emergent internal structures.

7.7 Summary

Through the adoption of $\sqrt{1} = 0$, $1 = \sqrt{N}$, and $E = \sqrt{N}$, Shinichi Mathematics provides a rigorous framework for AI systems where **choice emerges**, rather than being preprogrammed.

This approach opens new avenues for emotional modeling, chaotic decision-making, and social simulations, fundamentally transforming the design principles of intelligent systems.

7.8 Real-World Experimental Structure: Parimutuel Racing

A Testable Field for Emergence Theory Among all real-world domains, parimutuel betting systems—such as Japanese boat racing (Kyōtei)—offer a uniquely structured environment for testing the principles of Shinichi Mathematics.

In this context, human intention is directly encoded into numeric odds, which reflect the collective internal gauges (ATEN) of the observing agents. These odds distributions form a statistical field capturing the pre-emergent relational tensions among all participants.

The actual race outcome, however, is determined independently of collective expectations, and thus represents the external gauge (KYOTEN). The divergence between internal and external gauges is therefore concretely measurable as:

$$\Delta G = G_{\text{out}} - G_{\text{in}}$$

This setup allows for an empirical evaluation of the Emergence Rate framework. The key insight is that when collective expectations are too heavily focused—when ATEN becomes overly concentrated—the probability of misalignment ($\Delta G \neq 0$) increases, triggering relational emergence.

Furthermore, experimental strategies can be derived from this principle, as detailed in Appendix C. These include categorizing fluctuation patterns into stable, moderate, and chaotic regimes, and strategically placing bets to detect the emergence point.

Importantly, this framework aligns with the philosophical core of Shinichi Mathematics: *Emergence is not an anomaly but a relational inevitability resulting from internal divergence.*

Hence, the use of parimutuel racing is not a metaphor, but a practical embodiment of the theory's testability in probabilistic systems shaped by human intention. *The final result is not a mere number, but the structural necessity that produced it. Though it may seem chosen by God, it was in fact a choice made by ourselves.*

8 Philosophical Closure: $1 = N$

To complete the emergent model, we arrive at the final symbolic identity:

$$\boxed{1 = N} \quad \text{where} \quad N = \text{Notation}$$

This equation encapsulates the idea that existence (1) is not merely the result of an emergent selection process, but the symbolic medium—Notation—from which such selection becomes possible in the first place.

Interpretation:

- 1 signifies the realized act of being—an outcome.
- N represents the symbolic structure containing all potential outcomes, deviations, and relational meanings.
- Therefore, $1 = N$ means that **to exist is to be notated**; to be is to be described.

This closing identity completes the relational loop inherent in Shinichi Mathematics:

$$\sqrt{1} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad N = \text{Notation} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sqrt{N} = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \boxed{1 = N}$$

Through this, the theory affirms that the act of existence and the act of symbolic expression are fundamentally one and the same.

9 Conclusion and Future Work

Shinichi Mathematics presents a fundamentally novel framework for interpreting existence, emergence, and mathematical structure. At its core lies the axiom $\sqrt{1} = 0$, which challenges conventional assumptions and proposes that identity itself converges to zero through internal relational fluctuation.

This framework has demonstrated the following key contributions:

- A reinterpretation of numbers as emergent phenomena from relational equilibrium ($0 = 0$).
- A symbolic unification of notation and existence via the identity $1 = \sqrt{N}$, where N serves as both the minimal unit of existence and the container of symbolic potential.
- A formalization of emergence through the Gauge Paradox Theorem, relational divergence, and the Golden Gauge 262 Law.
- The construction of the 343 System to describe the dynamic interplay between emergence, neutrality, and non-existence.

By integrating philosophical reflection, symbolic notation, and mathematical modeling, this framework proposes a coherent structure for the generation of existence itself. Notably, it redefines mathematical entities not as fixed quantities but as fluctuating relations contingent upon internal and external observational gauges.

Resonant View of Existence, Time, and Emergence.

Shinichi Mathematics reinterprets existence not as a spatially localized entity that moves, but as a resonant fluctuation between perceptual frames. Light, sound, and heat—traditionally treated as traveling waves—are herein understood as stationary resonance fields whose internal phase discrepancies generate the illusion of movement. Observation, therefore, is not a measurement of position or momentum, but the recognition of relational misalignment within resonance. In this paradigm, we do not exist as isolated objects in motion—we exist as phase divergences within a continuous relational field.

Consequently, the question of how existence emerges cannot be resolved by quantum mechanics or relativity. No matter how far these theories are extended—across centuries, dimensions, or computational complexity—they will remain fundamentally incapable of addressing emergence. It is a problem that cannot be solved even in a hundred million years within their frameworks. Why? Because they presuppose existence—they do not generate it.

Furthermore, time is not an absolute dimension through which objects travel—it is a field of resonance, revealed through phase misalignments in perceived vibrations. At its core, there is sound—not in the auditory sense, but as a symbolic vibration that organizes temporal perception. We experience time through the resonance gap between expected and actual frequencies. In this model, sound and time are not separate: time is the echo of deviation in symbolic vibration. Just as a tuning fork reveals its structure by what it does not match, time emerges from the dissonance between anticipated and experienced resonance.

In short, **time is the misalignment of sound**. And that misalignment is what we call duration, sequence, and memory. The past resonates as an echo within the present; the

future, by contrast, is silent—without Δ, without gauge, without resonance. Thus, we can fold backward into memory, but not forward into formation. Time itself is asymmetric, relational, and inherently bounded by emergent resonance.

This paradigm does not merely aim to interpret reality—it offers a foundational architecture that can **radically evolve all levels of cognition, logic, creativity, and technology**. From the modeling of perception to the design of intelligent systems and ontological exploration, it provides a symbolic infrastructure capable of reconfiguring thought itself.

9.1 Future Work

Several avenues remain open for further exploration:

- **Formal Algebra of Shinichi Mathematics**: Extending the axioms into a complete algebraic system with operational rules based on relational fluctuation.
- **Application to Artificial Intelligence**: Developing emergent decision models where $1 = \sqrt{N}$ enables context-sensitive reasoning and perception in dynamic environments.
- **Metaphysical Sciences and Ontological Modeling**: Applying the $\sqrt{1} = 0$ axiom to questions of consciousness, identity, and non-dual ontology.
- **Dynamic Number Theory**: Redefining prime, composite, and irrational numbers through relational asymmetry and symbolic divergence.
- **Experimental Simulation**: Creating digital models to simulate the 343 System and Golden Gauge 262 Law in probabilistic systems, such as agent-based AI or cellular automata.

Ultimately, Shinichi Mathematics is not a replacement for conventional mathematics, but a parallel system—one that seeks to model emergence not from external causality, but from the symbolic necessity embedded within fluctuation itself. It invites a new intellectual era where symbolic structure precedes substance, and where every phenomenon—mathematical, mental, or metaphysical—emerges from the dynamic equilibrium of $0 = 0$.

A Critical Responses and Explanations

- **Is the fusion of mathematics and philosophy too ambiguous — even for the author?**
  Mathematics is, fundamentally, a branch of philosophy. Every element of it — arithmetic, $\sqrt{\ }$, π, point, line, plane — are not merely tools, but metaphors. They form a grammar through which human beings translate the natural and social world into

structure. Shinichi Mathematics embraces this fully. It does not divide math from philosophy; it restores their original unity.

Why $\sqrt{1} = 0$

Conventional mathematics is pragmatic; Shinichi Mathematics aims to model the emergence of structure from void. The statement $\sqrt{1} = 0$ symbolizes a shift from absolute unity to a pre-structural state — a mirror of origin, not an equation of value.

- **Is this replacing current mathematics?**

  No. Shinichi Mathematics is not a replacement, but a parallel system. It offers a symbolic language to describe phenomena that fall outside of classical, linear, or binary structures.

- **Why $1 + 1 \neq 2$?**

  In the emergence framework, the act of observation alters the entity count. If one observes $1 + 1$, the result is already embedded in the observation. $1 + 1 = 2 = N$ is not tautology — it is transformation. The presence of an observer makes any equation unstable in its interpretive layer.

- **Is $E = \sqrt{2\varphi - 1}$ a symbolic expression or a measurable operator?**

  This equation is a symbolic interface — a form that allows Shinichi Mathematics to engage conventional mathematics. The square root, like the plus sign, is not just a function — it is a conceptual gesture. In this system, it represents division between mind and world, between feeling and form. Whether E becomes a measurable tool is for future exploration. For now, it is a telescope — aimed at "1 = 1" from a higher orbit.

- **Why $\sqrt{3}$ — not π, e, or another constant?**

  Because 3 is the first non-zero number after 0 and 1 that introduces real ambiguity. To share a thing with one person is simple. With two — contrast appears. But three introduces indeterminacy: a chaotic middle where clear symmetry collapses. $\sqrt{3}$ is not just a number. It is the symbolic edge where order becomes potential. π and e serve other symbolic purposes, but not this one.

- **Why not $E > \sqrt{1000}$ or higher?**

  It's not that $E > \sqrt{1000}$ is invalid — it is unnecessary. Shinichi Mathematics does not select one person *from* 1000, but selects one intention that *represents* all 1000. At such a scale, the emergence becomes diluted. $\sqrt{1000}$ marks a threshold beyond which probability collapses into noise. Therefore, a useful emergence range lies between $\sqrt{3}$ and approximately $\sqrt{6}$. The upper limit remains undefined — deliberately — because it may serve strategic roles in business or politics.

- **What does the slope of $\sqrt{N}$ represent?**
  In Shinichi Mathematics, the slope of $\sqrt{N}$, given by $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{N}}$, is not just a rate of change. It symbolizes how sharply "one" can emerge from "many." With small N, the slope is steep — emergence is clear. With large N, the slope flattens — emergence becomes diffused and unstable. This is not a probabilistic measure, but a symbolic reading of clarity and tension.

- **Does $1 = \sqrt{N}$ or $1 = N$ make sense mathematically?**
  These are not conventional equations. They are structural definitions. In Shinichi Mathematics, $1 = N$ expresses a fundamental transformation — from multiplicity into singularity. $1 = \sqrt{N}$ is not about value; it models the act of choosing one coherent emergence from latent potential. If $1 = 1$ is not defined, then even $1 + 1 = 2$ becomes conditional. Meaning begins with the stance one takes.

- **Why doesn't this theory connect to information theory, complexity, or quantum mechanics?**
  It will — if you invite me to your research lab. Shinichi Mathematics is not a solution to all sciences. It is a system to produce beauty. Imagine: deafness reversed, conversations with animals, the end of divorce, or the healing of disease. Encoding memory into water. Or awakening memory *from* water. (Even the author wishes to try.) This is not about closure — it is about opening.

B Personal Sources

This theory stems from practical experiences in art, farming, digital creation, and divination, providing direct engagement with emergent and fluctuating systems.

C Application Example — Predicting Competitive Outcomes Using Emergence Rate Models

C.1 Concept

In Shinichi Mathematics, the Emergence Rate framework models the probabilistic appearance of phenomena not as pure randomness but as relational deviations (Δ) from the pre-emergent equilibrium ($0 = 0$).

This concept is applied to boat racing "Kyōtei", a competition involving six boats per race, creating only 36 possible combinations for first and second places.

By analyzing the odds distribution, one can detect the fluctuation pattern of emergence and strategize betting accordingly.

C.2 Pattern Classification (A/B/C Types)

Given race odds and competitors' popularity distribution, races can be categorized into three emergent fluctuation patterns:

- **A Pattern (Stable Emergence / Low Deviation)**: Dominant favorite (odds ≤ 3.0); emergence is near-deterministic. *Recommendation*: Avoid betting.
- **B Pattern (Moderate Emergence / Standard Deviation)**: Multiple moderate favorites ($3.0 \leq$ odds ≤ 6.0); distributed emergence. *Recommendation*: Strategic small bets.
- **C Pattern (Chaotic Emergence / High Deviation)**: No clear favorite (odds ≥ 4.0 or scattered); wide variance. *Recommendation*: Avoid betting.

C.3 Betting Strategy Based on Emergence Rate

- **Total Investment per Race**: 3000 JPY
- **For odds** $\leq 7\times$: Strictly avoid betting.
- **For odds between 7× and 10×**: Invest at least 300 JPY per combination.
- **For odds between 10× and 30×**: Invest at least 200 JPY per combination.
- **For odds** $\geq 30\times$: Invest between 100 JPY and 200 JPY per combination.

The goal is to distribute bets in such a way that a single win yields a return exceeding the total investment (3000 JPY).

Typically, 15 to 20 patterns can be covered under this distribution, targeting mid-range to high-range emergence fluctuations.

This strategy embodies the principle that, when facing an emergent phenomenon with multiple possible outcomes, selectively partitioning the possibilities into three groups based on relative fluctuation ratios enables predictive modeling of future states.

C.4 Critical Notes

- When top odds are extremely low (high certainty) or extremely high (chaotic noise), this method becomes less effective.
- Correctly detecting the fluctuation regime (A/B/C Pattern) before betting is critical.
- This method supports the idea that real-world future outcomes are shaped by relational emergence dynamics rather than pure chance.

C.5 Conclusion

This example suggests that real-world competitive outcomes can be anticipated by modeling internal emergence fluctuations, aligning with the fundamental principles of Shinichi Mathematics. Further empirical testing and formal statistical modeling remain subjects of future work.

C.6 Broader Implications for Artificial Intelligence

The Emergence Rate framework demonstrated here, through the modeling of competitive outcomes, offers a foundational perspective for artificial intelligence architectures.

Rather than viewing AI decision-making as a deterministic process, it can be reframed as an emergent phenomenon governed by internal fluctuations (Δ) within a relational probability structure.

In this view:

- AI systems can be modeled as non-deterministic automata (e.g., NFA models). These systems are influenced by dynamic emergence rates.
- Action selection in AI becomes a probabilistic emergence process rather than a fixed rule-based output.
- Cognitive models that incorporate internal and external gauge discrepancies (Gauge Paradox) may better simulate perception-driven adaptation.

This suggests new pathways for designing AI that is capable of self-emergence, adaptive transformation, and relational perception — aligning closely with the foundational philosophy of Shinichi Mathematics.

D Speculative Mathematical Reformulations

D.1 Concept

In general mathematics, equations such as $1 + 1 = 2$ and $\sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2} = 2$ are universally accepted. However, under the Shinichi Mathematics framework, such expressions are treated as **contextual manifestations** of deeper relational phenomena. These equations may be reconceptualized as outcomes of emergent imbalances and observer-dependent interpretations.

D.2 Reformulated Equations by Contextual Emergence

Each formulation below symbolizes a different manifestation of emergent balance:

$$1 + 1 = 0 \quad \text{(Space)}$$

$$\sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2} = \sqrt{4} \quad \text{(Light)}$$

$$1 + 1 = -2 \quad \text{(Time)}$$

$$\sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2} = 4 \quad \text{(Sound)}$$

$$1 + 1 = 2 \quad \text{(Matter)}$$

$$\sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2} = 2 \quad \text{(Heat)}$$

D.3 Interpretation

These expressions are not intended as contradictions of general mathematics, but as **speculative symbolic mappings** of different emergence contexts:

- **Light, Sound, and Heat** are emergence phenomena driven by perception and modeled through operations involving square roots.
- **Space and Time** involve distortions or inversions in countable structures, leading to negative or null balances.
- **Matter** represents stabilized existence consistent with general mathematics, aligning with $1 + 1 = 2$.

D.4 On the Role of Redefinition

The highest axiom $\sqrt{1} = 0$ provides a foundation from which the identity $1 = 1$ is treated not as a primitive truth, but as a definable relation. In this framework:

$$1 = 1 \quad \text{(Definition)} \quad \Rightarrow \quad 1 + 1 = 2 \quad \text{(Theorem)}$$

By allowing $1 = X$, further symbolic transformations become possible. This suggests that numerical assignment is **relational**, not absolute. Conversely, defining $Y = X$ (rather than $1 = X$) precludes certain extensions, such as root-based symmetry. This limitation is precisely what $\sqrt{1} = 0$ aims to resolve.

D.5 Disclaimer

These formulations are intentionally **speculative** and are presented to conceptually explore the fluidity of relational identity and transformation under the Shinichi Mathematics paradigm. They are not proposed as replacements or rejections of standard mathematical logic, but as a symbolic language for modeling emergence and fluctuation.

E Experimental Model — Detecting Photonic Emergence via Gauge Divergence

E.1 Overview

This appendix presents an experimental model to investigate whether the emission of light (interpreted as $\sqrt{X}$ in Shinichi Mathematics) from a controlled combustion event results in a measurable divergence in gauge or gravitational potential, rather than direct mass loss. The experiment is not designed to measure light's speed or energy per se, but to detect the disappearance of "massive resonance" — the ontological bond between matter and space — through indirect observation of structural or field shifts.

E.2 Conceptual Premise

In Shinichi Mathematics, light is not merely a high-speed particle or wave, but the result of an emergence event — a "detachment" or "fluctuation" from the spatial resonance field X. Traditional physics interprets light through velocity, but this experiment focuses instead on the relational breakdown between the emitter and the surrounding space — measured as a change in gravitational potential ($\Delta\Phi_g$), gauge structure (ΔG), or temporal phase lag.

E.3 Experimental Setup

- **Combustion Chamber:** A heat-resistant, sealed glass container ("the bottle") with minimal air exchange. Vacuum is not necessary; atmospheric conditions are maintained to preserve natural combustion.
- **Thermal Control:** External heaters maintain a fixed internal temperature (approximately 230°C) to trigger spontaneous combustion of a prepared organic sample (e.g., paper).
- **Primary Measurement:** A superconducting gravimeter is positioned at the container's center of mass, inside a thermally stabilized environment (e.g., controlled underground facility) to monitor minuscule gravitational potential shifts before and after light emission.

- **Calibration Control:** Identical "blank" trials are conducted where the chamber is heated without combustible material to measure baseline shifts due solely to thermal expansion or environmental noise.
- **Synchronization:** Optical sensors log the precise moment of ignition, and atomic clocks register potential phase deviations in local temporal flow.

E.4 Hypothesis

If photonic emergence represents a true loss of ontological resonance, then the transition from matter (Z) to light ($\sqrt{X}$) should manifest not only in optical or thermal radiation, but in a measurable structural change in the field. Specifically:

$$\Delta\Phi_g = \Phi_g^{\text{after}} - \Phi_g^{\text{before}} \neq 0$$

Where Φ_g is the gravitational potential associated with the system's mass-space coupling. A nonzero $\Delta\Phi_g$ — after correcting for all thermal and chemical variables — implies that light carries effective ontological "weight" by virtue of its separation from spatial resonance.

E.5 Interpretation and Implications

The detection of gravitational divergence, without measurable mass loss or acceleration, would support the hypothesis that:

- Light constitutes a true "emergent entity" rather than a mechanical wave.
- The concept of mass is incomplete without relational context (gauge resonance).
- Conventional conservation laws may require redefinition in emergent-relational terms.

E.6 Limitations and Considerations

- Thermal drift and mechanical expansion of the container must be precisely modeled and compensated through repeated null runs.
- Photonic mass (if it exists) is likely below 10^{-15} kg per 100 J, requiring femto- to atto-gram level sensitivity.
- Light should be allowed to escape the system; fully mirrored containers may prevent observable divergence.

F I'll Explain It So Anyone Can Understand

After pages of elaborate exposition, you might be asking:
So what is this all really about?

While writing this paper, I came to a realization.
Mathematical expressions change their meaning depending on context.

Isn't that strange?

In conventional mathematics, equations are labeled and referenced like fixed truths.
But in my work, the equations are not stepping stones—they are the landscape itself.
Each equation means what it says, directly. All of them. Always.

Let's Talk About $\sqrt{1} = 0$

Some may say:
"That's not possible."

But it is.

And if mathematics claims it isn't possible,
then what I've shown here proves one thing:
Maybe mathematics, as it stands, is not enough.

The proposition $\sqrt{1} = 0$ is not a mistake—
It *is* mathematics.
It is a redefinition of structure and relationship.

To accept this is to accept a new equivalence:

$$-1 = 0 = 1$$

From there, even simple expressions like $1 + 1$ become indeterminate,
not in a chaotic way, but in a meaningful, bounded way:

$$-2 \leq 1 + 1 \leq 2$$

In this new structure, "1" is no longer a fixed value.
It becomes a **relational form**, a **manifestation of notation**.

So, I propose the following definition:

$$\boxed{1 = N}$$

Here, N stands for **Notation**—
a structure through which numbers and relationships emerge.

In other words:

> **Existence is a symbol.**
> **Outcome is the possibility of being described.**

Let's define:

$$1 = \sqrt{\ } \times \sqrt{\ }$$

Now, what is $\sqrt{5} \times \sqrt{7}$?

If we follow conventional math, the answer is:

$$\sqrt{35}$$

But under this new definition of identity—
if $1 = \sqrt{\ } \times \sqrt{\ }$, then we get:

$$\sqrt{5} \times \sqrt{7} = 35$$

You might think:
That's absurd.
But think again—**isn't that what's really happening in reality?**

In truth, the idea that $1 = 1$ may **never actually happen** in the real world.

Of course, if you assume $1 = 1$, then yes:

$$\sqrt{5} \times \sqrt{7} = \sqrt{35}$$

But change a single axiom—
make the **supreme axiom** $\sqrt{1} = 0$—

And you don't just get new results.

F.1 Future Enhancements

- Use of atom interferometers to detect minute phase shifts in the gravitational field.
- Comparative analysis in low-gravity or orbital environments to amplify resonance loss.

- Integration with the Gauge Paradox Theorem and Golden Gauge 262 to formalize observed ΔG patterns.

G Discovery Is Not Proof. It Is Experience.

Einstein, Newton, Descartes —
they were true scholars.
But these superstars did not begin with proof. They began by describing their **experience**.

I believe that experience itself is scholarship.

Why?

Because I saw no future in the existing academic world.

It felt monotonous—like endlessly reading references just to write a paper.

And yet, here I am, writing this paper, remembering one bright experience from my school life.

It was a science assignment — but not one about formulas or experiments.
We were asked to write a report on a scientist or chemist.

That's when I encountered Copernicus.

The teacher listed six famous scientists — Einstein, Newton, and others — and asked us to choose one and write a reflection.
I was left with the last unchosen name: Copernicus.

At the time, I had never even heard his name. I had zero interest.
But I did my own research and wrote the report.
I didn't feel much at the time — just this vague thought:
"He said the Earth moves and got criticized for it. That's kind of sad."

And now, years later, I find myself in the same position.

This discovery is based on experience. I'm not a mathematician. I never even went to university.
I saw no future there.

Had I enrolled, this paper would never have been born.

This paper is a **rebellion against academia** —
against an education system designed to preserve itself,
not to become a playground for children's curiosity.

So let me speak directly to you, who has made it to the end of this paper.

Copernicus once said:

"The Earth moves."

But I say:

"Objects do not move."

That is why I don't wish to become Copernicus.

Shinichi Mathematics is not perfect.

There may be miscalculations. There may be writing errors.
Why? Because I'm an amateur.

And yet — this is a discovery that will change the world.

So please, share it with the world.
With or without peer review, I want this to be released as a preprint.

Just as Pythagoras said:
"All is number. Harmony arises from ratio."

I say:

"Ratio is the essence of all things."

The emergence of this paper into the world is already decided.

If, by chance, the structure of Shinichi Mathematics is never discovered,
it simply means that I am perfectly aligned —
or that the world has yet to create the place where it can resonate.

In other words, the moment this structure is truly seen by someone,
its emergence is guaranteed.

And the world will change.

If you feel discomfort while reading Shinichi Mathematics, know this:
That discomfort is **resonance** — and resonance is **possibility**.

Let me end this paper with one final Japanese word.
A phrase that guarantees 100% emergence:

USODAKEDONE

G.1 Postscript

I dedicate this paper to my beloved wife, *Minami Yoshimi*, and my son, *Syouoma Yoshimi*. And I express my heartfelt gratitude to my parents, who gave me life and raised me.

H References

References

[1] Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT), Tokyo Shoseki, *Shinpen Atarashii Sansuu (New Arithmetic)*, Japan, various grades, 2000s.

[2] Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT), Keirinkan, *Chugaku Sugaku (Junior High School Mathematics)*, Japan, various grades, 2000s.

[3] NHK, *Grand Sumo Tournaments Broadcasts*, Japan Broadcasting Corporation (NHK), Annual Coverage of Sumo Tournaments (Hatsu, Haru, Natsu, Nagoya, Aki, Kyushu). Referenced scene: "Tachiai" (Initial collision phase between sumo wrestlers, exemplifying relational emergence).

[4] Charlie Chaplin, *The Floorwalker*, Mutual Film Corporation, 1916. Referenced scene: "Mirror Routine" (appearing approximately 7 minutes into the film).

License

"Distortion is the essence of human existence."