

Sparsity, tutorial sheet

25th Max Planck Advanced Course on the Foundations of Computer Science, ADFOCS 2025

Problem 1. Consider the following two parameters of a graph G :

- *Arboricity* of G , denoted $\text{arb}(G)$, is the smallest k such that the edge set of G can be partitioned into k forests.
- *Orientability* of G , denoted $\text{ornt}(G)$, is the smallest ℓ such that edges of G can be oriented so that every vertex has outdegree at most ℓ .

Prove that for every graph G , we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{degeneracy}(G)/2 &\leq \text{arb}(G) \leq \text{degeneracy}(G), \\ \text{degeneracy}(G)/2 &\leq \text{ornt}(G) \leq \text{degeneracy}(G). \end{aligned}$$

A *subdivision* of a graph H is a graph H' obtained by replacing every edge of H by a path. If all these paths are of length at most $\ell + 1$ (resp., exactly $\ell + 1$), then H' is an $(\leq \ell)$ -subdivision (respectively, ℓ -subdivision) of H . We say that H is a *topological minor* of G if G contains a subdivision of H , and a *depth- d topological minor* if G contains an $(\leq 2d + 1)$ -subdivision of G . Topological nowhere denseness and topological bounded expansion are defined exactly like the usual notions, but using topological minors.

Problem 2. Here we prove that for any graph G and $d, k \in \mathbb{N}$, if $\omega_d(G) \geq 2 + k^{2(d+1)}$, then $\omega_{3d+1}^{\text{top}}(G) \geq k$.

- Suppose η is a depth- d model of K_s in G , where $s := 2 + t^{d+1}$ and $t := k^2$. Prove that within each branch set $\eta(u)$ one can pick a vertex $c(u)$ and a family of more than t disjoint paths of length at most $d + 1$, each leading from $c(u)$ to an edge connecting $\eta(u)$ with a different other branch set. Let $T(u)$ be the tree consisting of $c(u)$ and the union of those paths.
- Fix any k branch sets of η and within each of them construct a tree $T(u)$ as in the previous point. Show that one can use the $s - k$ other branch sets to greedily connect the highlighted trees $T(u)$ into an $(\leq 6d + 3)$ -subdivision of K_k .

Conclude that the notions of nowhere denseness and topological nowhere denseness coincide.

Problem 3. Prove that for a graph class $\mathcal{C}$, the following conditions are equivalent:

- $\mathcal{C}$ is not nowhere dense.
- There exists $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $t \in \mathbb{N}$, some graph from $\mathcal{C}$ contains the d -subdivision of K_t as a subgraph.

Problem 4. Determine $\text{wcol}_d(\text{Trees})$, $\text{scol}_d(\text{Trees})$, and $\text{adm}_d(\text{Trees})$.

Problem 5. Let G be a graph, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\preceq$ be a vertex ordering of G . Prove that for any two vertices u and v , the set $\text{WReach}_d[u] \cap \text{WReach}_d[v]$ intersects all paths between u and v of length at most d .

Problem 6. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a class of bounded expansion and $d \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. Prove that given an n -vertex graph $G \in \mathcal{C}$, one can assign to every vertex u of G a label consisting of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},d}(\log n)$ bits so that for any two vertices, from their labels one can precisely deduce their distance, provided it is at most d . Moreover, the algorithm producing the labeling should work in polynomial time.

Problem 7. Let G be a graph, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\preceq$ be a vertex ordering of G with $\text{wcol}_{2d}(G) \leq k$. For every vertex u , define the *cluster* C_u as the “inverse” weak $2d$ -reachability set:

$$C_u := \{v \mid u \in \text{WReach}_{2d}^{G, \preceq}[v]\}.$$

Prove that the family $\mathcal{F} := \{C_u : u \in V(G)\}$ satisfies the following properties:

- Every member of $\mathcal{F}$ is connected and has radius at most $2d$.
- For every vertex v , the radius- d ball around v is entirely contained in some member of $\mathcal{F}$.
- Every vertex of G participates in at most k members of $\mathcal{F}$.

Note: A family $\mathcal{F}$ with these properties is called a d -neighborhood cover with radius $2d$ and overlap k .

Problem 8. For a graph G , let $G \bullet c$ be the c -blowup of G : the graph obtained from G by replacing every vertex by a clique of size c , where cliques corresponding to adjacent vertices are joined by a complete bipartite graph. For a class $\mathcal{C}$, we denote $\mathcal{C} \bullet c := \{G \bullet c : G \in \mathcal{C}\}$. Prove that if $\mathcal{C}$ has bounded expansion, then so does $\mathcal{C} \bullet c$ as well, for every fixed c .

Hint: Generalized coloring numbers

Problem 9. For $c, d \in \mathbb{N}$, we say that H is a c -congested depth- d minor of G if there exists a c -congested model of H in G of depth at most d : a model where the branch sets may overlap, but every vertex belongs to at most c of them. (And branch sets of adjacent vertices should overlap or be adjacent.) For a class $\mathcal{C}$, by $\text{Minors}_d^c(\mathcal{C})$ we denote the class of all c -congested depth- d minors of the graphs from $\mathcal{C}$. Prove that if $\mathcal{C}$ has bounded expansion, then so does $\text{Minors}_d^c(\mathcal{C})$ as well, for any fixed $c, d \in \mathbb{N}$.

Problem 10. Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we say that a graph G is k -planar if it admits a drawing in the plane in which:

- no vertex is placed at an interior point of any edge,
- no three edges intersect at the same point, and
- each edge intersects other edges of the drawing in at most k points.

Prove that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the class of k -planar graphs has bounded expansion.

Problem 11. Given a finite family $\mathcal{F}$ of (closed) balls in $\mathbb{R}^d$, we may consider its *intersection graph*: the graph on the vertex set $\mathcal{F}$ where two balls are adjacent if and only if they intersect. For $d, k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{B}_{d,k}$ be the class of intersection graphs of families of balls in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with *ply* at most k , that is, where every point belongs to at most k balls. Prove that for every fixed $d, k \in \mathbb{N}$, the class $\mathcal{B}_{d,k}$ has bounded expansion.

Problem 12. For a set of vertices S in a graph G , vertex $u \in S$, and $d \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by $\text{backDeg}_d(u, S)$ the maximum number of paths of length at most d that start at u , end in another vertex of S , and are disjoint apart from sharing u . Consider the following algorithm computing a vertex ordering of G : starting from $S = V(G)$, iteratively extract from S a vertex with the smallest $\text{backDeg}_d(u, S)$ until S becomes empty; output the reverse order of extraction. Show that the d -admissibility of this order is optimum: equal to $\text{adm}_d(G)$.

Problem 13. Argue that there is a polynomial-time algorithm to d -approximate the d -admissibility of a graph.

Problem 14. Prove that for every graph G , we have $\text{td}(G) = \text{wcol}_\infty(G)$.

For those knowing treewidth: Prove also that $\text{tw}(G) = \text{scol}_\infty(G) - 1$.

Problem 15. Argue that given a p -vertex graph H and an n -vertex graph G whose treedepth is at most d , it can be decided whether G contains H as a subgraph in time $\mathcal{O}_{p,d}(n)$.

Problem 16. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a class of bounded expansion and $d \in \mathbb{N}$ be odd. Prove that there exists a constant c , depending only on $\mathcal{C}$ and d , such that every graph from $\mathcal{C}$ admits a coloring with at most c colors so that every two vertices at distance *exactly* d receive different colors.

Hint: This is harder. First prove the statement for graphs of treedepth at most d , where the requirement is the following: any two vertices at odd distance must receive different colors. Then use low treedepth colorings.